

Isolation and characterisation of cytotoxic compounds from Euphorbia clementei Boiss.

Abbes Benmerache, Abdulmagid Alabdul Magid, Amira Labed, Ahmed Kabouche, Laurence Voutquenne-Nazabadioko, Jane Hubert, Hamid Morjani, Zahia Kabouche

▶ To cite this version:

Abbes Benmerache, Abdulmagid Alabdul Magid, Amira Labed, Ahmed Kabouche, Laurence Voutquenne-Nazabadioko, et al.. Isolation and characterisation of cytotoxic compounds from Euphorbia clementei Boiss.. Natural Product Research, 2017, 31 (18), pp.2091 - 2098. 10.1080/14786419.2016.1277345. hal-01834095

HAL Id: hal-01834095 https://hal.univ-reims.fr/hal-01834095

Submitted on 5 Nov 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Isolation and characterization of cytotoxic compounds from Euphorbia clementei Boiss.

Abbes Benmerache^{a,b}, Abdulmagid Alabdul Magid^{b,*}, Amira Labed^a, Ahmed Kabouche^a, Laurence Voutquenne-Nazabadioko^b, Jane Hubert^b, Hamid Morjani^c, Zahia Kabouche^a

^aUniversité des frères Mentouri-Constantine, Département de chimie, Laboratoire d'Obtention des Substances Thérapeutiques (LOST), Campus Chaabet-Ersas, 25000 Constantine, Algeria

^bICMR-UMR CNRS 7312, Groupe Isolement et Structure, Campus Sciences, Bât. 18, BP 1039, 51687 Reims, France

^cMEDyC UMR CNRS 7369, URCA, Faculté de Pharmacie, SFR CAP Santé, 1, rue du Maréchal-Juin, 51096 Reims, France

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33-3-26-91-82-08; fax: +33-3-26-91-35-96. *E-mail address*: abdulmagid.alabdulmagid@univ-reims.fr (A. Alabdul Magid)

Abstract

A new phorbol-type diterpene ester, 4,20-dideoxy- 4α -phorbol- 12β -acetate- 13α -isobutyrate, in addition to eleven known compounds were isolated from the latex and roots of *Euphorbia clementei* Boiss. Structure elucidation was performed by comprehensive 1D and 2D NMR analyses (1 H and 13 C NMR, COSY, ROESY, HSQC and HMBC experiments), mass spectrometry (HR-ESI-MS), and by comparison with literature data. The inhibitory activity of all isolated compounds was evaluated against promyelocytic leukemia HL60 and human erythromyeloblastoid leukemia K562 cell lines and seven of these compounds exhibited a weak cytotoxicity with IC50 values ranging from 40 to 97 μ M.

Keywords: Euphorbia clementei; Euphorbiaceae; diterpenoids; triterpenoids; cytotoxic activity.

1. Introduction

There are about 2000 Euphorbia species (Euphorbiaceae) worldwide, ranging from annual weeds to trees (Jassbiet, 2006), cosmopolitan in distribution, particularly in Africa and Central and South America (El-sherei et al., 2015). Euphorbia plants are a rich source of diterpenoids and triterpenoids (Deng et al., 2010, Haba et al., 2007, Hohmann et al., 2001, Li et al., 2015, Sobottka et al., 2016, Wang et al., 2015a, 2015b). Some Euphorbia species are used in folk medicines even though; toxicity was reported to some of them (Singla and Pathak, 1990, Fatope et al., 1996, Yang et al., 2014). Diterpenoids have been suggested as the main chemical constituent responsible for the activities of Euphorbia species as the antitumor (Pusztai et al., 2007), antiproliferative, cytotoxic (Shadi et al., 2015, Wang et al., 2015b, Wang et al., 2015, Wang et al., 2016, Zhang et al. 2012), antiinflammatory (Wan et al., 2016), antiviral (Yang et al., 2005), and multidrug resistance (Duarte et al., 2007).

About 40 *Euphorbia* species are found in Algeria (Quezel and Santa, 1963) among which *Euphorbia clementei* Boiss. This perennial herbaceous plant is endemic to Aures region and grows in dry rockery

areas. Its leaves are glabrous, ovoid to lanceolate and possess a serrulate margin. The involucral glands are subrounded and the capsule (3-5 mm) is warty (Quezel and Santa, 1963).

In the present work, a new phorbol-type diterpene ester 1, along with eleven known compounds 2-12, were isolated from *E. clementei* growing wild in Aures (North Eastern Algerian), and their structures were elucidated on the basis of detailed NMR and mass spectrometry analyses. Since *Euphorbia* diterpenoids and triterpenoids are known to have cytotoxic activity (Wang et al., 2016), the inhibitory activity of compounds 1-12 was tested against promyelocytic leukemia HL60 and human erythromyeloblastoid leukemia K562 cancer cell lines using the standard MTT assay.

2. Results and discussion

Repetitive chromatographic purification of the latex and the trichloromethane extracts of the roots of E. clementei resulted in the isolation of a new diterpenoid (1) and eleven known compounds (2-12). The known compounds were identified as cycloartenol (2) (De Pascual Teresa et al., 1987), 24-methylene cycloartanol (3) (Ghanadian et al., 2015), 24-methylene cycloartan-3 β ,25-diol (4)

(Abdel-Monem et al., 2008), cycloeucalenol (5), obtusifoliol (6) (Mohan et al., 1990), β -sitosterol (7) (Chaturvedula and Prakash., 2012), cycloart-22*E*-ene-3 β ,25-diol (8), (3 β ,9 β ,24*R*) 9,19-cyclolanostane-3,24,25-triol (9) (Ghanadian et al., 2015), 1-methyl-cyclobutene (10) (Mohd Nasuha and Choo, 2015), α -terpineol (Consolacion et al., 2013) (11) and cycloartenyl acetate (12) (De Pascual Teresa et al., 1987) (Fig. 1).

The HR-ESI-MS spectrum of compound 1 exhibited the ion peak $[M+Na]^+$ at m/z 467.2404. Analysis of the NMR data revealed characteristic signals for an isobutyryl residue [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 181.0 (C-1"), 34.3 (C-2"), 18.5 (C-3") and 18.7 (C-4")] and an acetyl group [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.6 (C-1') and 21.1 (C-2')]. The NMR data of 1 showed also signals for an $\alpha \beta$ unsaturated carbonyl groups, as well as trisubstituted double bond, five methines, one oxymethine, one methylene and five methyl groups (see experimental section). Comprehensive 1D and 2D NMR analyses (1H and 13C NMR, COSY, ROESY, **HSQC** and **HMBC** experiments) established that compound 1 was a diterpenoid of the tigliane family (Aichour et al., 2014, Wu et al., COSY 1994). The correlations H-1/H-10, associated with HMBC correlations H-1/C-4, C-9 and C-10 and H₃-19/C-1, C-2 and C-3 confirmed the presence of the methyl-substituted five membered ring-A incorporating an α,β -conjugated ketone moiety. HMBC correlations from the methylene signals (CH₂-5) to C-4, C-7 and C-10 helped define the junction of rings A and B. Correlations from the methyl singlet at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.77 (CH₃-20) to C-5, C-6 and C-7 allowed us to place this CH₃-20 in position 6 and confirmed the location of the C-6/C-7 double bond. The ring C and its junction to ring B was elucidated from COSY correlations H₃-18/H-11, H-11/H-12, and H-8/H-14, and from HMBC correlations H₃-18/C-9 and C-12. The cyclopropyl ring-D with gemdimethyl substitution was established on the basis of the mutual correlations between the two methyl groups (H₃-16 and H₃-17) both also showing correlations with C-13, C-14 and C-15. The 12-Oacetyl group was evidenced by the HMBC correlation from H-12 to its carbonyl carbon ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 170.6). The presence of 9-OH and 13-O-(isobutyryl) groups was indicated by the chemical shifts of C-9 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.0), C-12 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 76.1), and C-13 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 64.9) and by comparison with known tigliane analogues possessing the same substitution patterns

(Aichour et al., 2014). The relative configuration of 1 was characterized by NMR comparison to 4,20dideoxy-4α-phorbol-12-benzoate-13-isobutyrate (Aichour et al., 2014) and analysis of ROESY data. The difference between these two compounds was attributable to the O-substituents at C-12 and C-13 and the remaining structural parts displayed highly comparable NMR data, which suggested that the two molecules possessed the same relative configuration. Moreover, the ROESY correlations between H-10/H-4 and H-10/H-18 suggested that they were pseudo-axially bonded and α -oriented, while those of H-8/H-11, H-8/H₃-17, and H-7/H-14 supported the β -direction of H-8, H-11 and the cyclopropyl moiety. A typical vicinal coupling constant value of 10.4 Hz between the trans-diaxial H-11 and H-12 confirmed that the acetyl group at C-12 is β -oriented (Zhang et al., 2012). All phorbol derivatives isolated from Euphorbiaceae family possess the configuration β for H-8 and H-11 whereas the configuration α was assigned to H-10, H-14 and hydroxyl groups at C-9 and C-13 (Aichour et al., 2014, Alberto Marco et al., 1999, El-sherei et al., 2015, Haba et al,. 2013). So, on a biogenetic basis, the same stereochemistry is assumed for these compounds which constitute a common stereochemical group. The structure of 1 was thus unambiguously characterized as 4,20dideoxy- 4α -phorbol- 12β -acetate- 13α -isobutyrate.

Table 1. ¹H, ¹³C NMR, COSY, and HMBC spectral data of compound 1.

$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\delta_{\rm H}$ m (J Hz)	$\delta_{ m C}$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7.20 (s, H-1)	155.6 (C-1)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-	143.7 (C-2)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-	212.0 (C-3)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	2.72 (dt, J = 4.2 and 6.3 Hz, H-4)	49.3 (C-4)
6 - 124.3 (C-6) 7 4.75 (s, H-7) 134.2 (C-7) 8 1.90 (s, H-8) 40.9 (C-8) 9 5.20 (s, H-9) 78.0 (C-9) 10 3.42 (m, H-10) 47.1 (C-10) 11 1.70 (dd, J = 10.4 and 6.2 Hz, H-11) 43.2 (C-11) 12 5.45 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, H-12) 76.1 (C-12) 13 - 64.9 (C-13) 14 1.74 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, H-14) 37.6 (C-14) 15 - 25.4 (C-15) 16 1.23 (s, H-16) 16.4 (C-16) 17 1.20 (s, H-17) 24.2 (C-17) 18 1.09 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-18) 11.9 (C-18) 19 1.82 (s, H-19) 10.5 (C-19) 20 1.77 (s, H-20) 28.9 (C-20) Acetate - 170.6 (C-1') 2' 2.15 (s, H-2') 21.1 (C-2') Isobutyrate 1" - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	5	2.38 (dd, J = 15.4 and 4.7 Hz, H-5a)	30.0 (C-5)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		3.45 (brd, $J = 15.4$ Hz, H-5b)	
8 1.90 (s, H-8) 40.9 (C-8) 9 5.20 (s, H-9) 78.0 (C-9) 10 3.42 (m, H-10) 47.1 (C-10) 11 1.70 (dd, J = 10.4 and 6.2 Hz, H-11) 43.2 (C-11) 12 5.45 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, H-12) 76.1(C-12) 13 - 64.9 (C-13) 14 1.74 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, H-14) 37.6 (C-14) 15 - 25.4 (C-15) 16 1.23 (s, H-16) 16.4 (C-16) 17 1.20 (s, H-17) 24.2 (C-17) 18 1.09 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-18) 11.9 (C-18) 19 1.82 (s, H-19) 10.5 (C-19) 20 1.77 (s, H-20) 28.9 (C-20) Acetate 1' - 170.6 (C-1') 2' 2.15 (s, H-2') 21.1 (C-2') Isobutyrate 1" - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	6	-	124.3 (C-6)
9 5.20 (s, H-9) 78.0 (C-9) 10 3.42 (m, H-10) 47.1 (C-10) 11 1.70 (dd, J = 10.4 and 6.2 Hz, H-11) 43.2 (C-11) 12 5.45 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, H-12) 76.1 (C-12) 13 - 64.9 (C-13) 14 1.74 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, H-14) 37.6 (C-14) 15 - 25.4 (C-15) 16 1.23 (s, H-16) 16.4 (C-16) 17 1.20 (s, H-17) 24.2 (C-17) 18 1.09 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-18) 11.9 (C-18) 19 1.82 (s, H-19) 10.5 (C-19) 20 1.77 (s, H-20) 28.9 (C-20) Acetate 1' - 170.6 (C-1') 2' 2.15 (s, H-2') 21.1 (C-2') Isobutyrate 1" - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	7	4.75 (s, H-7)	134.2 (C-7)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8	1.90 (s, H-8)	40.9 (C-8)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9	5.20 (s, H-9)	78.0 (C-9)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	3.42 (m, H-10)	47.1 (C-10)
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11	1.70 (dd, J = 10.4 and 6.2 Hz, H-11)	43.2 (C-11)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	12	5.45 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, H-12)	76.1(C-12)
15 - 25.4 (C-15) 16 1.23 (s, H-16) 16.4 (C-16) 17 1.20 (s, H-17) 24.2 (C-17) 18 1.09 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-18) 11.9 (C-18) 19 1.82 (s, H-19) 10.5 (C-19) 20 1.77 (s, H-20) 28.9 (C-20) Acetate 1' - 170.6 (C-1') 2' 2.15 (s, H-2') 21.1 (C-2') Isobutyrate 1" - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	13	-	64.9 (C-13)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14	1.74 (d, <i>J</i> = 5.1 Hz, H-14)	37.6 (C-14)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15	-	25.4 (C-15)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16	1.23 (s, H-16)	16.4 (C-16)
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	17	1.20 (s, H-17)	24.2 (C-17)
20 1.77 (s, H-20) 28.9 (C-20) Acetate 1' - 170.6 (C-1') 2' 2.15 (s, H-2') 21.1 (C-2') Isobutyrate 1" - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	18	1.09 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-18)	11.9 (C-18)
Acetate 170.6 (C-1') 1' - 170.6 (C-1') 2' 2.15 (s, H-2') 21.1 (C-2') Isobutyrate - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	19	1.82 (s, H-19)	10.5 (C-19)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	1.77 (s, H-20)	28.9 (C-20)
2' 2 .15 (s, H-2') 21.1 (C-2') Isobutyrate 1" - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	Acetate		
Isobutyrate 1" - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	1'	-	170.6 (C-1')
Isobutyrate 1" - 181.0 (C-1") 2" 2.57 (dq, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	2'	2 .15 (s, H-2')	21.1 (C-2')
2" 2.57 (dq, <i>J</i> = 7.1 Hz, H-2") 34.3 (C-2") 3" 1.18 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.1 Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	Isobutyrate		
3" 1.18 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, H-3") 18.5 (C-3")	1"	-	181.0 (C-1")
	2"	2.57 (dq, <i>J</i> = 7.1 Hz, H-2")	34.3 (C-2")
	3"		18.5 (C-3")
	4"	1.18 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.1 Hz, H-4")	

Fig.1. Chemical structures of compounds 1-12, isolated from *Euphorbia clementei*.

Compounds 1-12 were tested for their cytotoxic activity against promyelocytic leukemia HL60 and human erythromyeloblastoid leukemia K562 cell lines (Table S1) and their chemical analogy allowed to get some structure activity relationships. Compounds 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 9 and 12 exhibited weak cytotoxic effects against HL60 cell line, in a dose dependent manner, with IC₅₀ values of 97, 53, 40, 45, 52, 40 and 58 μM, respectively. Compounds 4, 6, 8 and 9 showed weak cytotoxic effects against K562 cell line with IC₅₀ values of 77.9, 78, 78.1 and 77 µM, respectively. Compounds 1, 2 and 12 exhibited a selective cytotoxicity against HL60 cell line whereas, compounds 3, 5, 7, 10 and 11 were not significantly active on the two cell lines at the tested concentrations (Table S1). The phorbol derivative 1 was twofold less active than the active triterpene compounds against HL60 cell and its selective cytotoxicity was previously observed with tigliane derivatives on various cell lines (Fatope et al., 1996, Wang et al., 2015b). A moderate cytotoxic activity of Euphorbia triterpenes on

various cell lines was also previously observed (Wang S. et al., 2016). Comparison of cytotoxic activities of compounds 4 and 5 indicated that a hydroxyl group at C-25 has a positive effect on the bioactivity. When comparing the cytotoxic effects of cycloartane derivatives 2, 8 and 12 against K562 cell line, only compound 8 possessing OH group at C-25 showed activity. A comparison of the bioactivity of cycloartenol 2 and its acetate derivatives (12) in terms of chemical structure indicated that the substitution of an acetyl at C-3 in 12 did not influence the activity. Compound 3 carrying an additional methylene group at C-24 was relatively less active than the bioactive compounds 2 and 12, suggesting that an additional methylene group at C-24 of cycloartenol has a detrimental effect for the bioactivity. Comparison of the bioactivity of cycloeucalenol (5) and obtusifoliol (6) indicated that a methyl group at C-10 (6) is more favorable for the cytotoxic activity than methylene group (5).

Table S1. Cytotoxic activities of compounds 1-12 against HL60 and K562 cell lines *

Compound	IC ₅₀ values (μM).		
	HL60	K562	
1	97 ± 1.0	_b	
2	53 ± 0.9	_a	
3	_a	_a	
4	40 ± 0.7	77.9 ± 0.9	
5	_b	_b	
6	45 ± 0.4	78 ± 0.8	
7	_b	_b	
8	52 ± 0.6	78.1 ± 2.0	
9	40 ± 0.6	77 ± 1.1	
10	_b	_b	
11	_a	_a	
12	58 ± 1.2	_a	
Camptothecin ^c	0.25 ± 0.03	0.30 ± 0.05	

Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation of 3 determinations. Differences were considered significant at p < 0.05. 50% inhibition not achieved at 100 μ M.

3. Experimental

3.1. General experimental procedures

1D and 2D NMR spectra were performed in CDCl₃ on Bruker Avance DRX III 500 instruments (Karlsruhe, Germany). HR-ESI-MS experiments were performed using a Micromass O-TOF micro instrument (Manchester, UK). Optical rotations were determined in CDCl₃ with a Perkin-Elmer 341 polarimeter. TLC was performed on pre-coated silica-gel 60 F₂₅₄ Merck and were visualized under UV light at 254 and 366 nm and by spraying the dried plates with 50% H₂SO₄, followed by heating. Centrifugal Partition Extraction (CPE) performed on a lab-scale column of 303 mL capacity (FCPE300, Roussel et Robatel Kromaton, Annonay, France) containing 7 circular partition disks, engraved with a total of 231 oval partition twin-cells (≈ 1 mL per twin cell). The CPE column was filled with the stationary phase at 200 rpm by using a KNAUER Preparative 1800 V7115 pump (Berlin, Germany), the rotating was set at 1200 rpm and a flow rate of 20 mL/min was maintained over the whole experiment in the ascending mode. Flash chromatography was performed on a Grace Reveleris system with dual UV and ELSD detection equipped with a 40 g RP-C₁₈ column. The mobile phase was water and methanol with a flow rate of 40 mL/min and the effluents were monitored at 205 and 254 nm.

3.2. Plant material

The roots and the latex of the plant Euphorbia clementei were collected in April 2013 from Khenchela (North Eastern Algerian). A voucher specimen (Ec.04.13) was deposited in the Herbarium of the Department of Chemistry, University Mentouri-Constantine, and authenticated by Prof. Gérard De Belair (University of Annaba, Algeria).

3.3. Extraction and isolation

The roots (1 kg) of *E. clementei* were macerated in CHCl₃ (15 L) for 72 h at room temperature. After filtration and concentration under low pressure, 21 g of CHCl₃ extract was obtained. A part of the CHCl₃ extract (15 g) was subjected to silica gel CC $(90 \times 5.5 \text{ cm})$, elution was achieved using (nhexane-EtOAc, petroleum ether-EtOAc-MeOH) with increasing polarity (1:0-0:1, 1:0:0-0:1:1). A total of 53 fractions (each 25 mL) were obtained. Fraction 11 was subjected to silica gel CC eluted with petroleum ether -EtOAc (1:0-0:1) to afford 20 mg of compound 3. Fraction 12 was subjected to silica gel CC eluted with *n*-hexane-EtOAc (1:0-0:1) to give compounds **4** (3.6 mg), **6** and **5** (20 mg). Fraction 13 was purified by using silica gel CC eluted with n-hexane-EtOAc (1:0-0:1) to afford compound 7 (8 mg). Fraction 16 was purified by Flash chromatography over RP-18 eluted by MeOH-H₂O (75:25 to 100:0) in 30 min, followed by preparative TLC in CHCl₃-MeOH (9:1) on silica gel to afford compound 1 (3.6mg). Fraction 17 was subjected to silica gel CC eluted with petroleum ether-EtOAc (1:0-0:1) to afford compound 8 (18 mg). Fractions 26-27 were purified on a Flash chromatography over RP-18 eluted by MeOH-H₂O (75:25 to 1:0) in 30 min to give compound 9 (40 mg).

The latex of E. clementei was collected from the aerial part by incision, pressure on the rib and was concentrated under low pressure (1.14g). The dried latex (500 mg) was subjected to CPE fractionation. The biphasic solvent system composed of nhexane-MeOH (1:1, v/v) in CPE was used in the ascending mode (i.e. the upper n-hexane phase was used as the mobile phase) to recover moderately non-polar compounds such as terpenoids derivatives from 500 mg of dried latex. After pooling the collected fractions on the basis of TLC profile similarities, compounds 10 (23.5 mg), 11 (2 mg), 2 (4.5 mg), 6 (6 mg), and 12 (7.6 mg) were obtained.

3.3.1. 4,20-dideoxy- 4α -phorbol- 12β -acetate- 13α isobutyrate (1)

⁵ 50% inhibition not achieved at 200 μM. Used as positive controls.

Colorless amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –16.78 (*c* 0.30, CHCl₃). HR-ESI-MS m/z 467.2404 [M+Na]⁺, (calcd for C₂₆H₃₆NaO₆; 467.2410). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.20 (s, H-1), 2.72 (dt, J = 4.2 and 6.3 Hz, H-4), 2.38 (dd, J = 15.4 and 4.7 Hz, H-5a), 3.45 (brd, J = 15.4 Hz, H-5b), 4.75 (s, H-7), 1.90 (s, H-8), 3.42 (m, H-10), 1.70 (dd, J = 10.4 and 6.2 Hz, H-11), 5.45 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, H-12), 0.74 (d, J = 5.1Hz, H-14), 1.23 (s, H-16), 1.20 (s, H-17), 1.09 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, H-18), 1.82 (s, H-19), 1.77 (s, H-20), 2.15 (s, H-2'), 2.57 (sept, J = 7.1 Hz, H-2"), 1.18 $(d, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, H-3"), 1.18 (d, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, H-4").^{13}C$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.6 (C-1), 143.2 (C-2), 211.8 (C-3), 49.3 (C-4), 30.0 (C-5), 134.8 (C-6), 124.3 (C-7), 40.9 (C-8), 78.0 (C-9), 47.1 (C-10), 43.2 (C-11), 76.1(C-12), 64.9 (C-13), 37.6 (C-14), 25.4 (C-15), 16.4 (C-16), 24.2 (C-17), 11.9 (C-18), 10.5 (C-19), 28.9 (C-20), 170.6 (C-1'), 21.1 (C-2'), 181.0 (C-1"), 34.3 (C-2"), 18.5 (C-3"), 18.7 (C-4").

3.4. Cell proliferation assay.

Promyelocytic leukemia HL60 and human erythromyeloblastoid leukemia K562 cells were spread onto 96-well flat-bottom plates at a density of 2500 cells per well, and then incubated in RPMI

1640 Medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% antibiotics. The cells were then treated with the tested compounds for 72 h. The cell cultures were then analyzed using (3-[4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium

bromide; thiazolyl blue) salt (MTT) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Promega Corporation, Charbonnières, France). Camptothecin was used as positive control with the same conduction. MTS is reduced by cells into a colored formazan product. Absorbance was analyzed at a wavelength of 540 nm with a Multiskan Ex microplate absorbance reader (Thermo Scientific, Paris, France). The results of these assays were used to obtain the dose-response curves from which IC₅₀ values were determined. The values represent averages of three independent experiments.

3.5. Statistical analysis.

Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. Statistical differences were calculated using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Difference in means between two treatment groups was compared by Student's *t*-test. Significance was considered when p < 0.05.

Acknowledgements.

The authors are grateful to MESRS, Algeria for the Profas grant to Mr. Abbes Benmerache, to CNRS, Conseil Régional Champagne Ardenne, Conseil Général de la Marne, Ministry of Higher Education and Research (MESR), and to the PlANET CPER project for financial support.

Supporting Information.

HR-ESI-MS, ¹H and ¹³C NMR, COSY, HMBC and ROESY spectra for compound **1** and cytotoxic activities of compounds **1-12** against HL60 and K562 cell lines.

References

- Abdel-Monem, A.R., Abdel-Sattar, E., Harraz, F.M., Petereit, F., 2008. Chemical Investigation of *Euphorbia schimperi*. C. Presl. Rec. Nat. Prod. 2, 39-45.
- Aichour, S., Haba, H., Benkhaled, M., Harakat, D., Lavaud, C., 2014. Terpenoids and other constituents from *Euphorbia bupleuroides*. Phytochem. Lett. 10, 198-203.
- Alberto Marco, J., Sanz-Cervera, J.F., Checa, J., Palomares, Emilio, Manuel Fraga, B., 1999., Jatrophane and tigliane diterpenes from the latex of *Euphorbia obtusifolia*. Phytochemistry. 52, 479-485.
- Chaturvedula, V.S.P., Prakash, I., 2012. Isolation of stigmasterol and β -sitosterol from the dichloromethane extract of *Rubus suavissimus*. Int. Curr. Pharam. J. 9, 239-242.
- Consolacion, Y.R., Dinah L.E., Esperanza Maribel, G.A., Ramon S.F., 2013. Chemical constituents of *Cinnamomum cebuense*. Chin. J. Nat. Med. 2013, 11(3): 0264–0268.
- De Pascual Teresa, J., Urones, J., Marcos, I., Basabe, P., Cuadrado, M.S., Fernandez Moro, R., 1987. Triterpenes from Euphorbia broteri. Phytochemistry 26, 1767-1776.

- Deng, B., Mu, S.Z., Zhang, J.X., Hao, X.J., 2010. New diterpenoids from the roots of *Euphorbia ebracteolata* Hayata. Nat. Prod. Res. 24,1503-1509.
- Duarte, N., Gyemant, N., Abreu, P.M., Molnar, J., Ferreira, M.J. 2006. New macrocyclic lathyrane diterpenes, from Euphorbia lagascae, as inhibitors of multidrug resistance of tumour cells. Planta Med. 72, 162-168.
- El-Sherei, M.M., Islam, W.T., El-Dine, R.S., El-Toumy, S.A., Ahmed S.R., 2015. Phytochemical investigation of the cytotoxic latex of *Euphorbia cooperi* N.E.Br. Aust. J. Basic and Appl. Sci. 9, 488-493.
- Fatope, M.O., Zeng, L., Ohayaga, J.E., Shi, G., McLaughlin, J.L. 1996. Selectively cytotoxic diterpenes from *Euphorbia* poisonii. J. Med. Chem. 39, 1005-1008.
- Gao, J., Chen, Q.-B., Liu, Y.-Q., Xin X.-L., Yili, A., Aisa, H.A. 2016. Diterpenoid constituents of *Euphorbia macrorrhiza*. Phytochemistry 122, 246-253.
- Ghanadian, M., Ayatollahi, A.M., Mesaik, M.A., Afsharypuor, S., Abdalla O.M., Kobarfard, F., 2011.New tigliane type

- diterpenoids from *Euphorbia aellenii* Rech. f. with immunomodulatory activity. Res Pharm Sci. 6, 35-41.
- Ghanadian, M., Saeidi, H., Aghaei, M., Rahiminejad, M.R., Ahmadi, E., Ayatollahi, S.M., Choudhary, M. I., Bahmani, B., 2015. New jatrophane diterpenes from *Euphorbia* osyridea with proapoptotic effects on ovarian cancer cells, Phytochem. Lett. 12, 302-307.
- Haba, H., Lavaud, C., Harkat, H., Alabdul Magid, A., Marcourt, L., Benkhaled, M., 2007. Diterpenoids and triterpenoids from *Euphorbia guyoniana*. Phytochemistry 68, 1255-1260.
- Haba, H., Marcourt, L., Benkhaled, M., Long, C., 2013. Minor ent-abietane diterpenoids from *Euphorbia guyoniana*. Nat. Prod. Commun. 8, 1519-1522.
- Hohmann, J., Evanics, F., Dombi, G., Szabó, P., 2001. Salicifoline and Salicinolide, new diterpene polyesters from Euphorbia salicifolia. Tetrahedron Lett. 42, 6581-6584.
- Jassbiet, A.R., 2006. Chemistry and biological activity of secondary metabolites in *Euphorbia* from Iran. Phytochemistry 67, 1977–1984.
- Li, W., Cao, P., Zang, Z., Ma, Y.T., Liu, F.Y., Li F., Wu X.H., Huang S.X., Zhao, Y., 2015. Two new triterpene rhamnosides from *Euphorbia dracunculoides* Lam. Phytochem. Lett. 12, 168-172.
- Mohann, B.G., Hattori, M., Yasuhiro, T., Kikuchi, T., Namba, T., 1990. Constituents of the latex of *Euphorbia amiquorum*. Phytochemistry 29, 1625-1628.
- Mohd Nasuha, N.A., Choo, Y.M., 2015. A new flavone from Malaysia Borneo *Marsdenia tinctoria*. Nat Prod Res. 30, 1-5
- Pusztai, R., Ferreira, M.J., Duarte, N., Engi, H., Molnar, J., 2007. Macrocyclic lathyrane diterpenes as antitumor promoters. Anticancer Res. 27, 201-205.
- Quezel, P., Santa, S., 1963. Nouvelle Flore de l'Algérie et des régions désertiques méridionales, vol. 1-2. CNRS, Paris.
- Shadi, S., Saeidi, H., Ghanadian, M., Rahimnejad, M.R., Aghaei, M., Ayatollahi, S.M., Choudhary, M.I., 2015. New macrocyclic diterpenes from *Euphorbia connata* Boiss. with cytotoxic activities on human breast cancer cell lines. Nat. Prod. Res. 29, 607-614.
- Singla, A.K, Pathak, K., 1990. Phytoconstituents of *Euphorbia* species. Fitoterapia 61, 483-516.
- Sobottka, A.M., Görick, C., Melzig, M.F., 2016. Analysis of diterpenoid compounds from the latex of two Euphorbiaceae by liquid chromatography-electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry. Nat. Prod. Res. 30, 1941-1944.Wan, L.-S., Chu, R., Peng, X.-R., Zhu, G.-L., Yu, M.-Y, Li, L., Zhou,

- L., Lu, S.-Y., Dong, J.-R., Zhang, Z.-R., Li, Y., Qiu, M.-H. 2016. *Pepluane and Paraliane Diterpenoids from Euphorbia peplus* with Potential Anti-inflammatory Activity. J. Nat. Prod. 79, 1628-1634.
- Wang, J.F., Yang, S.H., Liu Y.Q., Li D.X., He W.J., Zhang, X.X., Liu, Y.H., Zhou, X.J., 2015. Five new phorbol esters with cytotoxic and selective anti-inflammatory activities from *Croton tiglium*. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 25, 1986-1989.
- Wang, L., Yang, J., Chi, Y.Q., Ouyang, W.B., Zang, Z., Huang, S.X., Cao, P., Zhao, Y., 2015a. A new myrsinol-type diterpene polyester from *Euphorbia dracunculoides* Lam. Nat. Prod. Res. 29, 1406-1413.
- Wang, L., Ma, Y.-T., Sun, Q.-Y., Li, X.-N., Yan, Y., Yang, J., Yang F.-M., Liu, F.-Y., Zang, Z., Wu, X.-H., Huang, S.-X., Zhao, Y. 2015b Structurally diversified diterpenoids from *Euphorbia dracunculoides*. Tetrahedron 71, 5484-5493.
- Wang, K., Yu, H., Wu, H., Wang, X., Pan, Y., Chen, Y., Liu, L., Jin, Y., Zhang, C., 2015. A new casbane diterpene from Euphorbia pekinensis. Nat. Prod. Res. 29, 15, 1456-1460.
- Wang, M., Wang, Q., Wei, Q., Li, J., Guo, C., Yang, B., Kuang, H., 2016. Two new ent-atisanes from the root of *Euphorbia fischeriana* Steud. Nat. Prod. Res. 30, 144-149.
- Wang, S., Liang, H., Zhao, Y., Wang G., Yao H., Kasimu R., Wu Z., Li Y., Huang, J., Wang, J. 2016. New triterpenoids from the latex of *Euphorbia resinifera* Berg. Fitoterapia 108, 33-40.
- Wu, D., Sorg, B., Hecker, E., 1994. Oligo- and macrocyclic diterpenes in Thymelaeaceae and Euphorbiaceae occurring and utilized in Yunnan (Southwest China) 6. Tigliane type diterpene esters from latex of *Euphorbia prolifera*. Phytother. Res. 8, 95-99.
- Yang, C.M., Cheng, H.Y., Lin, T.C., Chiang, L.C., Lin, C.C., 2005. Euphorbia thymifolia suppresses herpessimplex virus-2 infection by directly inactivating virus infectivity. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 32, 346-349.
- Yang, D.S., Peng, W.B., Li, Z.L., Wang, X., Wei, J.G., He, Q.X., Yang, Y.P., Liu, K.C., Li, X.L., 2014. Chemical constituents from *Euphorbia stracheyi* and their biological activities. Fitoterapia 97, 211-218.
- Zhang, B.-Y., Wang, H., Li, X.-D., Du, Z.-Z., Wu, H.-F., Shan, J.-W., Zhang, X.-F, 2012. Chemical constituents of Euphorbia tangutica. Nat. Prod. Res. 26, 2309-2315.