Comparison of viability and phagocytic responses of hemocytes withdrawn from the bivalves Mytilus edulis and Dreissena polymorpha, and exposed to human parasitic protozoa

Antoine Le Guernic, Alain Geffard, Frank Le Foll, Mélissa Palos Ladeiro

1 **Comparison of viability and phagocytic responses of hemocytes withdrawn**

2 **from the bivalves *Mytilus edulis* and *Dreissena polymorpha,* and exposed to**

3 **human parasitic protozoa**

4

5 Antoine Le Guernic [a,*], Alain Geffard [a], Frank Le Foll [b], Mélissa Palos Ladeiro [a]

6

7 [a]*Reims Champagne-Ardenne University, UMR-I02 SEBIO, Campus Moulin de la*

8 *Housse, 51687 Reims, France.*

9 [b]*Normandie Univ, UNILEHAVRE, UMR-I 02 SEBIO, FR CNRS 3730 SCALE, 76600*

10 *Le Havre, France.*

11

12 * Corresponding author. *E-mail address*: antoinelg@gmx.fr

13

14

15

Abstract

Bivalve molluscs are now considered indicator species of aquatic contamination by human parasitic protozoa. Nonetheless, the possible effects of these protozoa on the immune system of their paratenic hosts are poorly documented. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of two protozoa on hemocyte viability and phagocytosis from two mussels, the zebra mussel (freshwater habitat) and the blue mussel (seawater habitat). For these purposes, viability and phagocytic markers have been analysed on hemocytes from mussels without biological stress (control hemocytes), and on hemocytes exposed to a biological stress (*Toxoplasma gondii* and *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts). We report, for the first known time, the interactions between protozoa and hemocytes of mussels from different aquatic environments. Zebra mussel hemocytes showed a decrease in phagocytosis of fluorescent microbeads after exposure to both protozoa, while blue mussel hemocytes reacted only to *T. gondii* oocysts. These decreases in the ingestion of microbeads can be caused by competition between beads and oocysts and can be influenced by the size of the oocysts. New characterisations of their immune capacities, including aggregation, remain to be developed to understand the specificities of both mussels.

Keywords: Immune markers; Hemocyte; Zebra mussel; Blue mussel; Protozoan

1. Introduction

For several decades, new knowledge and techniques in the field of ecotoxicology have raised awareness of environmental pollution and allows environmental risk managers to act accordingly (Schwarzenbach et al., 2010). However, many pollutants are still present in ecosystems, while new ones emerge (Schwarzenbach et al., 2010), (Lauzent, M., 2017. Etude de l'écodynamique des polluants organiques persistants et des micropolluants halogénés d'intérêt émergent dans les milieux aquatiques. PhD Thesis, Université de Bordeaux, France).

Among these ubiquitous and persistent pollutants, protozoan parasites are of particular interest for sanitary purposes (Conrad et al., 2005). Indeed, these protozoa are responsible for a large and increasing number of waterborne outbreaks, i.e. the cryptosporidiosis event in Milwaukee in 1993, affecting more than 400,000 people (DeRegnier et al., 1989; Smith et al., 2006). Within this taxon, *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Cryptosporidium* spp. are two parasites which can induce diseases with complications in humans and animals, leading sometimes to host death (Conrad et al., 2005; Gomez-Couso et al., 2006). Outside their hosts, these parasites are in their environmental stage (oocyst), a long-lasting infective lifeform allowing the survival of these organisms even when subjected to harmful external conditions (Palos-Ladeiro et al., 2012). Thanks to their oocyst stage, these protozoa can survive for extended periods in their environment, maintaining their infectivity (Tamburrini and Pozio, 1999; Lindsay et al., 2003).

They are found in a lot of hydrosystems such as recreational water bodies, rivers, drinking water, and upstream and downstream of the discharge points of wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), (Castro-Hermida et al., 2008; Helmi et al., 2011; Kerambrun et al., 2016). Parasitic contamination of water has many origins, but the main cause is runoff, concentrated with oocysts, from urban or agricultural areas (from 1 to 1 x 10^5 cysts per liter for *Cryptosporidium parvum* (Lucy et al., 2008). Precipitation events leading to the runoff of human or agricultural wastewater contribute to the spread of these pathogens (Lucy et al., 2008). In coastal areas, this can lead to contamination of the marine environment and the wildlife living there (Gomez-Bautista et al., 2000; Shapiro et al., 2012). For example, *C. parvum* has been found in the sea surrounding Hawaii as well as in the Mediterranean Sea (Tamburrini and Pozio, 1999). This spread can be aggravated by the use of treated

72 wastewater, by adverse climatic conditions, or when demarcations between urban
73 and rural areas and wildlife habitats are narrow (Graczyk et al., 1997b; Conrad et al.,
74 2005; Castro-Hermida et al., 2008).

75   Furthermore, no treatment is effective in eliminating these oocysts in water.
76 Protozoa can pass through the treatment of wastewater due to their environmental
77 form being highly resistant to chemical and physical processes (Fayer et al., 1998;
78 Dumètre et al., 2013). In Europe and America, they are usually found in recreational
79 (swimming pools, fountains) and drinking waters at concentrations of up to 250
80 oocysts per liter, as reported by Smith et al. (2006). The waterway is not the only
81 source of contamination with these protozoa. Indeed, they can be captured by
82 paratenic hosts such as bivalve molluscs, potential prey of animals (i.e. sea otters)
83 and humans (Tamburrini and Pozio, 1999; Conrad et al., 2005). For all of these
84 reasons, *T. gondii* and *C. parvum* present a high health risk for both fauna and
85 humans.

86   At the present time, several studies have reported interest in molluscs as
87 indicators of parasitic loads in water, and the purpose of this method is to perform
88 direct assessment in water (Palos-Ladeiro et al., 2012; Shapiro et al., 2014). Indeed,
89 the filter feeders can accumulate parasites from their habitat, and this accumulation
90 seems to be proportional to the intensity of the parasitic contamination in water
91 (Graczyk et al., 2003; Palos-Ladeiro et al., 2014). While the water is constantly in
92 motion, bivalves are generally fixed, providing a better representation in the
93 assessment of parasitic load (Palos-Ladeiro et al., 2012). The wide range of indicator
94 species, present along the freshwater-marine water continuum, constitutes a final
95 advantage in the detection of parasites via molluscs. Among these species, the zebra
96 mussel (Graczyk et al., 2003; Lucy et al., 2008; Palos-Ladeiro et al., 2014), the blue
97 mussel (Lucy et al., 2008), the *Gammarus* (Bigot-Clivot et al., 2016), the Asian
98 freshwater clam (Graczyk et al., 2003), and oysters (Fayer et al., 1998; Esmerini et
99 al., 2010), are commonly used. Despite the increasing use of these molluscs as
100 indicators of aquatic protozoan contamination, knowledge of the effects of these
101 parasites on the health of their paratenic host is very limited. Previous studies have
102 reported the ability of bivalves to phagocytize some of these enteroprotozoa (Abbott
103 Chalew et al., 2012; Palos Ladeiro et al., 2018b), and we reported a cytotoxic effect
104 of these oocysts on zebra mussels, *Dreissena polymorpha* (Le Guernic et al., 2019).

Following this observation, we aim to enrich knowledge on the protozoan-hemocyte interaction of bivalves representing the freshwater-marine water continuum. Hemocytes, hemolymphatic circulating cells, play several roles in physiological functions of invertebrates including nutrition, reproduction, detoxification and immune capacities (Evariste et al., 2016). The study of the immune system is of great interest since it can reveal the state of health of an organism (protection of the organism against foreign constituents, maintenance of homeostasis, etc.), and the immune system is the main barrier to microorganisms and parasites (Bols et al., 2001; Salo et al., 2005).

The main aim of this study was to evaluate the immune responses of two mussels, from different aquatic habitats, exposed to *T. gondii* or to *C. parvum* oocysts. Beforehand, the comparison of basal levels of their immune markers was necessary.

The two bivalves chosen, representative of two distinct aquatic environments, are the blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* (sea water), and the zebra mussel *D. polymorpha* (freshwater). The commercial interest of the blue mussel, its homology with the zebra mussel for ecotoxicological studies (Binelli et al., 2015), their tolerance and plasticity towards pollution (Binelli et al., 2015), their frequent use in biomonitoring programs (i.e. Mussel Watch Program in USA, Besse et al. (2012)), and their ability to accumulate (oo)cysts (Lucy et al., 2008), argue in favour of this choice.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Biological models

2.1.1. Zebra and blue mussels

Two hundred and ten freshwater mussels were collected on 25th August 2017 from Der Lake (51290 Giffaumont-Champaubert, France, 48° 33' 35" N; 4° 45' 11" E), while the same number of marine mussels was obtained from the Channel Sea (76111 Yport, France, 49° 44' 41" N; 0° 18' 33" E) on 19th October 2017. Each bivalve species was brought back from these sites to the URCA (Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne (URCA), France) laboratory and was acclimatised over several weeks before the beginning of experiments. Freshwater (Cristaline Aurele drinking water; spring Jandun, France, 6 L per tank) was maintained at 14.46 ± 0.72 °C; pH 7.51 ± 0.08; 550 ± 50 µS/cm, while reconstituted seawater (osmotic water + marine

138   sea salt; Tetra, Germany, 12 L per tank) was retained at 13.37 ± 0.46 °C; pH 7.87 ±

139   0.04; 61.31 ± 1.34 mS/cm; 31.53 ± 0.82 psu. During all acclimation and experimental

140   steps, two-thirds of the water volume were renewed twice each week to ensure the

141   total depuration of organisms. *Dreissena polymorpha* (28.3 ± 2.9 mm; 3.3 ± 0.5 g, 70

142   mussels per tank) were fed twice per week, with two microalga species,

143   *Scenedesmus obliquus* and *Chlorella pyrenoidosa,* at a ratio of one million of each

144   species per mussel per day. *Mytilus edulis* (43.1 ± 3.1 mm; 15.5 ± 2.4 g, 70 mussels

145   per tank) were fed at the same intervals as zebra mussels, with *Isochrysis galbana*

146   algae at a ratio of four million cells per day per mussel. Four zebra mussels and one

147   blue mussel died during acclimation or experimental steps (1.9% and 0.5%,

148   respectively).

149

150   *2.1.2. Hemolymph collection*

151   In order to simplify the exposure of hemocytes to protozoa and to limit

152   exposure biases (adsorption, differential accumulation, etc.), these biological

153   stresses were applied during ex vivo exposures. Hemolymph recovery was done

154   before feeding in order not to threaten cytometric analyses. Furthermore, in order to

155   limit the individual variations of the cellular markers and to expose the same

156   individuals to the different concentrations of protozoa, hemolymph samples were

157   pooled. Consequently, hemolymph from five mussels of each species was withdrawn

158   from the posterior adductor muscle with 0.3 mL syringes (30 G x 8 mm, Becton

159   Dickinson, NJ, USA) for zebra mussels and 1 mL syringes (23 G x 1", Becton

160   Dickinson) for blue mussels. Samples were kept on ice and pooled in order to obtain

161   enough hemolymph volume for all flow cytometric analyses. Cell counts were

162   examined with KOVA slides (KOVA international, CA, USA) and cell concentrations

163   were adjusted for the two mussels and for each exposure condition to 375,000 cells

164   per microplate well (U-bottom, VWR, PA, USA). As hemocytes are adherent cells

165   (Delaporte et al., 2003; Labreuche et al., 2006), a sedimentation step was then

166   conducted according to internal protocols (Barjhoux et al., unpublished data) and

167   plasma was removed in order to retain only viable hemocytes for the experiment.

168   Then, hemocytes from zebra mussels were suspended in Leibovitz 15 medium (L15,

169   Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA), modified according to Quinn et al. (2009) and Evariste et

170   al. (2016) protocols. For blue mussels, hemocytes were suspended in a marine

physiological saline solution (MPSS, pH 7.8, filtered with 0.2 µm filter, NaCl at 470 mM, KCl at 10 mM, CaCl2 at 10 mM, Hepes at 10 mM, MgSO4 at 47.7 mM, (Le Foll et al., 2010; Rioult et al., 2014)).

2.2. Exposures to biological stress and assessment of immune parameters

Tested concentrations of *T. gondii* (generously gifted by J.P. Dubey, USDA (U.S. Department of Agriculture), Beltsville, USA; strain ME-49 genotype II) and *C. parvum* (INRA, Institut national de la recherche agronomique, Val de Loire research center, France; Iowa isolate) oocysts were chosen in accordance with previous work (Le Guernic et al., 2019), i.e. a ratio of protozoa:hemocytes of 1:25 and 1:5 for *T. gondii* (15,000 and 75,000 oocysts per microplate well, respectively) and 1:1 and 5:1 for *C. parvum* (375,000 and 1,875,000 oocysts per microplate well, respectively). Hemocytes were ex vivo exposed to these oocysts for 4 h (16 °C, in the dark). Autofluorescence of *T. gondii* oocysts (UV), different from that of hemocytes, allows us to remove them from flow cytometric analyses (Supplementary Fig. S1). *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts are small enough not to be confused with hemocytes of the two mussels, and they are therefore easily separable from hemocytes during flow cytometry analyses (Supplementary Fig. S1).

To assess immune parameters, each pool exposed or not to biological stress was immediately incubated for 4 h according to the following conditions: i) 2 µm yellow-green fluorescent latex microbeads with a cell:bead ratio of 1:50 (4 h of co-exposure to protozoa and beads at once, 16 °C, in the dark, Polysciences Inc., PA, USA, (Barjhoux et al., unpublished data), ii) 0.5 µM caspases 3/7 green flow cytometric reagent (30 min before the end of 4 h of protozoan-hemocyte exposure, 16 °C, in the dark, Thermofisher Scientific, MA, USA), and iii) 5 µM 4-amino-5-methylamino-2',7'-difluorofluorescein diacetate (DAF-fm, 30 min before the end of 4 h protozoan-hemocyte exposure, 16 °C, in the dark, Thermofisher Scientific). Ten minutes before analyses, cells were removed into new wells with an anti-aggregate solution (Trypsine-EDTA solution for *D. polymorpha*, Sigma-Aldrich, (Yang et al., 2002) adapted on hemocytes of zebra mussel by Barjhoux et al. (unpublished data); and Alsever solution for blue mussels (ALS, NaCl at 300 mM, Glucose at 100 mM, Citrate sodium at 30 mM, citric acid at 26 mM, and EDTA at 10 mM, pH 5.4 (Rioult et al., 2014)). Finally, cells were labelled with propidium iodide (PI, 1 % final, Sigma-

204   Aldrich) in order to reveal dead hemocytes. A control for each pool (without protozoan

205   and flow cytometry reagent) was performed in order to ensure that 4 h incubation did

206   not alter hemocyte viability/phagocytosis (data not shown).

207   Data from 10,000 cells per sample were counted for each suspension sample

208   with an Accuri™ C6 SORP flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, N.J., USA, with BD

209   Accuri™ C6 software (v1.0.264.21)). Viability and phagocytosis markers, and their

210   corresponding method of analysis, are described in Table 1.

211

212   *2.3. Statistical analyses*

213   Statistical analyses were performed with R software (3.4.1). Comparisons

214   between basal levels of markers of both mussels were assessed with Student t or

215   Wilcoxon tests, according to results obtained with Shapiro-Wilk (distributions

216   normality) and Fisher (homoscedasticity) tests ($\alpha = 5\%$). For data of responses to

217   oocysts, an ANOVA was performed ($\alpha = 5\%$). ANOVA residuals normality was tested

218   with a Shapiro-Wilk test as well as variance homogeneity by a Levene test. If these

219   conditions were met, ANOVA results were kept, if not, a Kruskal-Wallis test was

220   performed ($\alpha = 5\%$). Then, a post-hoc test was performed in order to discriminate

221   significant differences within each group with a Tukey's HSD test (honestly significant

222   difference) with Holm correction (parametric data) or a Nemenyi test (non-parametric

223   data). This statistical treatment was used on 16 pools for basal differences between

224   mussels and on eight pools for responses to oocyst data.

225

226   **3. Results and discussion**

227   *3.1. Basal levels of immune parameters between hemocytes from D. polymorpha and*

228   *M. edulis*

229   Basal levels of immune markers are described in Table 2. Viability of

230   hemocytes from blue mussels was greater than that of zebra mussels, characterised

231   by lower hemocyte mortality (PI labelled hemocytes) and hemocyte apoptosis (cells

232   with activated caspases 3/7) ($P = 6.65 \times 10^{-6}$ and $P = 1.11 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively; Table

233   2). While hemocytes from the two bivalves ingested the same number of microbeads,

234   zebra mussel hemocytes had a phagocytic capacity and efficiency lower than those

235   of blue mussels (15% less on average, $P = 8.94 \times 10^{-6}$ and $P = 8.05 \times 10^{-6}$,

8

respectively; Table 2). No difference in basal NO (nitric oxide) production was observed between zebra mussel and blue mussel hemocytes (Table 2).

Differences in basal levels of immune parameters between bivalve species have been reported many times in the literature. Indeed, Wootton et al. (2003) compared immune systems of three bivalves, *Mytilus edulis*, *Cerastoderma edule*, and *Ensis siliqua*, and found great disparities between species. Percentages of phagocytic hemocytes varied from 20 to 80% according to the species, as well as phagocytic avidity, which varied from 4 to 10 ingested beads. Despite differences in phagocytic capacity and efficiency (Table 2), our results suggest that *D. polymorpha* and *M. edulis* are able to ingest the same number of microbeads. Important variations in the immune parameters related to the different species have been reported by Sauvé et al. (2002). In their study, immune markers of eight marine bivalves (*Cyrtodaria siliqua*, *Mactromeris polynyma*, *Mesodesma arctatum*, *Mya arenaria*, *Mya truncata*, *Mytilus edulis*, *Serripes groenlandicus*, *Siliqua costada*) and two freshwater bivalves (*Dreissena polymorpha* and *Elliptio complanata*) were compared. Phagocytic levels between these species varied from approximately 10% to 60%, highlighting the high inter-species variation. In addition to these differences in phagocytosis, the hemocyte mortality of these molluscs has been assessed (Sauvé et al., 2002). After a longer incubation period (24 h), hemocytes presented a mean necrotic level of approximately 26% (Sauvé et al., 2002). Hemocyte mortality (PI-labelled cells) in zebra mussel hemolymph assessed in our study (11.53 ± 2.92%) was lower than that found with the same species by Sauvé et al. (2002), and close to the 16.37% reported by Evariste et al. (2016). Correlatively, blue mussel mortality ranged from to 1.4 to 6.9%, and was comparable to that observed by Galimany et al. (2008) (approximately 2.0%). As for NO production in our study, Wootton et al. (2003) did not find significant differences of intracellular ROS (reactive oxygen species) production between bivalve species. Nonetheless, these authors noticed differences between species for extracellular ROS production. Given all the basal differences in immune markers between zebra and blue mussels, it would have been interesting to evaluate the extracellular NO production. Other studies have highlighted basal differences between bivalve species, whether on phagocytosis parameters (Ordas et al., 1999; Delaporte et al., 2003; Hurtado et al., 2011; Dang et al., 2012), hemocyte mortality (Hurtado et al., 2011), oxidative activities (Gestal et al.,

269 2008; Hurtado et al., 2011), or other immune markers such as phenoloxidase activity

270 (Munoz et al., 2006) or lysosomal activity (Suresh and Mohandas, 1990).

271 There could be other explanations for the basal level differences observed

272 between species. First, conservation and resuspension buffers were necessarily

273 different between our two models. Although these buffers were tested and approved

274 by several authors (Quinn et al., 2009; Le Foll et al., 2010; Rioult et al., 2014;

275 Evariste et al., 2016; Barjhoux et al., unpublished data), this buffer difference could

276 modify basal biomarker levels. Indeed, the percentage of phagocytic cells of *M.*

277 *arenaria* were modified according to buffer solution and/or incubation time (Sauvé et

278 al., 2002). In our study, except for buffers, the ex vivo experimental procedures on

279 hemocytes of both mussels were strictly identical in order to simplify and allow

280 comparison. Secondly, since the plasma has been removed from samples, we did

281 not study the immune responses as a whole but only the cellular compartment.

282 Indeed, several immune and humoral factors are inside plasma including lectins and

283 antimicrobial peptides, which allow the recognition of non-self, opsonisation, the

284 recruitment of other hemocytes, or the immobilization of pathogens, or even their

285 degradation (Kim et al., 2006; Allam and Raftos, 2015). These humoral activities,

286 such as the proportion of lectins produced, can differ between bivalve species

287 (Wootton et al., 2003). The focus of intracellular responses may accentuate or

288 attenuate the differences in immune performance between our two biological models.

289 This study of basal immune marker levels between two mussels from two

290 aquatic habitats highlighted some dissimilarities. Since these differences have been

291 shown, it will be easier to compare their immune responses after exposure to various

292 stresses.

293

294 *3.2. Effects of human protozoa on immune parameters of mussels*

295 Similar to previous results obtained on zebra mussel hemocytes (Le Guernic

296 et al., 2019), exposure of these immune cells to *T. gondii* or *C. parvum* oocysts did

297 not impact their viability, whether for cell mortality (IP+) or apoptosis (Table 3).

298 On the contrary, hemocytes from blue mussels were impacted by *T. gondii* oocysts,

299 translated as dose-dependent increases in hemocyte mortality and apoptosis ($P =$

300 2.25×10^{-2} and $P = 2.50 \times 10^{-2}$ compared with control conditions, respectively; Table

301 3). *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts, at the highest concentration (1:5), induced decreases

302 of phagocytic capacity and efficiency of hemocytes of both mussels (blue mussel: $P =$
303 4.46 x 10^{-4} and $P = 1.24$ x 10^{-3} compared with control conditions, respectively; zebra
304 mussel: $P = 6.10$ x 10^{-6} and $P = 2.24$ x 10^{-5} compared with control conditions,
305 respectively; Table 3, Fig. 1). Moreover, exposure of zebra mussel hemocytes to the
306 two biological stresses at the highest concentration induced ingestion of fewer
307 microbeads ($P = 3.00$ x 10^{-2} after *C. parvum* exposure and $P = 8.60$ x 10^{-3} after *T.*
308 *gondii* exposure). This effect was not observed in blue mussel hemocytes (Table 3).

309 The basal NO production seems to increase with protozoa concentrations, for
310 both protozoa and for both mussels (Table 3). Nevertheless, only hemocytes from
311 blue mussels exposed to *T. gondii* oocysts and those of zebra mussels exposed to *C.*
312 *parvum* oocysts produced a gradual and significant increase in intracellular NO ($P =$
313 2.25 x 10^{-2} between 0:1 and 1:5 conditions and $P = 3.91$ x 10-2 between 0:1 and 5:1
314 conditions, respectively, Table 3).

315 It has been reported many times that *M. edulis* and *D. polymorpha* can
316 accumulate *T. gondii* and *C. parvum* (Lucy et al., 2008; Palos-Ladeiro et al., 2015;
317 Kerambrun et al., 2016). Probably because mussels are paratenic hosts for these
318 protozoa, very few studies have investigated the possible effects of these parasites
319 on the mussels' immunity. Abbott Chalew et al. (2012) used *C. parvum* oocysts to
320 assess phagocytic levels of eastern oyster hemocytes (*Crassostrea virginica*). These
321 hemocytes were able to ingest from 10 to 30 oocysts, in a dose-dependent manner.
322 The corbicula, *Corbicula fluminea*, also ingested cysts of another enteroprotozoan,
323 *Giardia duodenalis* (Graczyk et al., 1997a). Correlatively, previous studies have
324 shown that zebra mussel hemocytes were able to engulf *C. parvum* oocysts (Palos
325 Ladeiro et al., 2018a), and were altered and able to initiate the encapsulation process
326 after exposure to *T. gondii* oocysts (Le Guernic et al., 2019). In our study, decreases
327 in phagocytosis of microbeads could be due to the establishment of immune
328 responses to parasites. Hemocytes which ingest protozoa, or which aggregate to
329 achieve encapsulation, certainly cannot ingest as many microbeads as unexposed
330 hemocytes. Other studies, focusing on the effects of protozoa on mussels, have
331 mainly studied *Perkinsus*, *Bonamia*, *Marteila*, or *Haplosporidium* spp., for which
332 mussels are specific hosts (Zannella et al., 2017). Effects of these protozoa on
333 hemocytes are varied and summarized by Zannella et al. (2017) and Soudant et al.
334 (2013). All immune components can be impacted, such as THC (total hemocyte
335 count) (Anderson et al., 1995; Soudant et al., 2013), ROS production (Anderson et

al., 1995; Soudant et al., 2013), phagocytosis (Goedken et al., 2005; Soudant et al., 2013), apoptosis (Goedken et al., 2005; Soudant et al., 2013), lectin synthesis (Kim et al., 2006; Soudant et al., 2013), etc. Immune system cellular components of bivalves are impacted by numerous protozoan parasites, whether their hosts are specific or paratenic.

Hemocytes of both mussels do not react similarly to both protozoa. Indeed, while *T. gondii* and *C. parvum* induce almost the same effects on zebra mussels' hemocytes (decreases in phagocytic parameters), blue mussels' hemocytes react only to *T. gondii* oocysts (decreases in hemocyte viability and phagocytic parameters). A principal component analysis (PCA) was performed on immune reactions to protozoa for both mussels (Fig. 2). For the zebra mussel, the first axis, separating exposure conditions, explains 45.42% of total inertia, and strong contributions for this axis (> 20 %) are due to phagocytic capacity, efficiency and avidity (Fig. 2). On the contrary, *M. edulis* markers show no difference in responses between the control and *C. parvum* oocyst exposures (overlapped circles; Fig. 2), while responses to *T. gondii* oocyst exposure are detached from other responses according to both axes (49.89 and 29.35% of total inertia, respectively; Fig. 2). These axes are built with a strong contribution of hemocyte mortality and phagocytic capacity and efficiency for PC1, and by the phagocytic avidity and NO basal production for PC2.

Immune responses against a biological stress depend on its nature but also on bivalve species (Pruzzo et al., 2005). Our differences in responses to protozoa according to mussel species are not surprising, and could be explained by several hypotheses.

Firstly, species can have different immune abilities as well as different specificities to pathogens. Few studies have been concerned with the effects of a protozoan on at least two bivalve species. An exposure to *Perkinsus marinus* induced higher phagocytosis in hemocytes of *C. virginica* than that of *C. gigas* (La Peyre et al., 1995). Inversely, secretory products of *Perkinsus atlanticus* had reduced phagocytosis of zymosan particles by bivalves' hemocytes, but was more pronounced in mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) than in clams (*Ruditapes decussatus*) (Ordas et al., 1999). *Perkinsus marinus* also can alter ROS or reactive oxygen intermediates (ROI) production according to host species (Volety and Chu, 1995; Gestal et al., 2008). Bivalve species can react differently to other biological

stresses such as bacteria (Lambert and Nicolas, 1998; Allam et al., 2006) or protists (Ford et al., 1993).

Secondly, these differences in responses could be explained by the dissimilarities in the composition of the oocyst wall between *T. gondii* and *C. parvum*, as well as by possible divergence in recognition of instigators between the two mussels. Although oocyst walls of both protozoa have similarities such as the presence of two layers providing resistance to mechanical and chemical stresses or the presence of acid-fast lipids such as triglycerides, the wall of the *Toxoplasma gondii* oocyst seems more sophisticated (Bushkin et al., 2013; Dumètre et al., 2013). Indeed, this oocyst wall, contrary to that of *C. parvum*, contains dityrosine proteins, allowing robustness and UV fluorescence, porous scaffold in the inner layer of the oocyst wall constituted by fibrils of β-1,3-glucan, as well as more proteins (Bushkin et al., 2013; Samuelson et al., 2013). This difference in composition may result in different recognition by hemocytes. Indeed, several studies have highlighted the presence of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) specific to β-1,3-glucan in bivalves (Itoh et al., 2010; Anderson et al., 2011). This recognition can lead to various immune responses by hemocytes such as modifications of the distribution and number of hemocytes, their adhesion / aggregation capacities, as well as ROS or reactive nitrogen species (RNS) production (Costa et al., 2009; Anderson et al., 2011). Hemocyte response differences of both mussels to protozoa may be related to the presence and nature of these PRRs. In addition to these PRRs, some antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) could have anti-protozoan activities (Reddy et al., 2004). Despite new advances (Leprêtre et al., 2019), knowledge of AMPs and PRR in the blue mussel is much more advanced than in the zebra mussel (Mitta et al., 2000; Reddy et al., 2004; Song et al., 2010). Differences in PRRs and AMPs (nature and abundance) between mussels could explain the response differences in these two bivalves to protozoa.

Thirdly, as already discussed in section 3.1, the entire immune system has not been taken into account because humoral factors were not assessed. Without humoral factors, the recognition of oocysts by hemocytes can only be done directly (Allam and Raftos, 2015). The proportion of cellular and humoral responses can vary depending on the species (Wootton et al., 2003), which might explain the difference in immune responses between the two bivalves.

The fourth and last hypothesis that could explain these differences is related to the size of the two oocysts. The size of *T. gondii* oocysts is between 10 and 12 µm, while that of *C. parvum* is approximately 4-5 µm (Abbott Chalew et al., 2012; Dumètre et al., 2013). This difference in size might be important in the immune strategy put in place by the hemocytes (phagocytosis or encapsulation). Indeed, hemocytes are unable to phagocytose large particles and can implement encapsulation to remove those (Cheng and Rifkin, 1970; Pila et al., 2016). We have previously shown the setup of the encapsulation of *T. gondii* oocysts by the zebra mussel hemocytes (Le Guernic et al., 2019), as well as the phagocytosis of *C. parvum* oocysts by these hemocytes (Palos Ladeiro et al., 2018a). The hemocyte responses to protozoa might therefore vary according to the size of the oocysts.

Although phagocytosis of microbeads decreased after exposure of both mussels to protozoa, we have reported different immune capacities according to mussel and oocyst species. New characterisations of their immune capacities, including aggregation, remain to be developed to understand the specificities of both mussels.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we proposed the evaluatation of effects of protozoa on hemocytes of two mussel species often used in environmental biomonitoring, taking into account the basal differences in their immune responses. Without any stress, cellular components of the blue mussel immune system are healthier and more active than those in the zebra mussel. Mussels' hemocytes which were exposed to *T. gondii* and *C. parvum* oocysts exhibited different immune responses. These responses varied depending on the nature of the mussels and oocysts; while zebra mussels' hemocytes reacted similarly to both oocysts, the blue mussel ones were more impacted by *T. gondii* oocysts but not by *C. parvum*.

Characterisation of basal levels of markers of these two biological models allows the comparison between these species and thus the possibility to perform large scale biomonitoring (freshwater – marine water continuum). Nonetheless, differences in impact and immune responses according to mussel species and biological stresses, highlighted the necessity to continue study of their immune characterisation. Consideration of the entire immune system via the study of humoral

436 factors contained in the plasma, the study of other immune markers (hemocyte
437 aggregation, motility, etc.), and in vivo experiments could improve our knowledge
438 about immune abilities and specificities of hemocytes from these mussels, and could
439 finally reduce comparison obstacles during large scale biomonitoring.
440

441 **Acknowledgments**

452
453

References

Abbott Chalew, T.E., Galloway, J.F., Graczyk, T.K., 2012. Pilot study on effects of nanoparticle exposure on *Crassostrea virginica* hemocyte phagocytosis. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 64, 2251-2253.

Allam, B., Paillard, C., Auffret, M., Ford, S.E., 2006. Effects of the pathogenic *Vibrio tapetis* on defence factors of susceptible and non-susceptible bivalve species: II. Cellular and biochemical changes following in vivo challenge. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 20, 384-397.

Allam, B., Raftos, D., 2015. Immune responses to infectious diseases in bivalves. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 131, 121-136.

Anderson, R.S., Burreson, E.M., Paynter, K.T., 1995. Defense responses of hemocytes withdrawn from *Crassostrea virginica* infected with *Perkinsus marinus*. J. Invertebr. Pathol 66, 82-89.

Anderson, R.S., Ozbay, G., Kingsley, D.H., Strauss, M.A., 2011. Oyster hemocyte mobilization and increased adhesion activity after β-glucan administration. J. Shellfish Res. 30, 635-641.

Besse, J.-P., Geffard, O., Coquery, M., 2012. Relevance and applicability of active biomonitoring in continental waters under the Water Framework Directive. TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry 36, 113-127.

Bigot-Clivot, A., Palos-Ladeiro, M., Lepoutre, A., Bastien, F., Bonnard, I., Dubey, J.P., Villena, I., Aubert, D., Geffard, O., François, A., 2016. Bioaccumulation of *Toxoplasma* and *Cryptosporidium* by the freshwater crustacean *Gammarus fossarum*: Involvement in biomonitoring surveys and trophic transfer. Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 133, 188-194.

Binelli, A., Della Torre, C., Magni, S., Parolini, M., 2015. Does zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) represent the freshwater counterpart of *Mytilus* in ecotoxicological studies? A critical review. Environ. Pollut.196, 386-403.

Bols, N.C., Brubacher, J.L., Ganassin, R.C., Lee, L.E., 2001. Ecotoxicology and innate immunity in fish. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 25, 853-873.

Bushkin, G.G., Motari, E., Carpentieri, A., Dubey, J.P., Costello, C.E., Robbins, P.W., Samuelson, J., 2013. Evidence for a structural role for acid-fast lipids in oocyst walls of *Cryptosporidium*, *Toxoplasma*, and *Eimeria*. MBio 4, e00387-00313.

486 Castro-Hermida, J.A., Garcia-Presedo, I., Almeida, A., Gonzalez-Warleta, M., Da
487   Costa, J.M.C., Mezo, M., 2008. Contribution of treated wastewater to the
488   contamination of recreational river areas with *Cryptosporidium* spp. and
489   *Giardia duodenalis*. Water Res. 42, 3528-3538.

490 Cheng, T., Rifkin, E., 1970. Cellular reactions in marine molluscs in response to
491   helminth parasitism. Special Publications. Am. Fish. Soc., 443-496.

492 Conrad, P.A., Miller, M.A., Kreuder, C., James, E.R., Mazet, J., Dabritz, H., Jessup,
493   D.A., Gulland, F., Grigg, M.E., 2005. Transmission of *Toxoplasma*: clues from
494   the study of sea otters as sentinels of *Toxoplasma gondii* flow into the marine
495   environment. Int. J. Parasitol-Par 35, 1155-1168.

496 Costa, M., Prado-Álvarez, M., Gestal, C., Li, H., Roch, P., Novoa, B., Figueras, A.,
497   2009. Functional and molecular immune response of Mediterranean mussel
498   (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) haemocytes against pathogen-associated molecular
499   patterns and bacteria. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 26, 515-523.

500 Dang, C., Tan, T., Moffit, D., Deboutteville, J.D., Barnes, A.C., 2012. Gender
501   differences in hemocyte immune parameters of bivalves: The Sydney rock
502   oyster *Saccostrea glomerata* and the pearl oyster *Pinctada fucata*. Fish
503   Shellfish Immunol. 33, 138-142.

504 Delaporte, M., Soudant, P., Moal, J., Lambert, C., Quéré, C., Miner, P., Choquet, G.,
505   Paillard, C., Samain, J.-F., 2003. Effect of a mono-specific algal diet on
506   immune functions in two bivalve species-*Crassostrea gigas* and *Ruditapes*
507   *philippinarum*. J. Exp. Biol. 206, 3053-3064.

508 DeRegnier, D.P., Cole, L., Schupp, D.G., Erlandsen, S.L., 1989. Viability of *Giardia*
509   cysts suspended in lake, river, and tap water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 55,
510   1223-1229.

511 Dumètre, A., Dubey, J.P., Ferguson, D.J., Bongrand, P., Azas, N., Puech, P.-H.,
512   2013. Mechanics of the *Toxoplasma gondii* oocyst wall. PNAS 110, 11535-
513   11540.

514 Esmerini, P.O., Gennari, S.M., Pena, H.F.J., 2010. Analysis of marine bivalve
515   shellfish from the fish market in Santos city, Sao Paulo state, Brazil, for
516   *Toxoplasma gondii*. Vet. Parasitol. 170, 8-13.

517 Evariste, L., Auffret, M., Audonnet, S., Geffard, A., David, E., Brousseau, P.,
518   Fournier, M., Betoulle, S., 2016. Functional features of hemocyte

519   subpopulations of the invasive mollusk species *Dreissena polymorpha*. Fish
520   Shellfish Immunol. 56, 144-154.

521 Fayer, R., Graczyk, T.K., Lewis, E.J., Trout, J.M., Farley, C.A., 1998. Survival of
522   infectious *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in seawater and eastern oysters
523   (*Crassostrea virginica*) in the Chesapeake Bay. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64,
524   1070-1074.

525 Ford, S.E., Ashton-Alcox, K.A., Kanaley, S.A., 1993. *In vitro* interactions between
526   bivalve hemocytes and the oyster pathogen *Haplosporidium nelsoni* (MSX). J
527   Parasitol, 255-265.

528 Galimany, E., Sunila, I., Hégaret, H., Ramón, M., Wikfors, G.H., 2008. Pathology and
529   immune response of the blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis* L.) after an exposure to
530   the harmful dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum minimum*. Harmful Algae 7, 630-638.

531 Gestal, C., Roch, P., Renault, T., Pallavicini, A., Paillard, C., Novoa, B., Oubella, R.,
532   Venier, P., Figueras, A., 2008. Study of diseases and the immune system of
533   bivalves using molecular biology and genomics. Rev. Fish. Sci. 16, 133-156.

534 Goedken, M., Morsey, B., Sunila, I., De Guise, S., 2005. Immunomodulation of
535   *Crassostrea gigas* and *Crassostrea virginica* cellular defense mechanisms by
536   *Perkinsus marinus*. J. Shellfish Res. 24, 487-496.

537 Gomez-Bautista, M., Ortega-Mora, L., Tabares, E., Lopez-Rodas, V., Costas, E.,
538   2000. Detection of infectious *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts in mussels
539   (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) and cockles (*Cerastoderma edule*). Appl. Environ.
540   Microbiol. 66, 1866-1870.

541 Gomez-Couso, H., Mendez-Hermida, F., Castro-Hermida, J.A., Ares-Mazas, E.,
542   2006. Cooking mussels (*Mytilus galloprovincialis*) by steam does not destroy
543   the infectivity of *Cryptosporidium parvum*. J. Food Prot. 69, 948-950.

544 Graczyk, T., Conn, D., Marcogliese, D., Graczyk, H., De Lafontaine, Y., 2003.
545   Accumulation of human waterborne parasites by zebra mussels (*Dreissena*
546   *polymorpha*) and Asian freshwater clams (*Corbicula fluminea*). Parasitol. Res.
547   89, 107-112.

548 Graczyk, T.K., Cranfield, M.R., Conn, D.B., 1997a. In vitro phagocytosis of *Giardia*
549   *duodenalis* cysts by hemocytes of the Asian freshwater clam *Corbicula*
550   *fluminea*. Parasitol. Res. 83, 743-745.

551 Graczyk, T.K., Fayer, R., Lewis, E.J., Farley, C.A., Trout, J.M., 1997b. *In vitro*
552   interactions between hemocytes of the eastern oyster, *Crassostrea virginica*
553   Gmelin, 1791 and *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts. J Parasitol 83, 949-952.

554 Helmi, K., Skraber, S., Burnet, J.-B., Leblanc, L., Hoffmann, L., Cauchie, H.-M., 2011.
555   Two-year monitoring of *Cryptosporidium parvum* and *Giardia lamblia*
556   occurrence in a recreational and drinking water reservoir using standard
557   microscopic and molecular biology techniques. Environ. Monit. Assess. 179,
558   163-175.

559 Hurtado, M.Á., Mirella da Silva, P., Le Goïc, N., Palacios, E., Soudant, P., 2011.
560   Effect of acclimatization on hemocyte functional characteristics of the Pacific
561   oyster (*Crassostrea gigas*) and carpet shell clam (*Ruditapes decussatus*). Fish
562   Shellfish Immunol. 31, 978-984.

563 Itoh, N., Kamitaka, R., Takahashi, K.G., Osada, M., 2010. Identification and
564   characterization of multiple β-glucan binding proteins in the Pacific oyster,
565   *Crassostrea gigas*. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 34, 445-454.

566 Kerambrun, E., Palos Ladeiro, M., Bigot-Clivot, A., Dedourge-Geffard, O., Dupuis, E.,
567   Villena, I., Aubert, D., Geffard, A., 2016. Zebra mussel as a new tool to show
568   evidence of freshwater contamination by waterborne *Toxoplasma gondii*. J.
569   Appl. Microbiol. 120, 498-508.

570 Kim, Y.M., Park, K.-I., Choi, K.-S., Alvarez, R.A., Cummings, R.D., Cho, M., 2006.
571   Lectin from the Manila clam *Ruditapes philippinarum* is induced upon infection
572   with the protozoan parasite *Perkinsus olseni*. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 26854-
573   26864.

574 La Peyre, J.F., Fu-lin, E.C., Vogelbein, W.K., 1995. *In vitro* interaction of *Perkinsus*
575   *marinu*s merozoites with eastern and Pacific oyster hemocytes. Dev. Comp.
576   Immunol. 19, 291-304.

577 Labreuche, Y., Soudant, P., Gonçalves, M., Lambert, C., Nicolas, J.-L., 2006. Effects
578   of extracellular products from the pathogenic *Vibrio aestuarianus* strain 01/32
579   on lethality and cellular immune responses of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas*.
580   Dev. Comp. Immunol. 30, 367-379.

581 Lambert, C., Nicolas, J.-L., 1998. Specific inhibition of chemiluminescent activity by
582   pathogenic vibrios in hemocytes of two marine bivalves: *Pecten maximus* and
583   *Crassostrea gigas*. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 71, 53-63.

584 Le Foll, F., Rioult, D., Boussa, S., Pasquier, J., Dagher, Z., Leboulenger, F., 2010.
585   Characterisation of *Mytilus edulis* hemocyte subpopulations by single cell time-
586   lapse motility imaging. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 28, 372-386.

587 Le Guernic, A., Geffard, A., Rioult, D., Bonnard, I., Le Foll, F., Palos Ladeiro, M.,
588   2019. First evidence of cytotoxic effects of human protozoan parasites on
589   zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*) hemocytes. Environ. Micobiol. Rep. 11,
590   414-418.

591 Leprêtre, M., Almunia, C., Armengaud, J., Salvador, A., Geffard, A., Palos-Ladeiro,
592   M., 2019. The immune system of the freshwater zebra mussel, *Dreissena*
593   *polymorpha*, decrypted by proteogenomics of hemocytes and plasma
594   compartments. J. Proteomics 202, 103366.

595 Lindsay, D., Collins, M.V., Mitchell, S.M., Cole, R.A., Flick, G.J., Wetch, C.N.,
596   Lindquist, A., Dubey, J.P., 2003. Sporulation and survival of *Toxoplasma*
597   *gondii* oocysts in seawater. J. Eukaryot. Microbiol. 50, 687-688.

598 Lucy, F.E., Graczyk, T.K., Tamang, L., Miraflor, A., Minchin, D., 2008. Biomonitoring
599   of surface and coastal water for *Cryptosporidium*, *Giardia*, and human-virulent
600   microsporidia using molluscan shellfish. Parasitol. Res. 103, 1369-1375.

601 Mitta, G., Hubert, F., Dyrynda, E.A., Boudry, P., Roch, P., 2000. Mytilin B and MGD2,
602   two antimicrobial peptides of marine mussels: gene structure and expression
603   analysis. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 24, 381-393.

604 Munoz, P., Meseguer, J., Esteban, M.Á., 2006. Phenoloxidase activity in three
605   commercial bivalve species. Changes due to natural infestation with *Perkinsus*
606   *atlanticus*. Fish Shellfish Immunol. 20, 12-19.

607 Ordas, M.C., Novoa, B., Figueras, A., 1999. Phagocytosis inhibition of clam and
608   mussel haemocytes by *Perkinsus atlanticus* secretion products. Fish Shellfish
609   Immunol. 9, 491-503.

610 Palos-Ladeiro, M., Aubert, D., Villena, I., Geffard, A., Bigot, A., 2014.
611   Bioaccumulation of human waterborne protozoa by zebra mussel (*Dreissena*
612   *polymorpha*): interest for water biomonitoring. Water Res. 48, 148-155.

613 Palos-Ladeiro, M., Bigot-Clivot, A., Aubert, D., Villena, I., Geffard, A., 2015.
614   Assessment of *Toxoplasma gondii* levels in zebra mussel (*Dreissena*
615   *polymorpha*) by real-time PCR: an organotropism study. Environ. Sci. Pollut.
616   Res. 22, 13693-13701.

Palos-Ladeiro, M., Bigot, A., Aubert, D., Hohweyer, J., Favennec, L., Villena, I., Geffard, A., 2012. Protozoa interaction with aquatic invertebrate: interest for watercourses biomonitoring. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 20, 778-789.

Palos Ladeiro, M., Aubert, D., Bigot-Clivot, A., Durand, L., Favennec, L., Gargala, G., Geba, E., La Carbona, S., Le Foll, F., Le Guernic, A., Leprêtre, M., Pierre, S., Villena, I., Geffard, A., 2018a. Mollusc bivalves as indicators of contamination of water bodies by protozoan parasites, in: Elias, S. (Ed.), Reference Module in Earth Systems and Environmental Sciences. Elsevier, 443-448.

Pila, E.A., Sullivan, J.T., Wu, X.Z., Fang, J., Rudko, S.P., Gordy, M.A., Hanington, P.C., 2016. Haematopoiesis in molluscs: A review of haemocyte development and function in gastropods, cephalopods and bivalves. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 58, 119-128.

Pruzzo, C., Gallo, G., Canesi, L., 2005. Persistence of vibrios in marine bivalves: the role of interactions with haemolymph components. Environ. Microbiol. 7, 761-772.

Quinn, B., Costello, M.J., Dorange, G., Wilson, J.G., Mothersill, C., 2009. Development of an in vitro culture method for cells and tissues from the zebra mussel (*Dreissena polymorpha*). Cytotechnology 59, 121-134.

Reddy, K., Yedery, R., Aranha, C., 2004. Antimicrobial peptides: premises and promises. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 24, 536-547.

Rioult, D., Pasquier, J., Boulangé-Lecomte, C., Poret, A., Abbas, I., Marin, M., Minier, C., Le Foll, F., 2014. The multi-xenobiotic resistance (MXR) efflux activity in hemocytes of *Mytilus edulis* is mediated by an ATP binding cassette transporter of class C (ABCC) principally inducible in eosinophilic granulocytes. Aquat. Toxicol 153, 98-109.

Salo, H., Dautremepuits, C., Smits, J.E.G., Brousseau, P., Fournier, M., 2005. Immune markers in ecotoxicology: a comparison across species, in: Tryphonas, H., Fournier, M., Blakley, B.R., Smits, J.E.G., Brousseau, P. (Eds.), Investigative Immunotoxicology. CRC Press, pp. 147-161.

Samuelson, J., Bushkin, G.G., Chatterjee, A., Robbins, P.W., 2013. Strategies to discover the structural components of cyst and oocyst walls. EC. 00213-00213, 12, 1578-1587.

Sauvé, S., Brousseau, P., Pellerin, J., Morin, Y., Senécal, L., Goudreau, P., Fournier, M., 2002. Phagocytic activity of marine and freshwater bivalves: *in vitro*

651   exposure of hemocytes to metals (Ag, Cd, Hg and Zn). Aquat. Toxicol 58, 189-
652   200.

653 Schwarzenbach, R.P., Egli, T., Hofstetter, T.B., Von Gunten, U., Wehrli, B., 2010.
654   Global water pollution and human health. Annu. Rev. Env. Resour. 35, 109-
655   136.

656 Shapiro, K., Silver, M.W., Largier, J.L., Conrad, P.A., Mazet, J.A.K., 2012.
657   Association of *Toxoplasma gondii* oocysts with fresh, estuarine, and marine
658   macroaggregates. Limnol. Oceanogr. 57, 449-456.

659 Shapiro, K., VanWormer, E., Aguilar, B., Conrad, P.A., 2014. Surveillance for
660   *Toxoplasma gondii* in California mussels (*Mytilus californianus*) reveals
661   transmission of atypical genotypes from land to sea. Environ. Microbiol. 17,
662   4177-4188.

663 Smith, H.V., Cacció, S.M., Tait, A., McLauchlin, J., Thompson, R.C.A., 2006. Tools
664   for investigating the environmental transmission of *Cryptosporidium* and
665   *Giardia* infections in humans. Trends Parasitol. 22, 160-167.

666 Song, L., Wang, L., Qiu, L., Zhang, H., 2010. Bivalve immunity, in: Söderhäll, K.
667   (Ed.), Invertebrate immunity. Springer, pp. 44-65.

668 Soudant, P., Chu, F.-L.E., Volety, A., 2013. Host-parasite interactions: Marine bivalve
669   molluscs and protozoan parasites, *Perkinsus* species. J. Invertebr. Pathol.
670   114, 196-216.

671 Suresh, K., Mohandas, A., 1990. Hemolymph acid phosphatase activity pattern in
672   copper-stressed bivalves. J. Invertebr. Pathol. 55, 118-125.

673 Tamburrini, A., Pozio, E., 1999. Long-term survival of *Cryptosporidium parvum*
674   oocysts in seawater and in experimentally infected mussels (*Mytilus*
675   *galloprovincialis*). Int. J. Parasitol-Par 29, 711-715.

676 Volety, A.K., Chu, F.-L.E., 1995. Suppression of chemiluminescence of eastern
677   oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) hemocytes by the protozoan parasite *Perkinsus*
678   *marinus*. Dev. Comp. Immunol. 19, 135-142.

679 Wootton, E.C., Dyrynda, E.A., Ratcliffe, N.A., 2003. Bivalve immunity: comparisons
680   between the marine mussel (*Mytilus edulis*), the edible cockle (*Cerastoderma*
681   *edule*) and the razor-shell (Ensis siliqua). Fish Shellfish Immunol. 15, 195-210.

682 Yang, L., Scott, P.G., Giuffre, J., Shankowsky, H.A., Ghahary, A., Tredget, E.E.,
683   2002. Peripheral blood fibrocytes from burn patients: identification and

684   quantification of fibrocytes in adherent cells cultured from peripheral blood

685   mononuclear cells. Lab. Invest. 82, 1183.

686 Zannella, C., Mosca, F., Mariani, F., Franci, G., Folliero, V., Galdiero, M., Tiscar,

687   P.G., Galdiero, M., 2017. Microbial diseases of Bivalve Mollusks: infections,

688   immunology and antimicrobial defense. Mar. Drugs 15, 182.

689

Figure legends

Fig. 1. Phagocytic efficiency (in percentage) according to mussel species and
conditions of hemocyte exposure to protozoa. X axis shows ratios of
protozoan:hemocyte. NS, non-significant. An asterisk represents a statistical and
significant difference between hemocytes exposed to different concentrations of one
protozoan (ANOVA or Kruskal-Wallis tests), with * $0.05 > P \geq 0.01$; ** $0.01 > P \geq$
0.001; and *** $P < 0.001$ on $n=8$.

Fig. 2. Principal component analyses (PCAs) representing individuals grouped
according to protozoan exposure (illustrative variable). (A) PCA built with results
obtained from *Dreissena polymorpha*. (B) PCA built with results obtained from *Mytilus*
edulis. PC1 and PC2 are constructed from all immune markers analysed, with two
selected dimensions. Percentages before parentheses are the inertia followed by
eigenvalues for each axis. Table below summarizes the contributions to the axes of
each variable (in percentage). PI, hemocyte mortality; P.cap, phagocytic capacity;
P.eff, phagocytic efficiency; P.nb, phagocytic avidity; NO, nitric oxide basal
production; Casp, hemocyte apoptosis.

Supplementary Fig. S1. Flow cytometry graphs explaining how the oocysts of
protozoa (*Toxoplasma gondii* and *Cryptosporidium parvum*) were removed from the
analyses. SSC, side-scattered light (complexity); FSC, forward-scattered light (size);
FL, fluorescence. The red dotted gate specifies the events recorded for the analyses.

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Immune markers	Pl+	P.cap	P.eff	P.nb	NO+	Casp+
Contribution to PC1 (%)	0.85	32.48	35.78	29.31	0.20	1.38
Contribution to PC2 (%)	42.49	0.21	0.61	1.00	8.92	46.76

Immune markers	Pl+	P.cap	P.eff	P.nb	NO+	Casp+
Contribution to PC1 (%)	21.81	26.89	20.38	0.32	12.25	18.35
Contribution to PC2 (%)	1.65	4.89	19.04	43.99	21.72	8.71

Table 1. List of immune parameters analysed in this study.

Immune markers	Abbreviations	Analysis methods	Units	Ex/Em (nm)
Hemocyte mortality	PI+	Percentage of cells labelled with propidium iodide among total cells	%	533/617
Phagocytic capacity	P.cap	Percentage of cells that ingested at least one microbead among total cells	%	441/486
Phagocytic efficiency	P.eff	Percentage of cells that ingested at least three microbeads among total cells	%	441/486
Phagocytic avidity	P.nb	Mean number of ingested beads by hemocytes that ingested at least one bead	Number	441/486
Hemocyte apoptosis	Casp+	Percentage of hemocytes with activated caspases 3/7, fluorescence is induced by cleavage of the associated DEVD peptide by activated caspases.	%	503/530
NO basal production	NO+	DAF-fm is modified to benzotriazole derivative (fluorescent) by the presence of nitric oxide in hemocytes	Mean of fluorescence	495/515

Ex, excitation wavelength; Em, emission wavelength; DEVD, DEVD tetrapeptide sequence of aspartic acid – glutamic acid – valine - aspartic acid; DAF-fm, 4-amino-5-methylamino-2',7'-difluorofluorescein diacetate; NO, nitric oxide.

Table 2. Basal levels of immune markers of *Dreissena polymorpha* and *Mytilus edulis* hemocytes (mean ± S.D.). Significant differences of immune markers between mussels (Diff) are mentioned with an asterisk with $P < 0.001$ on n=16. PI, propidium iodide; NO, nitric oxide; DAF-fm, 4-amino-5-methylamino-2',7'-difluorofluorescein diacetate.

Basal levels $n = 16$

Immune markers	*Dreissena polymorpha* Mean ± S.D.	*Mytilus edulis* Mean ± S.D.	Diff
Hemocyte mortality (% cells labelled with PI)	11.53 ± 2.92	4.03 ± 2.49	*
Hemocyte apoptosis (% cells with activated caspases 3/7)	6.48 ± 5.34	1.72 ± 0.92	*
Phagocytic capacity (% cells that have ingested at least 1 bead)	66.97 ± 6.61	79.78 ± 6.18	*
Phagocytic efficiency (% cells that have ingested at least 3 beads)	42.15 ± 8.08	58.91 ± 9.42	*
Phagocytic avidity (mean number of ingested beads)	6.42 ± 1.29	6.77 ± 2.13	
NO basal production (mean DAF-fm fluorescence)	10798 ± 7581	7775 ± 3439	

Table 3. Immune markers of *Mytilus edulis* and *Dreissena polymorpha* hemocytes exposed to *Toxoplasma gondii* and *Cryptosporidium parvum* oocysts at different concentrations (mean ± S.D.). X:X ratio protozoan:hemocyte. Different letters (a, b, c) correspond to significant differences (Diff) between hemocytes exposed to different concentrations of one protozoan (α = 5 %), with a > b > c on *n*=8. Shading differentiates the effects of the two protozoa (*C. parvum* and *T.* gondii). PI, propidium iodide; NO, nitric oxide; DAF-fm, 4-amino-5-methylamino-2',7'-difluorofluorescein diacetate.

Responses to stress   *n* = 8

Immune markers	*C. parvum*	*T. gondii*	*Dreissena polymorpha* Mean ± S.D.	Diff	*Mytilus edulis* Mean ± S.D.	Diff
Hemocyte mortality (% cells labelled with PI)	0:1		11.37 ± 2.47		4.10 ± 2.85	
	1:1		13.58 ± 3.51		4.19 ± 1.88	
	5:1		12.75 ± 3.32		4.25 ± 2.61	
		0:1	11.68 ± 3.49		3.95 ± 2.28	b
		1:25	13.47 ± 3.27		5.38 ± 3.29	ab
		1;5	12.16 ± 2.88		8.66 ± 3.97	a
Hemocyte apoptosis (% cells with activated caspases 3/7)	0:1		7.86 ± 5.19		1.48 ± 0.69	
	1:1		8.07 ± 5.41		1.40 ± 1.11	
	5:1		8.29 ± 3.73		1.41 ± 1.07	
		0:1	5.10 ± 5.46		1.96 ± 1.09	b
		1:25	5.39 ± 6.01		3.73 ± 2.57	ab
		1;5	5.35 ± 5.44		7.01 ± 5.33	a
Phagocytic capacity (% cells that have ingested at least 1	0:1		65.74 ± 8.57	a	78.32 ± 6.81	
	1:1		59.87 ± 8.77	ab	77.98 ± 6.77	
	5:1		55.25 ± 7.22	b	73.50 ± 4.35	
		0:1	68.19 ± 4.08	a	81.24 ± 5.53	a

	Ratio	Value 1		Value 2	
bead)	1:25	65.96 ± 5.69	a	78.30 ± 6.72	a
	1;5	52.49 ± 4.67	b	68.56 ± 9.84	b
Phagocytic efficiency (% cells that have ingested at least 3 beads)	0:1	40.42 ± 10.64	a	53.36 ± 9.07	
	1:1	31.99 ± 9.54	ab	54.96 ± 10.39	
	5:1	27.24 ± 8.02	b	50.42 ± 6.15	
	0:1	43.89 ± 4.44	a	64.46 ± 6.13	a
	1:25	40.50 ± 7.53	a	61.48 ± 5.75	a
	1;5	26.99 ± 4.76	b	47.17 ± 10.39	b
Phagocytic avidity (mean number of ingested beads)	0:1	6.20 ± 1.55	a	5.69 ± 1.10	
	1:1	4.83 ± 1.18	ab	6.26 ± 1.30	
	5:1	4.45 ± 0.97	b	6.15 ± 1.07	
	0:1	6.65 ± 1.02	a	7.86 ± 2.41	
	1:25	5.96 ± 1.24	ab	8.20 ± 2.05	
	1;5	4.91 ± 0.84	b	6.64 ± 0.65	
NO basal production (mean DAF-fm fluorescence)	0:1	8651 ± 2422	b	6452 ± 1181	
	1:1	9551 ± 1660	ab	8799 ± 2472	
	5:1	11426 ± 2154	a	10211 ± 3843	
	0:1	12945 ± 10333		9098 ± 4467	b
	1:25	10332 ± 2648		11365 ± 4533	ab
	1;5	12155 ± 3376		15857 ± 4977	a