

# Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and invadopodia markers in breast cancer: Lumican a key regulator

Konstantina Karamanou, Marco Franchi, Demitrios Vynios, Stéphane Brézillon

# ▶ To cite this version:

Konstantina Karamanou, Marco Franchi, Demitrios Vynios, Stéphane Brézillon. Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and invadopodia markers in breast cancer: Lumican a key regulator. Seminars in Cancer Biology, 2020, 62, pp.125-133. 10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.08.003. hal-03052108

# HAL Id: hal-03052108 https://hal.univ-reims.fr/hal-03052108v1

Submitted on 20 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



19

#### Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition and invadopodia markers in 1 breast cancer: Lumican a key regulator 2 3 Konstantina Karamanou<sup>a,b,c</sup>, Marco Franchi<sup>d</sup>, Demitrios Vynios<sup>c</sup>, Stéphane Brézillon<sup>a,b\*</sup> 4 5 6 <sup>a</sup> CNRS UMR 7369, Matrice Extracellulaire et Dynamique Cellulaire, Reims, France 7 <sup>b</sup> Université de Reims Champagne Ardenne, Laboratoire de Biochimie Médicale et Biologie 8 Moléculaire, Reims, France 9 <sup>c</sup> Biochemistry, Biochemical Analysis & Matrix Pathobiology Research Group, Laboratory of Biochemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Patras, Patras, Greece 10 11 <sup>d</sup> Department for Life Quality Studies, University of Bologna, Rimini, Italy 12 13 \*to whom correspondence should be addressed 14 Stéphane Brézillon: U.F.R. MEDECINE BIOCHIMIE MEDICALE & BIOLOGIE MOLECULAIRE, 51, 15 rue Cognacq Jay, 51095 REIMS Cedex 16 Email: stephane.brezillon@univ-reims.fr Phone no: 33(0)326913734 17 fax no: +33(0)326918055 18

### Abstract

202122

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

33 34

35

3637

38 39

40 41 A great hallmark of breast cancer is the absence or presence of estrogen receptors  $ER\alpha$  and ERβ, with a dominant role in cell proliferation, differentiation and cancer progression. Both receptors are related with Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) since there is a relation between ERs and extracellular matrix (ECM) macromolecules expression, and therefore, cell-cell and cell-ECM interactions. The endocrine resistance of ER $\alpha$  endows epithelial cells with increased aggressiveness and induces cell proliferation, resulting into a mesenchymal phenotype and an EMT status. ERα signaling may affect the transcriptional factors which govern EMT. Knockdown or silencing of ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$  in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells respectively, provoked pivotal changes in phenotype, cellular functions, mRNA and protein levels of EMT markers, and consequently the EMT status. Mesenchymal cells owe their migratory and invasive properties to invadopodia, while in epithelial cells, lamellipodia and filopodia are mostly observed. Invadopodia, are actin-rich protrusions of plasma membrane, promoting proteolytic degradation of ECM and tumor invasion. Cortactin and MMP-14 govern the formation and principal functions of invadopodia. In vitro experiments proved that lumican inhibits cortactin and MMP-14 expression, alters the formation of lamellipodia and transforms mesenchymal cells into epithelial-like. Conclusively, lumican may inhibit or even reverse the several metastatic features that EMT endows in breast cancer cells. Therefore, a lumican-based anti-cancer therapy which will pharmacologically target and inhibit EMT might be interesting to be developed.

42 43

### Keywords

44 45

EMT; invadopodia; breast cancer; lumican

47 48

### 1) Cell migration and invadopodia

49

50

5152

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61 62

63 64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75 76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86 87

88

89

movement [3].

Cell migration is a mechanical physiological process occurring during embryogenic morphogenesis, bone homeostasis, tissue repair and regeneration. Cell invasion consisting in the breaching of tissue barriers like endothelial basement membrane is a basic function of immune cells to respond and to prevent infections. Invasion takes place during disease progression such as cancer invasion of extracellular matrix (ECM) and final metastasis [1]. There are distinct types of cell migration: cells can migrate as individual cells or collectively in group of cells moving together, just retained by intercellular interactions. Cells can move in two different mechanical ways referred to "amoeboid" or "mesenchymal" movements [2, 3]. In general, amoeboid movement is protease-independent, but requires pores or channels (more than 3-5  $\mu$ m in diameter) for cells to squeeze through, whereas mesenchymal movement is protease-dependent and is necessary to traverse nanoporous

matrices such as basement membranes [4, 5].

Cells migrating by an amoeboid movement move at high speeds (4 mm/min) gliding on the substratum and developing relatively weak adhesion and traction [1, 2, 6], whereas cells migrating individually by a mesenchymal mode dynamically form cytoplasmic protrusions and adhesions to the microenvironment, perform cell body translocation, release of adhesions and detachment of the cell's rear which regulate speed and directional persistence [3, 7-11]. All cells migrating by mesenchymal movement are more aggressive to surrounding tissues as they can widen spaces and gaps by degrading ECM components through an extracellular proteolysis [2]. Cell migration needs dynamic interactions between the migrating cells and the surrounding microenvironment. In particular, dynamic attachment, traction and detachment of cells or cell protrusions to the substratum allow cell movement. In experimental studies cell movement is induced by nutrients which attract cells in two different ways according to the different environment: a two-dimensional (2D) locomotion by which cells can move only in two directions, and a three-dimensional (3D) movement by which cells are able to move or interact with the microenvironment structure in all the three spatial dimensions. In both types of migration the cells have to acquire a motile phenotype by developing some plasma membrane protrusions through an actin cytoskeleton remodeling and formation of actin-based structures which allow the cells to adhere to as well to probe and sense different molecules of ECM [12-14]. Actin filaments form the cytoskeleton in mammalian cells and undergo constant remodeling during cell migration [15]. In particular, new actin filaments are continuously synthetized at the leading edge of migrating cells to form new plasma membrane protrusions which promote and drive cell migration direction [12, 16]. Cell adhesion to the ECM is ensured by an integrinactin linkage system, where integrins are the major and best-characterized transmembrane receptors which favor dynamic interactions between ECM and actin cytoskeleton during cell The 2D locomotion is characterized by the formation of cytoplasmic protrusions showing different shapes: filopodia (needle shape), pseudopodia (round), lobopodia (cylindrical) and lamellipodia (flat veils) [17]. Uropods which have many more "folds" or "ridges", magnupodia (thick and very long protrusions extending more than 330 µm away from the cell) and tenupodia (very thin straight processes often connecting distant cells) were also described [18, 19]. The most common cytoplasmic protrusions for cell migration are filopodia and lamellipodia; the firsts are thin, finger-like cytoplasmic projections formed by parallel bunches of actin filaments, whereas the second ones look like flat broad membranous protrusions located at the leading edge of the migrating cells [20, 21].

In 3D movement, cells develop specialized types of integrin-mediated adhesions through plasma membrane protrusions named podosomes and invadopodia, collectively known as invadosomes, which establish a close contact with the ECM and are able to invade dense connective tissues by performing a proteolytic matrix degradation [14, 22, 23]. Tumor cells could activate invadosomes to firstly degrade the basement membrane surrounding the primary tumor, then to invade the connective tissue and penetrate into the lymphatic or blood vessels (intravasation phase). Cancer cell nucleus has a limited deformability so that the ECM proteolytic degradation seems to be necessary mainly to enlarge the pores in the matrix, allowing the nucleus migration [24].

The role of invadopodia in proteolytic degradation of vascular basement membranes seems to be essential also during intravasation and metastasis [25, 26]. Invadopodia could play other important roles such as orienting tumor cells toward chemotactic signals as they are also involved in chemotaxis during migration in both 2 and 3D [27].

Podosomes are actin structures connecting the cytoskeleton with the plasma membrane and appear like small plasma membrane protrusions distributed at the cell surface, measuring only 0.4  $\mu m$  in length and 1  $\mu m$  in diameter and undergoing constant disassembly and reformation [28, 29]. They are associated with normal cells, such as macrophages, osteoclasts, dendritic cells, epithelial cells, smooth muscle cells and fibroblasts and consist in a branched actin core containing proteins involved in actin polymerization and a surrounding ring rich in  $\beta 2$  and  $\beta 3$  integrin receptors and adhesion proteins such as talin, vinculin and paxillin [3, 4, 30, 31]. Podosomes act like probing or palpating organelles well distributed on cell membrane of the myelomonocytic lineage, such as macrophages [32], immature dendritic cells [33] and osteoclasts [34]. They are mainly related to cell migration and diapedesis of blood leukocytes even though they may assume an invasive function in facilitating the tumor cell invasion process by a proteolytic activity [22, 29, 35, 36].

Invadopodia, firstly described in melanoma and invasive breast cancer cells [37, 38], are finger-like protrusions developing from the ventral side of the invading cells and correspond

to specialized tightly-packed, organelle-free, actin-rich protrusions of the plasma membrane structures as actin polymerization is crucial for the formation and function of invadopodia. They are morphologically similar to podosomes but they appear larger than podosomes with a length which may reach 2-5  $\mu$ m and a diameter up to 8  $\mu$ m [39], even though other authors reported smaller size because invadopodia can cluster together [40]. Moreover, cells are able to form numerous podosomes (more than 100) but only few invadopodia (between one and ten) [39]. Furthermore, podosomes have a lifetime of several minutes whereas invadopodia can persist for over 1 hour [41].

Differently to podosomes, invadopodia lack a ring structure as observed at the transmission electron microscope, the actin filaments appear throughout the core of the invadopodial protrusion, excluding other cytoplasmic structures from the core area [42]. Both podosomes and invadopodia are constituted by a dense filamentous (F)-actin core containing actin-regulating proteins, with polymerization activators and, filament crosslinkers, nucleators and binders, surrounded by proteins involved in regulation, adhesion and scaffolding, including integrins, kinases, GTPases, and adaptor proteins. Major molecules of invadosomes include the scaffold protein Tks5, the actin regulators cortactin, Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein family members (WASP or N-WASP) and cofilin, and MMP-14 [43].

## 2) Relation of invadopodia with cancer

Invadopodia are described in invading cells, such as metastatic tumor cells [39, 44]. In fact, they are always closely located to the ECM and represent enzymatically matrix-degrading structures burrowing across tissue barriers through the release of matrix metalloproteases (MMPs) which mainly include MMP-14, MMP-2 and MMP-9 [12, 16, 39, 40]. These MMPs, and in particular MMP-14, are secreted to the site of invadopodia adhesion through vesicles [45]. Even though the main function of invadopodia in tumors is to promote the proteolytic matrix degradation to favor cancer cell invasion, other functions have been suggested: the proteolytic action of these cytoplasmic protrusions to create space in the ECM could favor tumors growth or alternatively the pericellular proteolytic activity at invadopodia surface could activate various growth factors to drive tumor growth [43] and favor angiogenesis [46, 47].

Both podosomes and invadopodia can degrade the ECM and increase the ability of cells to cross tissue barriers, but ECM degradation is deeper and more focused when promoted by invadopodia [39]. Podosomes usually degrade the exposed surface of the matrix, whereas invadopodia, are able to penetrate deeper among the ECM components [42]. Invadopodia have to be considered high dynamic structures which support adhesion-secretion-movement: after a stimulus, they first assemble interaction between cell and ECM components, such as fibronectin or vitronectin molecules, then they release MMPs degrading the matrix and finally disassemble again, allowing cell movement [48].

One major protein which is required for the assembly of invadopodia by regulating the F-actin-enriched invadopodial cytoskeleton is cortactin, a substrate of Src family tyrosine kinases. By promoting invadopodia formation, function and disassembly, it is related to cancer cell migration, invasion, intravasation, extravasation and metastasis [49-53]. An overexpression of cortactin is frequently reported in several types of invasive cancers so that it is widely used as a marker of invadosomes [48]. Similarly, inhibition of cortactin leads to the inhibition of invadopodia formation and reduces metastasis to distant organs [54, 55].

179180181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

Different morphologic conformations of invadosomes such as aggregates, individual dots, rosettes or linear shaped structures along type I collagen fibrils can be observed in the same cell, thus supporting the concept of invadosome plasticity [22]. The relationship between podosomes and invadopodia is not clearly defined in the literature: it was also suggested that podosomes and invadopodia may share a common primordial precursor able to adapt to its microenvironment and modulate its morphology in relation to the different substrates [39, 44, 56, 57]. Moreover, a close distinction in function between pseudopodia and invadosomes seems to be discussed: some authors suggested that a high degree of molecular integration and cross talk between pseudopodia and invadopodia allowing efficient invasion coupled migration in both 2 and 3D may be also possible [27, 58]. Formation of both invasive protrusions (invadopodia) and locomotory protrusions (pseudopodia) is regulated by stromal cells in the tumor microenvironment like fibroblasts in squamous cell carcinoma [59] and macrophages in breast carcinoma [60, 61]. Moreover, ECM rigidity seems to influence the formation of invadopodia so that alterations in matrix stiffness may be related to cancer disease and progression [61]. It is worth noticing that dense fibrillar collagen so as transient mechanical strains promote the maturation of invadopodia and enhances cancer cell invasion in vitro [40, 42]. In particular, it was demonstrated that fibrillar collagen I is a physiological inducer of a novel class of invadosomes called "linear invadosomes" which might act as collagen I fibril sensors and are able to remodel the ECM [62]. In addition, hypoxia of peritumoral stroma can promote mesenchymal invasion in breast cancer cells through the upregulation of structural components of the actin cytoskeleton machinery involved in invadopodium formation [63].

202203204

# 3) Lumican, a Class II Small Leucine Rich Proteoglycan, as a regulator of Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition

205206207

208

209

210

211

212

ECM is a three-dimensional network of macromolecules which provides structural and biochemical support to the surrounding cells. ECM also regulates intracellular communication and affects cell behavior. Through the fine-tuned interactions between cell surface receptors and ECM components, gene expression and diverse functional properties are affected. ECM remodeling can occur in both physiological and pathological conditions [64].

Proteoglycans (PGs) are key components of the ECM. PGs are constituted by a core protein to which linear and highly anionic chains of glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) are covalently attached. PGs are so called as multifunctional key effectors, since they are involved in a plethora of pathophysiological processes, such as cancer [65-67]. PGs expression is remarkably altered during tumor development and growth and their remodeling on the tumor ECM and cell membranes influences major cancer cell properties, such as cell proliferation, migration, invasion, angiogenesis and adhesion [68]. The most abundantly expressed PGs in ECM are the Small Leucine-Rich PGs (SLRPs). Their organization is pericellular and their core protein substituted by negatively charged GAG chains enable the interactions of SLRPs with matrix effectors, such as cytokines, growth factors and cell surface receptors. These interactions lead to the regulation of crucial cell functional features, i.e.: migration, autophagy, angiogenesis and metastatic potential of cancer cells [69-71]. SLRPs comprise of 18 members classified into five classes according to the conservation and homology at the protein and genomic levels. Lumican is a Class II SLRP, characterized by high molecular heterogeneity according to the tissue due to its glycosylation. Human lumican core protein is a protein of 338 amino acids, including an 18 amino acid signal peptide and three major domains, a negatively charged N-terminal domain containing cysteine and sulfated tyrosine residues, a central part containing 9 Leucine Rich Repeats (LRR), a terminal domain of 66 amino acids containing 2 conserved cysteine and 2 LRRs. The structure of lumican is illustrated in Figure 1.

Lumican expression is abundant in the ECM of many tissues, such as skin, kidney, breast, colon, pancreas, cartilage, etc. [72]. In certain tissues, lumican is linked positively with tumor aggressiveness, while in some other tissues, negatively [73]. In breast carcinoma, as well as in melanoma, lumican expression is increased in the stroma [74-78]. Increased expression of lumican in the stroma of breast carcinomas is not a prognostic factor for breast cancer. However, enhanced lumican expression is related with increased levels of metastasis, decreased levels of estrogen receptors (ERs) expression and the young age of the patients. On the other hand, the decreased lumican expression is reported to be related with poor clinical outcome and survival of the patient [76, 78].

Several published studies refer to the anti-cancer action of lumican, although the anti-tumorigenic mechanism behind it, is still not totally clarified. Data from our research group have suggested an anti-cancer effect of lumican in melanoma. *In vitro*, the core protein of lumican (37 kDa) was reported to increase melanoma cell adhesion [79], and its glycosylated form (57 kDa) inhibited cell migration and invasion, with simultaneous changes in the actin network and focal adhesion complexes [80-83]. At *in vivo* level, when syngeneic mice were injected with B16F1 melanoma cells stably transfected with lumican expressing plasmid (Lum-B16F1), the size and the number of the lung metastatic nodules were significantly decreased in comparison with the Mock-B16F1 cells [84]. Angiogenesis was also altered, as the number of the blood vessels in the metastatic nodules was decreased [85].

Interaction of lumican with ECM key components mediated by membrane receptors or MMP-14, renders lumican a possible anticancer effector [73, 86]. Lumican (57 kDa) decreased the migration of endothelial cells, by inhibiting the expression and the activity of MMP-9 and MMP-14 through the interaction with integrins [87]. Several cell functions affected by lumican, are integrin mediated. Interaction of lumican with  $\alpha 2\beta 1$  integrin affects the phosphorylation of FAK and alters the actin network [81]. The interaction of lumican with integrins was also reported in osteosarcoma, as lumican had an impact on osteosarcoma cell adhesion *via* inhibition of TGF $\beta$ -2. The altered expression and activity of TGFβ-2 triggered downstream modification of the signaling cascade of pSmad2, enhanced the activity of  $\beta 1$  integrin with simultaneous decreased FAK phosphorylation. It is not clarified yet if TGF- $\beta$  is a mediator of Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT)/ Mesenchymal-to-Epithelial Transition (MET), in synergy with lumican. MMP-14 is another crucial mediator of the anti-tumorigenic mechanism of lumican, as it plays pivotal role in cell migration, invasion and angiogenesis through the activation of downstream MMPs, as well as through regulation of the activation of migration-related molecules, such as integrins and several signaling pathways [88, 89].

EMT is an important biological mechanism of normal development, where normal, epithelial cells undergo a plethora of biochemical changes and end up with altered phenotype and altered cellular functional properties. More specifically, epithelial cells are transformed into mesenchymal, spindle-like shaped cells with enhanced cell migration, as well as invasive and metastatic properties in the case of malignancies. There are some specific molecular events that trigger the initiation of EMT, such as activation of transcription factors, re-arrangement of cytoskeletal proteins and altered expression of microRNAs. MET is the reverse procedure of EMT, where mesenchymal cells are transformed into an epithelial status [90].

It was recently reported that the expression of estrogen receptors ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$  of breast cancer cells is related with EMT. Breast cancer is the most common type of cancer among women and a great hallmark of breast cancer is the presence or absence of estrogen receptors (ER $\alpha$  and ER $\beta$ ). ER $\alpha$  is extensively studied, since it is the major ER subtype in the mammary epithelium, so it can serve as a prognostic marker, too. Moreover, 70% of breast cancer cases are featured as ER $\alpha$  (+), rendering the 17 $\beta$ -estradiol (E2)/ER $\alpha$  signaling as very important. ER $\alpha$  provides cells with enhanced migratory and invasive capacities, which eventually turns the epithelial cells into mesenchymal, triggering an EMT status [91]. This may be explained by the fact that most transcriptional factors implicated in EMT, are affected by ER $\alpha$  signaling [92, 93]. Bouris *et al.*, reported that the knockdown of ER $\alpha$  in the low-invasive, epithelial MCF-7 cells, provokes a potent EMT status, as well as significant alterations in the gene expression of several macromolecules of the ECM and cell functional properties of breast cancer. The silencing of ER $\alpha$  was achieved using shRNA lentiviral particles, triggering an altered phenotype, enhanced cell proliferation, migration and aggressiveness, tremendous decrease of the gene expression of the epithelial marker E-

cadherin and simultaneously great enhancement of the gene expression of mesenchymal markers, such as vimentin, and slug/SNAIL-2.

The addition of lumican in MCF-7 cells, either control or the ERα silenced, affected the receptor-associated functional features of breast cancer, the gene expression of matrix macromolecules, as well as the EMT status. Concerning MCF-7 cells, cell morphology was affected, since lumican rendered MCF-7 cells as more epithelial. Lumican triggered cell accumulation, as well as a more globular phenotype. The number of cell-cell junctions has increased, and the cells are in tight contact. Lumican endowed MCF-7 cells with a more grouped, ovoid and more flattened shape, as compared to the untreated cells. The gene expression of EMT markers was notably affected, as the epithelial marker E-cadherin was increased, while vimentin was further decreased. The effect of lumican was also profound in the cell functional properties, such as migration and invasion, as well as the expression profile of crucial matrix effectors in MCF-7 cells. Lumican suppressed cell migration, which comes in agreement with the observations in cell morphology. Cell invasion was also suppressed, although MCF-7 cells exert low invasive dynamic [94].

Although the biological role of ER $\alpha$  is extensively studied, the biological role of ER $\beta$  is not clearly elucidated. To examine it, Piperigkou *et al.* suppressed its expression using a shRNA against human ER $\beta$ , through which a suppression of ER $\beta$  mRNA by 70% was achieved [95]. The MDA-MB-231 cells that had undergone ER $\beta$  suppression, tend to gain a more epithelial phenotype and more cell-cell adhesion junctions, leading to a potent MET state. Cell morphology and especially epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition has been well correlated with the high invasive potential of breast cancer cell [91, 95, 96]. Gene expression of EMT markers was affected, with significantly enhanced levels of E-cadherin, as well as decreased levels of vimentin, zeb-1, slug/SNAIL-2 and fibronectin. Cell functional properties were also affected, associated with the alteration MMPs and TIMPs alteration of expression and activity.

Upon treatment with lumican, crucial alterations were observed in cell morphology of MDA-MB-231. Lumican-treated MDA-MB-231 cells exhibit a significant increase in the I/L ratio and cell-cell contacts, in agreement with the significant inhibitory effect of lumican in the invasion of MDA-MB-231 cells. The cell populations display heterogeneity, as flattened and spindle-like cells co-exist and the number of cell-cell junctions seems to be increased. Similarly, shER $\beta$ MDA-MB-231 cells upon treatment with lumican, exerted a more epithelial phenotype, as their shape was observed as wider, ovoid and flattened, with increased I/L ratio and rare cytoplasmic protrusions like lamellipodia and filopodia [97].

Lumican treatment endowed significant downregulation in the gene expression of mesenchymal markers, such as slug/snail-2, zeb-1, vimentin and fibronectin. The gene expression of the epithelial marker E-cadherin was tremendously increased in the highly

invasive MDA-MB-231 cell line, while slightly increased in the shER $\beta$ MDA-MB-231, rendering lumican a potent regulator of EMT. Cell functional properties, such as proliferation, migration and invasion were downregulated upon lumican treatment, and in most assays in an ER $\beta$  dependent mode. It is worth noticing that lumican significantly inhibited both the proteolytic activity levels of MMP-14 and as well the gene expression levels of MMP-7, coming in agreement with the observed effect of lumican in cell functional properties. Conclusively, lumican altered cell morphology, including cell-cell junctions and provoked EMT/MET reprogramming. These data underline the anticancer effect of lumican, related to the ER status and could be potentially applied for designing novel pharmaceutical agents for breast cancer therapy [97].

### 4) Lumican as an effector on invadopodia formation

Taking into consideration the anti-migratory and anti-invasive effects of lumican, as well as the fact that the invadopodia are formed at the leading edge of cancer cells, enabling their migration and invasion, it was of great importance to investigate the lumican effect on invadopodia formation. It was reported that when melanoma cells were grown onto lumican coating, cell morphology was altered and localization of actin filaments was rearranged [82]. Coulson-Thomas *et al.* seeded bone derived prostate cancer cells upon lumican coating, which provoked modification of the elongated shape of the cells into more rounded, as well as reduction of the number of the cellular protrusions, such as lamellipodia and invadopodia [98]. Apart from the actin filaments, intermediate filaments, such as keratin cytoskeleton, play pivotal role in the process of cell migration.

Keratin 8 and 18 are expressed separately in normal keratinocytes, however they are found to be co-expressed in planocellular cancer cells, in the form of 8/18 filaments. This coexpression of keratin 8/18 filaments enables the invasion of normal keratinocytes in the basement membrane [99]. It is already reported that keratin 8 re-organization provokes increased levels of cell motility, high levels of keratin 8 and 18 are signals of metastatic progression and poor clinical outcome in squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs) [100-102]. On the other hand, decreased expression of keratin 8 and keratin 18 have been correlated with low α6β4 integrin expression, cancer cell migration and invasion [103]. When Coulson-Thomas et al., seeded prostate cancer cells upon lumican coating, both keratin 8 and 18 expression was decreased, as well as their organization from cellular protrusions was modified into perinuclear localization [98]. In addition, upon lumican treatment, de-stabilization of focal adhesion complexes was observed to lead to inhibition of cell migration. More specifically, Zona occludens protein 1 (ZO-1), a protein which plays pivotal role in cell migration, since it is localized in lamellipodia and in intracellular tight junctions, presented a decreased expression and was concentrated in the cell membrane and not in the cellular protrusions [104].

Based on the anticancer effect of lumican that effectively regulates ERs-associated functional properties of breast cancer cells, expression of matrix macromolecules and EMT,

the analysis of lumican effects in cell morphology and invadopodia formation of invading breast cancer cells was performed by our group (Karamanou *et al.*, submitted to FEBS Journal [105]). The effects of lumican were evaluated in three breast cancer cell lines, the highly metastatic ER $\beta$ -positive MDA-MB-231, the respective ER $\beta$ -suppressed (shER $\beta$ MDA-MB-231) and the low invasive ER $\alpha$ -positive MCF-7 breast cancer cells. We investigated cell morphological aspects of invading cells in various matrices by scanning electron microscopy. The obtained data suggested that the expression of invadopodia marker (cortactin), focal adhesion proteins (vinculin, talin), hyaluronan and its receptor variants and biosynthetic enzymes (CD44, CD44s, CD44-v2, -v3, -v8, HAS-1, -2, -3) were altered by the presence of lumican in association with the level of expression of ERs. The expression of CD44 and CD44s was high in MDA-MB-231 cells, moderate in shER $\beta$ MDA-MB-231 and significantly decreased in the low-metastatic MCF-7 cells, underlying a correlation of CD44 with the ER status.

Morphological changes that cells undergo after invasion were evaluated after seeding onto different matrix-coated surfaces. Cells were cultured for both 24 and 48 hours and seeded on the upper surface of a Millipore filter coated with collagen type I and were observed by Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) (Figure 2). Regarding MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells, SEM analysis revealed their elongated, spindle-like shape, as well as their numerous cytoplasmic protrusions. The cellular surface of MDA-MB-231 was irregular and nonsmooth. Upon 100nM lumican treatment in serum-free conditions for 48h, MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells seemed more flattened with a smoother surface and a decreased number of invadopodia, as seen in Figure 1. Similarly, 48h lumican treatment evoked morphological changes in MCF-7 breast cancer cells during invasion in different collagen substrates. SEM analysis revealed the flattened and globular morphology of MCF-7 cells, as well as a diminished number of invadopodia and even few microvilli. After 24h of treatment with 100nM lumican, SEM revealed morphological alterations in MCF-7, as they appeared as more grouped more globularly-shaped. The cytoplasmic membrane was observed as smooth with absence of microvilli, microvesicles and invadosomes. Exosomes were also observed (Karamanou et al., submitted to FEBS J, [105]).

As already referred, invadopodia are membrane protrusions of invasive cancer cells, involved in the focal pericellular degradation of ECM. It is very critical to evaluate the three breast cancer cell lines of different estrogen receptor status. Lumican affected the expression levels of invadopodia markers, vinculin and talin and cortactin in the most invasive breast cancer cell lines. Vinculin role is crucial, as it interacts with integrins to the cytoskeleton at the focal adhesion complexes, and eventually controls the cytoskeletal mechanics, as well as lamellipodia formation. These proteins of the focal adhesion complexes were evaluated in the breast cancer cell lines in absence and presence of lumican. Vinculin expression was found to be increased in the highly invasive MDA-MB-231 cells, and moderately decreased in shERβMDA-MB-231 cells. Lumican downregulated

vinculin in both the highly metastatic MDA-MB-231 cells, as well as the shERβMDA-MB-231cells, is suggesting the anti-metastatic potential that lumican endows. Talin followed a similar profile, with profound effect of lumican in both MDA-MB-231 and shERβMDA-MB-231 cells. Using immunofluorescence, the ability of cortactin to create aggregates with actin leading to the initiation of invadopodia formation was observed in the highly metastatic MDA-MB-231 cells. Upon lumican treatment, cortactin expression was reduced, as well as the cytoplasmic and pericellular staining. Thus, lumican is able to inhibit the initiation of the formation of cellular protrusions by decreasing the expression of cortactin. As expected, in low invasive and low-metastatic MCF-7 cells, the staining of cortactin was very weak, in agreement with the low invasive and low metastatic potential of these cells. Presence of lumican rendered staining of cortactin even weaker, underlying the effect of lumican even in a low-invasive cell line (Karamanou *et al.*, submitted to FEBS J, [105]).

In addition, our group recently demonstrated that collagen-binding integrins, such as  $\alpha 1$  and  $\alpha 2$  in MDA-MB-231 cells, were downregulated in MCF-7 cells by the presence of lumican. It is quite interesting to note that the binding of integrins to focal adhesions transmits signals from the extracellular environment to the intracellular network, and inversely, mediated by integrins downstream signaling pathways, such as FAK, ERK1/2, MAPK 42/44, and AKT, which were found to be downregulated by lumican. Altogether our recent data suggest that lumican interacts through integrins, and downregulate FAK phosphorylation, which lead to the downregulation of phosphorylation of p130Cas and AKT. The decreased phosphorylation of p130Cas of the downstream signaling events results in the decrease of lamellipodia formation and MMP-14 activity, leading to an inhibition of cell migration.

Moreover, the expression of several matrix molecules, such as hyaluronan (HA), hyaluronan synthases (HASes), CD44 and invadopodia markers, integrins and signaling effectors was investigated by our group. All these findings, together with the observations by other researchers suggest that lumican effect is due to its ability to downregulate the phosphorylation of major cellular kinases of cell migration and proliferation/survival signaling, such as AKT, ERK, FAK [73, 81, 82, 106-108]. Conclusively, lumican interacts with integrins, downregulates FAK phosphorylation, which eventually results to the decreased phosphorylation of p130Cas and AKT. This downregulation of the above signaling cascade results to the decrease of the formation of cellular protrusions, such as lamellipodia, and MMP-14 activity, leading eventually to the inhibition of cell migration (Karamanou *et al.*, submitted to FEBS J, [105]). Figure 3 summarizes the effect of lumican on EMT, matrix molecules and RTKs.

Increased expression levels of MMP-14 are found to be localized at the surface of melanoma cells in primary tumors and especially in the invasive cellular protrusions [109, 110]. Snail is a major transcriptional factor in EMT, which is reported to be increased in

cancer tissues and closely correlated with cancer progression, including melanoma [111]. Snail also provokes EMT, increases migration, invasion, as well as MMP-14 activity. At the late-stage melanoma and during metastasis, the epithelial marker E-cadherin is significantly decreased and it has been reported that the inhibition of the Snail-triggered EMT leads to the inhibition of metastasis [112]. In parallel, lumican is reported to down regulate B16F1 melanoma cell lung metastasis [84]. In addition, the effect of lumican was investigated on the expression and activity of MMP-14 in Snail-transfected-B16F1 melanoma cells *in vitro* as well as its effects in melanoma metastasis *in vivo* in a mice model following screening of cancer implicated matrix effectors, invadopodia markers and intracellular signaling pathways. It was observed that lumican reduced the levels of SNAIL-induced cell proliferation and cell migration by blocking MMP-14, as well as melanoma primary tumor development. Therefore, a lumican-based therapy targeting SNAIL-induced MMP-14 activity could be beneficial for melanoma treatment, underlining the regulatory effect of lumican in EMT [112].

In ovarian cancer, lumican was also reported to be one of the genes that affects the Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition (EMT) status. Recently, Jingjing Wu *et al.*, reported that HMGA2 is one of the few gene markers that can differentiate most type I (mesenchymal gene status) from type II (epithelial gene status) cancer cell lines [113]. Thuault *et al.*, also identified HMGA2 as a transcriptional regulator of SNAIL 1, a key EMT molecule. HMGA2 enhances tumor transformation in different cell types [114]. For instance, when it is overexpressed, it can be related with aggressive tumor growth, early metastasis and poor prognosis in several cases, such as pancreatic and breast cancer. When HMGA2 is repressed, the epithelial phenotype is restored with significant increase of E-cadherin. Similarly, in ovarian cancer cells, HMGA2 increases cell transformation. Several HMGA2-regulated genes were associated with EMT, one of which is lumican. Based on the literature regarding the anti-cancer effect of lumican and its role as tumor suppressor by inhibiting EMT, as well as identifying lumican as a target of HMGA2 in ovarian cancer, evidence is provided that HMGA2 promotes ovarian tumorigenesis through EMT regulation [113].

The role of lumican in EMT in response to injury is also reported [115]. It has been observed that lumican is immunolocalized in human postoperative capsular specimens. Detailed examination was followed using organ cultures of injured mouse lenses from both wild type and lumican knockout animals, to investigate the possibility that lumican modulates EMT of lens epithelial cells (LECs) in response to injury or to exposure to TGF- $\beta$ 2. The results obtained from wild type mice indicated that in uninjured lenses there was no lumican expression, whereas in lenses subjected to capsular injury, lumican protein was initially detected after 12h of culture and thereafter staining was gradually increased. The cells around the capsular break appeared elongated at day 5 and a fibroblast-like morphology may be assumed, indicating that EMT was in progress. Moreover,  $\alpha$ SMA was detected in the fibroblast-like lens cells. In lumican knockout mice epithelial-shaped cells were present at

the same time point and  $\alpha SMA$  was detected in lens cells at day 10, however at weaker intensity as compared with the wild type mice. By incubating the cultures with TGF- $\beta 2$ , strong lumican expression was detected at 24h, whereas in the absence of TGF- $\beta 2$  only faint staining was observed. In addition, at day 10, wild type LECs cultured in the absence of TGF- $\beta 2$  remained epithelial-like, and they become positive for lumican but remained negative for  $\alpha SMA$ . In conclusion, the results taken together indicate that lumican was upregulated before EMT of the LECs and that loss of lumican attenuates injury-induced EMT of LECs [115].

Another case where lumican is reported to regulate EMT is the ventilation-induced EMT through extracellular signal-regulated kinase pathway [116]. In acute lung injury, mechanical ventilation is used in patients, since it damages pulmonary epithelial cells through production of inflammatory cytokines and excess deposition of lumican. The mechanisms underlying the interactions between mechanical ventilation and lung injury are not totally clarified. The main hypothesis is that lung damage and EMT upregulate lumican because of high tidal volume mechanical stretch and as a consequence, MIP-2 and TGF- $\beta$ 1 are modulated through the extracellular signal- regulated kinase (ERK 1/2 pathway). The experimental model used was C57BL/6 both wild-type and lumican knockout, exposed either to low or high tidal volume. It is worth noticing that high tidal volume signs activation of lumican and ERK 1/2 in wild-type mice, as well as decrease of E-cadherin staining. Conclusively, lumican promotes mechanical ventilation of high tidal volume, which induces lung injury and EMT through the activation of the ERK 1/2 pathway [116]. Lumican effect on invadopodia formation is presented in Table 1.

### 5) Clinical Benefits of the Anti-Cancer Effect of Lumican

The data of this review suggest that the treatment with lumican may serve for therapy of breast cancer. However, regarding the mechanisms of the anticancer effect of lumican, further studies are needed, and especially concerning the cell signaling that relates with invadopodia functions, in order to shed light in cancer metastasis treatment. Through mass-spectrometry-based proteomics, lumican was found to be unregulated in oral lichen planus (OLP-T) and oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC-T) groups in comparison with adjacent and control groups of patients. Therefore, lumican was identified as important pathogenesis biomarker of OLP that underlines its malignant potential [117].

One more article relates the lumican expression patterns and the clinical, pathological and oncological outcomes in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), as well as the role of lumican in PDAC progression. Using microarray staining and COX regression analysis, it was reported that lumican was present in the stroma surrounding PDAC cells in mostly 50% of primary tumors and the direct xenografts. Patients with early stage of cancer and positive staining for stromal lumican were related with a profound decrease in metastatic recurrence after surgery and 3-times longer survival in comparison with patients

with negative staining for stromal lumican. Conclusively, there is a positive correlation between stromal lumican in primary PDAC tumors and prolonged survival after tumor resection [118].

Moreover, the prognostic value of lumican expression was also evaluated by clinicopathological data and tissue samples collected from stages II and III of colon cancer. Lumican expression in epithelial cells overall in the tumor was associated to a longer disease specific survival in stage II cancer patients, as well as a longer disease-free survival [119]. Lumican also serves as biomarker for metastatic and recurrent giant cell tumor of bone in lung cancer [120].

### 6) Conclusions and Perspectives

Invadopodia constitute an attractive target for metastasis promotion and inhibition. Data from our research group demonstrated that incubation of breast cancer cells with the anticancer effector lumican may inhibit or even reverse the several metastatic features that EMT endows. Since EMT is correlated with migration and invasion, as well as with the initiation of metastasis, a lumican-based anti-cancer therapy which will pharmacologically target and inhibit EMT might be interesting to be developed. Although lumican peptides might be susceptible to proteolytic degradation by the various proteases and could not be used easily for therapeutic purposes, protected derivatives and/or nanoformulations could be alternatives for their administration in solid tumors. Taking into consideration the growing field of nanotechnology and its raising applications in therapeutics, it may also be plausible to suggest that local overexpression of lumican in solid tumors using nanoformulations may be another useful approach or combined approaches could drive expression of lumican locally as a promising tool to consider for preventing invasion and metastasis at distant sites.

## **Conflict of Interest statement**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

### **Acknowledgements**

We wish to thank Pr. F.-X. Maquart (University of Reims, Champagne-Ardenne, France) for the suggestions during the course of this work and Mr. Gianfranco Filippini DISTAL-Plant Pathology (University of Bologna, Italy). The work was co-financed by the Region Champagne-Ardenne, the Fond Européen de Développement Régional (FEDER), le contrat de projet Etat Région CPER 2012–2018, the Ligue contre le cancer, Conférence de Coordination Inter Régionale du Grand Est (CCIR-GE) 30036506-UMR7369 and the PHC Polonium. This publication is part of the joint-PhD (University of Patras/University of Reims Champagne Ardenne) of Konstantina Karamanou, under the financial support of Eiffel

Scholarship of Excellence (870731F), supplied from the French Ministry of Foreign Affairs, as well as General Secretariat for Research and Technology (GSRT) & the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (HFRI).

### References

- 592 1. Condeelis, J. and J.E. Segall, *Intravital imaging of cell movement in tumours.* Nat Rev Cancer, 2003. **3**(12): p. 921-30.
- 594 2. Friedl, P. and K. Wolf, *Plasticity of cell migration: a multiscale tuning model.* J Cell Biol, 2010. **188**(1): p. 11-9.
- Huttenlocher, A. and A.R. Horwitz, *Integrins in cell migration.* Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 2011. **3**(9): p. a005074.
- 598 4. Flynn, D.C., et al., *Podosomes and Invadopodia: Related structures with Common*599 *Protein Components that May Promote Breast Cancer Cellular Invasion.* Breast
  600 Cancer (Auckl), 2008. **2**: p. 17-29.
- Wisdom, K.M., et al., *Matrix mechanical plasticity regulates cancer cell migration* through confining microenvironments. Nat Commun, 2018. **9**(1): p. 4144.
- 603 6. Lammermann, T. and M. Sixt, *Mechanical modes of 'amoeboid' cell migration*. Curr Opin Cell Biol, 2009. **21**(5): p. 636-44.
- Abercrombie, M., J.E. Heaysman, and S.M. Pegrum, *The locomotion of fibroblasts in culture. IV. Electron microscopy of the leading lamella.* Exp Cell Res, 1971. **67**(2): p. 359-67.
- 608 8. Heath, J.P. and G.A. Dunn, *Cell to substratum contacts of chick fibroblasts and their* 609 relation to the microfilament system. A correlated interference-reflexion and high-610 voltage electron-microscope study. J Cell Sci, 1978. **29**: p. 197-212.
- Huttenlocher, A., R.R. Sandborg, and A.F. Horwitz, *Adhesion in cell migration*. Curr Opin Cell Biol, 1995. **7**(5): p. 697-706.
- 10. Lauffenburger, D.A., *Cell motility. Making connections count.* Nature, 1996. **383**(6599): p. 390-1.
- 615 11. Ridley, A.J., et al., *Cell migration: integrating signals from front to back.* Science, 616 2003. **302**(5651): p. 1704-9.
- Yamaguchi, H. and J. Condeelis, *Regulation of the actin cytoskeleton in cancer cell migration and invasion*. Biochim Biophys Acta, 2007. **1773**(5): p. 642-52.
- 619 13. Hall, A., The cytoskeleton and cancer. Cancer Metastasis Rev, 2009. 28(1-2): p. 5-14.
- 620 14. Genot, E. and B. Gligorijevic, *Invadosomes in their natural habitat.* Eur J Cell Biol, 621 2014. **93**(10-12): p. 367-79.
- 522 15. Stevenson, R.P., D. Veltman, and L.M. Machesky, *Actin-bundling proteins in cancer progression at a glance.* J Cell Sci, 2012. **125**(Pt 5): p. 1073-9.
- 624 16. Alblazi, K.M. and C.H. Siar, *Cellular protrusions--lamellipodia, filopodia, invadopodia*625 and podosomes--and their roles in progression of orofacial tumours: current
  626 understanding. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2015. **16**(6): p. 2187-91.
- Taylor, D.L. and J.S. Condeelis, *Cytoplasmic structure and contractility in amoeboid cells.* Int Rev Cytol, 1979. **56**: p. 57-144.
- Francis, K., et al., Two new pseudopod morphologies displayed by the human hematopoietic KG1a progenitor cell line and by primary human CD34(+) cells. Blood, 1998. **92**(10): p. 3616-23.
- Rappa, G., et al., *Tetraspanin CD9 determines invasiveness and tumorigenicity of human breast cancer cells.* Oncotarget, 2015. **6**(10): p. 7970-91.
- Small, J.V., Lamellipodia architecture: actin filament turnover and the lateral flow of actin filaments during motility. Semin Cell Biol, 1994. **5**(3): p. 157-63.

- Suraneni, P., et al., *The Arp2/3 complex is required for lamellipodia extension and directional fibroblast cell migration.* J Cell Biol, 2012. **197**(2): p. 239-51.
- Di Martino, J., et al., *The microenvironment controls invadosome plasticity*. J Cell Sci, 2016. **129**(9): p. 1759-68.
- Saltel, F., et al., *Invadosomes: intriguing structures with promise.* Eur J Cell Biol, 2011. **90**(2-3): p. 100-7.
- 642 24. Ferrari, R., E. Infante, and P. Chavrier, *Nucleus-Invadopodia Duo During Cancer Invasion*. Trends Cell Biol, 2019. **29**(2): p. 93-96.
- Gligorijevic, B., et al., *N-WASP-mediated invadopodium formation is involved in intravasation and lung metastasis of mammary tumors.* J Cell Sci, 2012. **125**(Pt 3): p. 724-34.
- Leong, H.S., et al., *Invadopodia are required for cancer cell extravasation and are a therapeutic target for metastasis.* Cell Rep, 2014. **8**(5): p. 1558-70.
- 649 27. Bravo-Cordero, J.J., L. Hodgson, and J. Condeelis, *Directed cell invasion and migration during metastasis.* Curr Opin Cell Biol, 2012. **24**(2): p. 277-83.
- Sibony-Benyamini, H. and H. Gil-Henn, *Invadopodia: the leading force*. Eur J Cell Biol, 2012. **91**(11-12): p. 896-901.
- Alonso, F., et al., *Variations on the theme of podosomes: A matter of context.*Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res, 2019. **1866**(4): p. 545-553.
- Buccione, R., J.D. Orth, and M.A. McNiven, *Foot and mouth: podosomes, invadopodia* and circular dorsal ruffles. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol, 2004. **5**(8): p. 647-57.
- Linder, S. and M. Aepfelbacher, *Podosomes: adhesion hot-spots of invasive cells.*Trends Cell Biol, 2003. **13**(7): p. 376-85.
- Linder, S., et al., Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome protein regulates podosomes in primary human macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1999. **96**(17): p. 9648-53.
- Burns, S., et al., *Configuration of human dendritic cell cytoskeleton by Rho GTPases,* the WAS protein, and differentiation. Blood, 2001. **98**(4): p. 1142-9.
- Destaing, O., et al., *Podosomes display actin turnover and dynamic self-organization* in osteoclasts expressing actin-green fluorescent protein. Mol Biol Cell, 2003. **14**(2): p. 407-16.
- 666 35. Carman, C.V., et al., *Transcellular diapedesis is initiated by invasive podosomes.* 667 Immunity, 2007. **26**(6): p. 784-97.
- 668 36. Stylli, S.S., A.H. Kaye, and P. Lock, *Invadopodia: at the cutting edge of tumour invasion*. J Clin Neurosci, 2008. **15**(7): p. 725-37.
- Aoyama, A. and W.T. Chen, A 170-kDa membrane-bound protease is associated with the expression of invasiveness by human malignant melanoma cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 1990. **87**(21): p. 8296-300.
- 673 38. Chen, W.T., et al., *Membrane proteases as potential diagnostic and therapeutic* 674 targets for breast malignancy. Breast Cancer Res Treat, 1994. **31**(2-3): p. 217-26.
- 675 39. Linder, S., *Invadosomes at a glance*. J Cell Sci, 2009. **122**(Pt 17): p. 3009-13.
- 40. Artym, V.V., et al., *Dense fibrillar collagen is a potent inducer of invadopodia via a specific signaling network.* J Cell Biol, 2015. **208**(3): p. 331-50.
- Linder, S., The matrix corroded: podosomes and invadopodia in extracellular matrix
   degradation. Trends Cell Biol, 2007. 17(3): p. 107-17.
- Revach, O.Y. and B. Geiger, *The interplay between the proteolytic, invasive, and adhesive domains of invadopodia and their roles in cancer invasion.* Cell Adh Migr, 2014. **8**(3): p. 215-25.

- Paterson, E.K. and S.A. Courtneidge, *Invadosomes are coming: new insights into function and disease relevance.* FEBS J, 2018. **285**(1): p. 8-27.
- 685 44. Gimona, M., et al., *Assembly and biological role of podosomes and invadopodia.* Curr Opin Cell Biol, 2008. **20**(2): p. 235-41.
- Wolf, K. and P. Friedl, *Mapping proteolytic cancer cell-extracellular matrix interfaces.*Clin Exp Metastasis, 2009. **26**(4): p. 289-98.
- 689 46. Hotary, K.B., et al., Membrane type I matrix metalloproteinase usurps tumor growth 690 control imposed by the three-dimensional extracellular matrix. Cell, 2003. **114**(1): p. 691 33-45.
- 692 47. Blouw, B., et al., *The invadopodia scaffold protein Tks5 is required for the growth of human breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo.* PLoS One, 2015. **10**(3): p. e0121003.
- 694 48. Jeannot, P. and A. Besson, *Cortactin function in invadopodia*. Small GTPases, 2017: p. 695 1-15.
- 696 49. Miglarese, M.R., et al., *The protein tyrosine kinase substrate cortactin is differentially* 697 *expressed in murine B lymphoid tumors.* Oncogene, 1994. **9**(7): p. 1989-97.
- 50. Zhan, X., et al., *Upregulation of cortactin expression during the maturation of megakaryocytes.* Blood, 1997. **89**(2): p. 457-64.
- 700 51. Wu, H. and K.T. Montone, *Cortactin localization in actin-containing adult and fetal tissues.* J Histochem Cytochem, 1998. **46**(10): p. 1189-91.
- 702 52. Kessels, M.M., A.E. Engqvist-Goldstein, and D.G. Drubin, Association of mouse actin-703 binding protein 1 (mAbp1/SH3P7), an Src kinase target, with dynamic regions of the 704 cortical actin cytoskeleton in response to Rac1 activation. Mol Biol Cell, 2000. **11**(1): 705 p. 393-412.
- 706 53. Hiura, K., et al., *Differentiation dependent expression of tensin and cortactin in chicken osteoclasts*. Cell Motil Cytoskeleton, 1995. **30**(4): p. 272-84.
- 708 54. Eckert, M.A., et al., *Twist1-induced invadopodia formation promotes tumor* 709 *metastasis.* Cancer Cell, 2011. **19**(3): p. 372-86.
- 710 55. Paz, H., N. Pathak, and J. Yang, *Invading one step at a time: the role of invadopodia in tumor metastasis.* Oncogene, 2014. **33**(33): p. 4193-202.
- 56. Linder, S., C. Wiesner, and M. Himmel, *Degrading devices: invadosomes in proteolytic cell invasion*. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol, 2011. 27: p. 185-211.
- 714 57. Boateng, L.R. and A. Huttenlocher, *Spatiotemporal regulation of Src and its* substrates at invadosomes. Eur J Cell Biol, 2012. **91**(11-12): p. 878-88.
- 58. Saykali, B.A. and M. El-Sibai, *Invadopodia, regulation, and assembly in cancer cell invasion.* Cell Commun Adhes, 2014. **21**(4): p. 207-12.
- 718 59. Gaggioli, C., et al., Fibroblast-led collective invasion of carcinoma cells with differing 719 roles for RhoGTPases in leading and following cells. Nat Cell Biol, 2007. **9**(12): p. 720 1392-400.
- 721 60. Roussos, E.T., J.S. Condeelis, and A. Patsialou, *Chemotaxis in cancer*. Nat Rev Cancer, 722 2011. **11**(8): p. 573-87.
- 723 61. Parekh, A., et al., Sensing and modulation of invadopodia across a wide range of rigidities. Biophys J, 2011. **100**(3): p. 573-582.
- 725 62. Juin, A., et al., *Physiological type I collagen organization induces the formation of a novel class of linear invadosomes.* Mol Biol Cell, 2012. **23**(2): p. 297-309.
- 727 63. Hoffmann, C., et al., *Hypoxia promotes breast cancer cell invasion through HIF-*728 *1alpha-mediated up-regulation of the invadopodial actin bundling protein CSRP2*. Sci
  729 Rep, 2018. **8**(1): p. 10191.

- 730 64. Theocharis, A.D., et al., *Extracellular matrix structure*. Adv Drug Deliv Rev, 2016. **97**: p. 4-27.
- 732 65. Iozzo, R.V. and L. Schaefer, *Proteoglycan form and function: A comprehensive nomenclature of proteoglycans.* Matrix Biol, 2015. **42**: p. 11-55.
- 734 66. Piperigkou, Z., et al., *Shed proteoglycans in tumor stroma.* Cell Tissue Res, 2016. **365**(3): p. 643-55.
- 736 67. Sanderson, R.D., et al., *Heparanase regulation of cancer, autophagy and inflammation: new mechanisms and targets for therapy.* FEBS J, 2017. **284**(1): p. 42-738 55.
- 739 68. Theocharis, A.D., et al., *Cell-matrix interactions: focus on proteoglycan-proteinase interplay and pharmacological targeting in cancer.* FEBS J, 2014. **281**(22): p. 5023-42.
- 741 69. Neill, T., L. Schaefer, and R.V. Iozzo, *Decoding the Matrix: Instructive Roles of Proteoglycan Receptors.* Biochemistry, 2015. **54**(30): p. 4583-98.
- 743 70. Ramani, V.C., et al., *Chemotherapy induces expression and release of heparanase*744 *leading to changes associated with an aggressive tumor phenotype.* Matrix Biol,
  745 2016. **55**: p. 22-34.
- 746 71. Merline, R., R.M. Schaefer, and L. Schaefer, *The matricellular functions of small leucine-rich proteoglycans (SLRPs)*. J Cell Commun Signal, 2009. **3**(3-4): p. 323-35.
- 748 72. Chakravarti, S., et al., Lumican regulates collagen fibril assembly: skin fragility and corneal opacity in the absence of lumican. J Cell Biol, 1998. **141**(5): p. 1277-86.
- 750 73. Nikitovic, D., et al., *Lumican, a small leucine-rich proteoglycan.* IUBMB Life, 2008. **60**(12): p. 818-23.
- 74. Brezillon, S., et al., *Expression of lumican, a small leucine-rich proteoglycan with* 753 *antitumour activity, in human malignant melanoma.* Clin Exp Dermatol, 2007. **32**(4): 754 p. 405-16.
- 755 75. Leygue, E., et al., *Expression of lumican in human breast carcinoma*. Cancer Res, 1998. **58**(7): p. 1348-52.
- 757 76. Leygue, E., et al., *Lumican and decorin are differentially expressed in human breast* carcinoma. J Pathol, 2000. **192**(3): p. 313-20.
- 759 77. Sifaki, M., et al., Lumican, a small leucine-rich proteoglycan substituted with keratan 760 sulfate chains is expressed and secreted by human melanoma cells and not normal 761 melanocytes. IUBMB Life, 2006. **58**(10): p. 606-10.
- 762 78. Troup, S., et al., Reduced expression of the small leucine-rich proteoglycans, lumican, 763 and decorin is associated with poor outcome in node-negative invasive breast cancer. 764 Clin Cancer Res, 2003. **9**(1): p. 207-14.
- 765 79. D'Onofrio, M.F., et al., *Identification of beta1 integrin as mediator of melanoma cell adhesion to lumican*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 2008. **365**(2): p. 266-72.
- 767 80. Vuillermoz, B., et al., *The small leucine-rich proteoglycan lumican inhibits melanoma* 768 progression. Exp Cell Res, 2004. **296**(2): p. 294-306.
- 769 81. Brezillon, S., et al., *Lumican core protein inhibits melanoma cell migration via alterations of focal adhesion complexes.* Cancer Lett, 2009. **283**(1): p. 92-100.
- 771 82. Radwanska, A., et al., *Lumican affects actin cytoskeletal organization in human* 772 *melanoma A375 cells.* Life Sci, 2008. **83**(19-20): p. 651-60.
- 773 83. Zeltz, C., et al., *Lumican inhibits cell migration through alpha2beta1 integrin.* Exp Cell Res, 2010. **316**(17): p. 2922-31.
- 775 84. Brezillon, S., et al., *Lumican inhibits B16F1 melanoma cell lung metastasis.* J Physiol Pharmacol, 2009. **60 Suppl 4**: p. 15-22.

- 777 85. Zeltz, C., et al., *Lumcorin: a leucine-rich repeat 9-derived peptide from human* 778 *lumican inhibiting melanoma cell migration.* FEBS Lett, 2009. **583**(18): p. 3027-32.
- 779 86. Pietraszek-Gremplewicz, K., et al., *Small leucine-rich proteoglycans and matrix* 780 *metalloproteinase-14: Key partners?* Matrix Biol, 2019. **75-76**: p. 271-285.
- 781 87. Niewiarowska, J., et al., *DNAzymes to mouse beta1 integrin mRNA in vivo: targeting*782 *the tumor vasculature and retarding cancer growth.* Cancer Gene Ther, 2009. **16**(9):
  783 p. 713-22.
- Niewiarowska, J., et al., Lumican inhibits angiogenesis by interfering with alpha2beta1 receptor activity and downregulating MMP-14 expression. Thromb Res, 2011. **128**(5): p. 452-7.
- 787 89. Baumann, P., et al., *Membrane-type 1 matrix metalloproteinase-mediated*788 progelatinase A activation in non-tumorigenic and tumorigenic human keratinocytes.
  789 Br J Cancer, 2000. **83**(10): p. 1387-93.
- 790 90. Kalluri, R. and R.A. Weinberg, *The basics of epithelial-mesenchymal transition.* J Clin Invest, 2009. **119**(6): p. 1420-8.
- 792 91. Lu, J., et al., *Breast cancer metastasis: challenges and opportunities.* Cancer Res, 2009. **69**(12): p. 4951-3.
- 794 92. Ye, Y., et al., *ERalpha signaling through slug regulates E-cadherin and EMT.* 795 Oncogene, 2010. **29**(10): p. 1451-62.
- 796 93. Al Saleh, S., L.H. Sharaf, and Y.A. Luqmani, Signalling pathways involved in endocrine 797 resistance in breast cancer and associations with epithelial to mesenchymal 798 transition (Review). Int J Oncol, 2011. **38**(5): p. 1197-217.
- 94. Blanc, C., et al., *Caspase-3 is essential for procaspase-9 processing and cisplatin-induced apoptosis of MCF-7 breast cancer cells.* Cancer Res, 2000. **60**(16): p. 4386-90.
- Piperigkou, Z., et al., Estrogen receptor beta modulates breast cancer cells functional properties, signaling and expression of matrix molecules. Matrix Biol, 2016. **56**: p. 4-803 23.
- 804 96. Bouris, P., et al., Estrogen receptor alpha mediates epithelial to mesenchymal 805 transition, expression of specific matrix effectors and functional properties of breast 806 cancer cells. Matrix Biol, 2015. **43**: p. 42-60.
- 807 97. Karamanou, K., et al., Lumican effectively regulates the estrogen receptors-808 associated functional properties of breast cancer cells, expression of matrix effectors 809 and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. Sci Rep, 2017. **7**: p. 45138.
- 810 98. Coulson-Thomas, V.J., et al., *Lumican expression, localization and antitumor activity* 811 *in prostate cancer.* Exp Cell Res, 2013. **319**(7): p. 967-81.
- 99. Yamamoto, T., et al., *Secreted 70kDa lumican stimulates growth and inhibits invasion of human pancreatic cancer.* Cancer Lett, 2012. **320**(1): p. 31-9.
- 814 100. Busch, T., et al., *Keratin 8 phosphorylation regulates keratin reorganization and migration of epithelial tumor cells.* J Cell Sci, 2012. **125**(Pt 9): p. 2148-59.
- Fillies, T., et al., *Cytokeratin 8/18 expression indicates a poor prognosis in squamous cell carcinomas of the oral cavity.* BMC Cancer, 2006. **6**: p. 10.
- Schaafsma, H.E., et al., *Increased expression of cytokeratins 8, 18 and vimentin in the invasion front of mucosal squamous cell carcinoma.* J Pathol, 1993. **170**(1): p. 77-86.
- 820 103. Alam, H., et al., Loss of keratins 8 and 18 leads to alterations in alpha6beta4-integrin-821 mediated signalling and decreased neoplastic progression in an oral-tumour-derived 822 cell line. J Cell Sci, 2011. **124**(Pt 12): p. 2096-106.

- Taliana, L., et al., *ZO-1: lamellipodial localization in a corneal fibroblast wound model.* Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2005. **46**(1): p. 96-103.
- 825 105. Karamanou K., et al., Evaluation of lumican effects in morphology of invading breast 826 cancer cells, expression of integrins and downstream signalling, Submitted to FEBS J, 827 2019, FJ-19-0546.
- 106. Ishiwata, T., et al., Enhanced expression of lumican inhibited the attachment and growth of human embryonic kidney 293 cells. Exp Mol Pathol, 2010. **88**(3): p. 363-70.
- Nikitovic, D., et al., *Insights into targeting colon cancer cell fate at the level of proteoglycans / glycosaminoglycans*. Curr Med Chem, 2012. **19**(25): p. 4247-58.
- Nikitovic, D., et al., *Lumican regulates osteosarcoma cell adhesion by modulating* TGFbeta2 activity. Int J Biochem Cell Biol, 2011. **43**(6): p. 928-35.
- 334 109. Jacob, A. and R. Prekeris, *The regulation of MMP targeting to invadopodia during cancer metastasis.* Front Cell Dev Biol, 2015. **3**: p. 4.
- Hofmann, U.B., et al., *Matrix metalloproteinases in human melanoma*. J Invest Dermatol, 2000. **115**(3): p. 337-44.
- Dissanayake, S.K., et al., *The Wnt5A/protein kinase C pathway mediates motility in melanoma cells via the inhibition of metastasis suppressors and initiation of an epithelial to mesenchymal transition.* J Biol Chem, 2007. **282**(23): p. 17259-71.
- Stasiak, M., et al., Lumican Inhibits SNAIL-Induced Melanoma Cell Migration Specifically by Blocking MMP-14 Activity. PLoS One, 2016. **11**(3): p. e0150226.
- 843 113. Wu, J., et al., *HMGA2 overexpression-induced ovarian surface epithelial* 844 transformation is mediated through regulation of *EMT genes*. Cancer Res, 2011. 845 **71**(2): p. 349-59.
- Thuault, S., et al., *HMGA2 and Smads co-regulate SNAIL1 expression during induction* of epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. J Biol Chem, 2008. **283**(48): p. 33437-46.
- Saika, S., et al., Response of lens epithelial cells to injury: role of lumican in epithelialmesenchymal transition. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci, 2003. **44**(5): p. 2094-102.
- 850 116. Li, L.F., et al., Lumican regulates ventilation-induced epithelial-mesenchymal 851 transition through extracelluar signal-regulated kinase pathway. Chest, 2013. **143**(5): 852 p. 1252-1260.
- 853 117. Loncar-Brzak, B., et al., Expression of small leucine-rich extracellular matrix 854 proteoglycans biglycan and lumican reveals oral lichen planus malignant potential. 855 Clin Oral Investig, 2018. **22**(2): p. 1071-1082.
- Li, X., et al., Extracellular lumican inhibits pancreatic cancer cell growth and is associated with prolonged survival after surgery. Clin Cancer Res, 2014. 20(24): p. 6529-40.
- de Wit, M., et al., *Lumican and versican are associated with good outcome in stage II* and *III colon cancer.* Ann Surg Oncol, 2013. **20 Suppl 3**: p. S348-59.
- Lieveld, M., et al., Gene expression profiling of giant cell tumor of bone reveals downregulation of extracellular matrix components decorin and lumican associated with lung metastasis. Virchows Arch, 2014. **465**(6): p. 703-13.

### Figures Legends

**Figure 1 : Schematic representation of lumican structure.** Lumican is a 338 amino acids protein. An 18 amino acid signal peptide is included, which permits the secretion of lumican into ECM, as well as three major domains: a) a negatively-charged N-terminal domain containing four cysteines with disulfide bonds and potential sites for tyrosine sulfation, b) a central part which is highly conserved and is described to contain 11 leucine rich repeats, c) a C-terminal domain of 66 amino acids which contains two conserved cysteines and two LRRs. The LRRs motifs are shown as blue boxes, and numbered from N-terminal to C-terminal. There are four potential sites for substitution by N-linked KS or oligosaccharides, situated at position 87, 126, 159, 251 of the core protein of human lumican.

Figure 2: Observation of MDA-MB-231 cells by SEM, set on Millipore® filter coated with type I collagen. First panel (images 1,2): MDA-MB-231 cells cultured for 24h, appeared with mesenchymal phenotype, spindle-like shape and a plethora of cellular protrusions, like lamellipodia or filopodia. The arrow in image 1 depicts the spindle-like shape. In image 2, 100 nM lumican seems to endow MDA-MB-231 cells with a more rounded shape and diminished number of cellular protrusions. Second panel (images 3,4): MDA-MB-231 cultured for 48h. The mesenchymal morphology is still evident, although cells seem to be more flattened. In image 4, 100nM lumican rendered cells with a more globular shape and the cell surface seemed smooth.

Figure 3: Schematic representation of lumican effect in EMT, focal adhesion proteins, cellular functions and signaling pathways. Highly-invasive, mesenchymal MDA-MB-231 cells are transformed into epithelial-like upon 100nM lumican treatment after 48h of cell culture. Lumican diminishes the number of cellular protrusions, since it decreases the expression of cortactin; major protein of invadopodia formation, and vinculin; protein of focal adhesions. Lumican also affects the activity and expression of MMP-14, and as a consequence cellular migration, invasion, and several RTKs, such as FAK signaling pathway. The structure of invadopodium is presented in the insert. MMP-14 is depicted on the edge of invadopodium, facilitating migration and invasion. Vinculin and talin are essential for the integrins connection to cytoskeleton.

Pathological condition	Effect on EMT	Effect on invadopodia	References
Bone-derived prostate cancer	<ul> <li>Re-organization of keratin 8 and 18 into pericellular localization</li> <li>Destabilization of focal complexes</li> <li>Decrease of ZO-1 expression</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Lamellipodia and invadopodia are diminished</li> </ul>	[98]
Highly-invasive breast cancer	<ul> <li>Altered expression of invadopodia markers (vinculin, talin, cortactin)</li> <li>Heterogeneity in cell populations (co-existence of spindle-like and flattened cells)</li> <li>Tendency to regain an epithelial phenotype</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Decrease in the number of lamellipodia and filopodia</li> </ul>	Karamanou <i>et al.,</i> submitted to FEBS J, [105]
Low-invasive breast cancer	<ul> <li>Morphological alterations</li> <li>Globularly-shaped cells</li> <li>Altered gene expression of EMT markers (increase of Ecadherin, decrease of vimentin)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Diminished number of invadopodia and absence of microvilli, microvesicles and invadosomes</li> <li>Release of exosomes</li> </ul>	Karamanou <i>et al.,</i> submitted to FEBS J, [105]
Melanoma	<ul> <li>Cell migration is affected</li> <li>Expression of invadopodia markers is altered</li> <li>Blocking of MMP-14 activity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Invasive cellular protrusions are affected</li> </ul>	[109-112]
Ovarian Cancer	<ul> <li>HMGA2, key EMT molecule is affected</li> <li>Promotion of tumorigenesis through EMT regulation</li> </ul>	Not reported	[113]
Lung injury	<ul> <li>Promotion of mechanical ventilation of high tidal volume</li> <li>Induction of EMT through ERK 1/2 pathway</li> <li>MIP-2 and TGF-beta expression are modulated</li> </ul>	Not reported	[116]







