

Developing a delivery system for the control of plant diseases: from leaf pathogens control to grapevine trunk diseases control in the nursery

Enrico Battiston, Laura Mugnai, Marco Nocentini, Tamara Cinelli, Cecilia Comparini, Fabio Osti, Fanja Rabenoelina, Christophe Clement, Florence Fontaine, Stefano Di Marco

▶ To cite this version:

Enrico Battiston, Laura Mugnai, Marco Nocentini, Tamara Cinelli, Cecilia Comparini, et al.. Developing a delivery system for the control of plant diseases: from leaf pathogens control to grapevine trunk diseases control in the nursery. 10th IWGTD, 2017, REIMS, France. hal-03124107

HAL Id: hal-03124107 https://hal.univ-reims.fr/hal-03124107v1

Submitted on 28 Jan 2021

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Developing a delivery system for the control of plant diseases: from leaf pathogens control to



grapevine trunk diseases control in the nursery

ENRICO BATTISTON¹⁻³, LAURA MUGNAI¹, MARCO NOCENTINI¹, TAMARA CINELLI¹, CECILIA COMPARINI¹, FABIO OSTI², FANJA RABENOELINA³, CHRISTOPHE CLEMENT³, FLORENCE FONTAINE³, STEFANO DI MARCO² ¹Dipartimento di Scienze delle Produzioni Agroalimentari e dell'Ambiente, Università degli Studi di Firenze, P.le delle Cascine 28, 50144 Firenze, Italy; ²URVVC EA 4707, Laboratoire Stress, Défenses et Reproduction des Plantes, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, BP 1039, 51687 Reims Cedex 2, France; ³Istituto di Biometeorologia (IBIMET), CNR, Via Gobetti 101, 40129 Bologna, Italy. E-mail: enrico.battiston@unifi.it - enrico.battiston@etudiant.univ-reims.fr



Grapevine trunk diseases (GTDs) are a major threat for viticulture, in all grape-growing countries. They are all caused by fungal pathogens infecting the vines by wounds. The fungal agents cause wood decay, cankers or infections of the vascular tissue causing different symptoms. The main diseases identified in Europe are the ones within the Esca Complex of diseases: vascular pathogens (Pch, Pmin) and wood rot agents (Fmed) surely interacting with the main canker agents (Bot species). The management of GTDs has several critical aspects, and one of the main ones is the lack of suitable treatment with low toxicity but good efficacy. This suggested to investigate the applicability in plant protection of micro-structured inorganic crystals based on Carbonate HydroxyApatite (CHA), already in use in the medical field as innovative delivery system, to enhance the biological activity and the efficacy *in planta* of traditional substances, such as the copper(II) compounds.

AIMS

The research is aimed to:

 apply the CHA to two copper(II) compounds, verifying the improvement of the copper efficiency in a Vitis vinifera protective greenhouse test against Plasmopara viticola;


MATERIALS AND METHODS

Several formulations were prepared functionalizing CHA with two different copper(II) compounds (fig. 0): tribasic sulphate (TBS) and sulphate pentahydrate (SPH). The formulations were applied in the following tests:
1) Greenhouse protective test on potted vines (*V. vinifera* L.) inoculated with *Plasmopara viticola,* in order to detect the disease severity after 7 days, comparing the protection achieved by the formulations and vs a fungicide and the untreated control;

- investigate the biological activity of both copper(II) compounds, pure and formulated with CHA, on the growth *in vitro* of two GTDs related pathogens;
- evaluate the fungicide activity *in vivo* of the same formulations and the potential application in the control of GTDs related pathogens in grapevine propagating material;
- evaluate the potential stimulation of the defence responses related to GTDs in *V. vinifera*, through foliar applications of the same formulations;
- understand the role of copper(II) based treatments and its optimisations on controlling GTDs.


Severity of *Plasmopara viticola* in Greenhouse Protective Test

- In vitro test to evaluate the growth inhibition of GTD related pathogens, such as Phaeomoniella chlamydospora (Pch) and Neofusicoccum parvum (Np);
- 3) **Nursery protective test** on grapevine propagating material (rootstocks cv. Kober 5BB and scions cv. Chardonnay) hydrated with water solutions containing the CHA-copper(II) based formulations and inoculated with spores of Pch before to be grafted, callused, planted in the field and finally harvested for the pathogen reisolation;
- 4) In planta gene regulation test on grapevine scions (cv. Chardonnay), grown in greenhouse, inoculated with Np, and treated on the leaves with the same formulations before collecting foliar samples at 8hpt for RNA extractions and RT/qPCR analysis.




%GI


GREENHOUSE PROTECTIVE TEST AGAINST *Plasmopara viticola*



IN VITRO TEST ON Pch AND Np

%GI Pch - CHA+SPH





The greenhouse protective test showed a positive contribution of CHA in enhancing the activity of copper against the pathogen: for TBS there is a high difference also due to the low activity of the technical TBS, while for SPH, the CHA reduced the phytotoxic effect of the technical SPH. CHA was not significantly different from the control.


IN PLANTA GENE REGULATION TEST (1)

| Expression 8hpt | CTRL | СНА | TBS | CHA + TBS | SPH | CHA + SPH |
|-----------------|------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| CHIT4C | 1.08 | 3.53 | 10.81 | 2.91 | 65.93 | 37.46 |
| GLU | 1.02 | 3.95 | 14.69 | 4.08 | 58.66 | 68.08 |
| IFRL4 | 1.01 | 5.38 | 0.48 | 3.76 | 0.84 | 0.41 |
| LHCA3 | 1.02 | 0.87 | 0.44 | 0.73 | 0.14 | 0.08 |
| LOX | 1.03 | 0.46 | 0.67 | 0.77 | 2.14 | 0.97 |
| PAL | 1.02 | 0.63 | 5.01 | 1.06 | 24.27 | 17.30 |
| PGIP | 1.02 | 1.29 | 1.58 | 1.15 | 2.29 | 1.28 |
| РОХ | 1.00 | 0.78 | 0.96 | 0.94 | 0.79 | 0.57 |
| РРО | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.09 | 1.59 | 1.67 | 2.39 |
| PR1 | 0.96 | 11.85 | 23.98 | 2.75 | 126.20 | 217.52 |
| PR10 | 1.05 | 2.40 | 23.69 | 5.67 | 186.13 | 238.42 |
| PR6 | 1.25 | 1.03 | 1.92 | 1.33 | 21.87 | 4.62 |
| PSBP1 | 1.03 | 1.21 | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.27 | 0.18 |
| STS | 1.05 | 0.67 | 11.65 | 2.61 | 64.11 | 75.32 |

high defence responses induction/repression

(same formulations and amounts applied in nursery during wood hydration)


Results are expressed in % of Growth Inhibition (GI) at 3 concentrations of testing formulation: 1.2 – 2.4 – 4.8%. CHA showed a stimulant effect on Pch (as reported in the greenhouse test), while the copper based formulations inhibited completely the Pch growth in the first week, obviously as a consequence of the presence of copper(II) ions, which seemed to mask the stimulant effect of CHA.



CHA showed the inhibition effect on the growth of Np only at the very initial stage of the growth, while already in the second day it seemed to stimulate its growth. The formulations based on copper(II) showed the same results reported for Pch with a lower inhibition of Np achieved by CHA+TBS. Globally it was observed the stimulant and non fungitoxic effect of CHA and the high and stable growth inhibition for both CHA+SPH and CHA+TBS.

NURSERY PROTECTIVE TEST TO CONTROL GTDs PATHOGENS

INCIDENCE % of Botryosphaeriaceous species naturally present in the propagating material: only the SPH showed a fungi-toxic effect compared to the control, but only in the inoculated material.




IN PLANTA GENE REGULATION TEST (2)

| WRON | G TA | RGET | ??? |
|------|-------------|------|-------|
| | | | • • • |

| Expression 8hpt | CTRL Np | CHA Np | TBS Np | CHA+TBS Np | SPH Np | CHA+SPH Np |
|-----------------|---------|--------|--------|------------|--------|------------|
| CHIT4C | 1.08 | 1.61 | 5.83 | 13.90 | 72.07 | 30.86 |
| GLU | 0.74 | 0.94 | 8.89 | 14.60 | 35.22 | 51.08 |
| IFRL4 | 12.11 | 1.44 | 1.28 | 12.64 | 1.63 | 1.67 |
| LHCA3 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.27 | 0.59 | 0.08 | 0.15 |
| LOX | 0.88 | 0.88 | 1.26 | 8.57 | 1.65 | 3.66 |
| PAL | 0.89 | 0.70 | 4.82 | 15.92 | 11.61 | 13.69 |
| PGIP | 1.08 | 0.77 | 1.07 | 18.58 | 30.39 | 2.50 |
| POX | 0.98 | 0.43 | 0.91 | 1.11 | 1.26 | 0.70 |
| РРО | 1.52 | 1.24 | 1.63 | 2.01 | 7.86 | 2.88 |
| PR1 | 4.40 | 1.55 | 44.56 | 48.84 | 169.81 | 206.49 |
| PR10 | 1.73 | 0.90 | 7.51 | 9.17 | 187.57 | 121.25 |
| PR6 | 1.88 | 1.23 | 2.46 | 19.97 | 30.88 | 10.32 |
| PSBP1 | 1.01 | 0.93 | 0.48 | 0.78 | 0.14 | 0.27 |
| STS | 0.88 | 1.39 | 22.51 | 16.77 | 43.90 | 49.75 |

high defence responses induction/repression on plants inoculated with Np



INCIDENCE % of Pch: no colonies were reisolated from the test material, while a high incidence of Pch was detected in all the conditions with no significant effect in comparison to the control.

CONCLUSIONS Thorough an approach based on consecutive trials, the potential biological activity of two copper compounds was evaluated, showing very promising results against *Plasmopara viticola*. The same formulations showed a significant fungitoxic activity *in vitro*, against GTDs pathogens, but the effective concentrations did not show the same efficiency *in planta* on protecting the grapevine propagation material. The potential activity on GTDs control was revealed by the same formulations as significant induction/repression of the defense responses related genes, in both tested conditions (non inoculated/inoculated Np), suggesting further investigations for potential field applications.