



HAL
open science

Isolation and Identification of Lipopeptide-Producing *Bacillus velezensis* Strains from Wheat Phyllosphere with Antifungal Activity against the Wheat Pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*

Rémi Platel, Mélodie Sawicki, Qassim Esmaeel, Béatrice Randoux, Pauline Trapet, Mohammed El Guilli, Nouredine Chtaina, Ségolène Arnauld, Alexandre Bricout, Alice Rochex, et al.

► To cite this version:

Rémi Platel, Mélodie Sawicki, Qassim Esmaeel, Béatrice Randoux, Pauline Trapet, et al.. Isolation and Identification of Lipopeptide-Producing *Bacillus velezensis* Strains from Wheat Phyllosphere with Antifungal Activity against the Wheat Pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Agronomy*, 2022, 12 (1), pp.95. 10.3390/agronomy12010095 . hal-03511669

HAL Id: hal-03511669

<https://hal.univ-reims.fr/hal-03511669>

Submitted on 5 Jan 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Article

Isolation and Identification of Lipopeptide-Producing *Bacillus velezensis* Strains from Wheat Phyllosphere with Antifungal Activity against the Wheat Pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*

Rémi Platel ^{1,†}, Mélodie Sawicki ^{2,†}, Qassim Esmaeel ³, Béatrice Randoux ², Pauline Trapet ¹, Mohammed El Guilli ⁴, Noureddine Chtaina ⁵, Ségolène Arnauld ^{1,6}, Alexandre Bricout ¹, Alice Rochex ¹, Natacha Bourdon ², Patrice Halama ¹, Cédric Jacquard ³, Essaid Ait Barka ³, Philippe Reignault ², Maryline Magnin-Robert ^{2,†} and Ali Siah ^{1,*,†}

- ¹ Joint Research Unit 1158 BioEcoAgro, Junia, University of Lille, INRAE, University of Liège, UPJV, University of Artois, ULCO, 59000 Lille, France; remi.platel@junia.com (R.P.); pauline.trapet@junia.com (P.T.); segolene.arnauld@polytech-lille.fr (S.A.); alexandre.bricout@junia.com (A.B.); Alice.Rochex@polytech-lille.fr (A.R.); patrice.halama@junia.com (P.H.)
- ² Unité de Chimie Environnementale et Interactions sur le Vivant (EA 4492), Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, CS 80699 CEDEX, 62228 Calais, France; me.sawicki@laposte.net (M.S.); beatrice.randoux@univ-littoral.fr (B.R.); natacha.bourdon@univ-littoral.fr (N.B.); philippe.reignault@anses.fr (P.R.); maryline.magnin-robert@univ-littoral.fr (M.M.-R.)
- ³ Unité de Recherche Résistance Induite et Bio-Protection des Plantes-EA 4707—USC INRAe1488, Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, 51100 Reims, France; qassim.esmaeel@univ-reims.fr (Q.E.); cedric.jacquard@univ-reims.fr (C.J.); ea.barka@univ-reims.fr (E.A.B.)
- ⁴ Center of Agricultural Research of Kenitra 14070, Plant Pathology and Post-Harvest Quality, National Institute of Agricultural Research, Kenitra 14070, Morocco; mguilli@yahoo.com
- ⁵ Laboratory of Phytopathology, Department of Production, Protection and Biotechnology, Hassan II Institute of Agronomic and Veterinary Medicine, Rabat 10010, Morocco; noureddine.chtaina@gmail.com
- ⁶ Plateforme Technologique Agro-Alimentaire, Polytech Lille, Université de Lille, Cité Scientifique, 59655 Villeneuve D'Ascq, France
- * Correspondence: ali.siah@junia.com; Tel.: +33-(0)3-28-38-48-48
- † These authors contributed equally to this work.



Citation: Platel, R.; Sawicki, M.; Esmaeel, Q.; Randoux, B.; Trapet, P.; El Guilli, M.; Chtaina, N.; Arnauld, S.; Bricout, A.; Rochex, A.; et al. Isolation and Identification of Lipopeptide-Producing *Bacillus velezensis* Strains from Wheat Phyllosphere with Antifungal Activity against the Wheat Pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Agronomy* **2022**, *12*, 95. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy12010095>

Academic Editor: Antonios Zambounis

Received: 5 November 2021

Accepted: 26 December 2021

Published: 31 December 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract: Septoria tritici blotch, caused by the fungal pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*, is a highly significant disease on wheat crops worldwide. The objective of the present study was to find out new bacterial strains with bio-antimicrobial activity against *Z. tritici*. Two phyllospheric bacteria (S1 and S6) were isolated from wheat ears and identified as *Bacillus velezensis* strains according to 16S rRNA Sanger sequencing. Antagonistic assays performed with either living strains or cell-free culture filtrates showed significant in vitro antifungal activities against *Z. tritici*. For the culture filtrates, the half-maximal inhibitory dilution and the minimal inhibitory dilution were 1.4% and 3.7% for the strain S1, and 7.4% and 15% for the strain S6, respectively. MALDI—ToF analysis revealed that both strains synthesize cyclic lipopeptides but from different families. Interestingly, only strain S1 produces putative bacillomycin D. Such differential lipopeptide production patterns might explain the difference observed between the antifungal activity of the culture filtrates of the two strains. This study allows the identification of new lipopeptide-producing strains of *B. velezensis* with a high potential of application for the biocontrol of *Z. tritici*.

Keywords: *Zymoseptoria tritici*; *Bacillus velezensis*; antimicrobial agents; cyclic lipopeptides; bacillomycin D

1. Introduction

Bacillus sp. are ubiquitous gram-positive bacteria occurring in diverse ecological niches and are known for their ability to produce a wide array of metabolites with applications in several areas, such as crop bio-protection against pests and diseases. *Bacillus* genus harbors

more than 300 described species (www.bacterio.net/bacillus.html) (accessed on 24 April 2021) but constitutes a phylogenetically incoherent group with two main reported clades (*B. subtilis* and *B. cereus* clades) [1]. Most of the exploited *Bacillus* species for the production of biologically active lipopeptides are *B. subtilis*, *B. pumilus*, *B. licheniformis*, *B. velezensis*, and *B. amyloliquefaciens*, although the classification of these latter species is confusing and still not unanimous within the scientific community [2]. *Bacillus* species dedicate approximately 5 to 8% of their total genome to synthesize bioactive secondary metabolites, such as peptides and lipopeptides, polyketides, bacteriocins, and siderophores [3]. Lipopeptides from *Bacillus* sp. are synthesized by multi-enzymatic proteins named non-ribosomal peptide synthetases, which confer substantial structural diversity to the molecules and result in the production of linear, branched, or cyclic compounds usually considered as low ecotoxic compounds [4,5]. Lipopeptides are classified into three main families according to their amino acid sequence, including iturins (mycosubtilin, iturin A, and bacillomycin D), surfactin, and fengycin [6,7]. The surfactin family consists of heptapeptides containing a β -hydroxy fatty acid, while the iturin family includes heptapeptides with a β -amino fatty acid [8,9]. Molecules belonging to the fengycin family, as well as the related plipastatin family, are decapeptides linked to a β -hydroxy fatty acid. Lipopeptides have been demonstrated to show a broad spectrum of antimicrobial activity towards a wide range of phytopathogenic fungi, oomycetes, bacteria, and viruses through a direct antagonistic effect and/or via the stimulation of plant immunity [10]. These modes of action could result from the interaction of the lipopeptides with the cell plasma membranes of the targeted organisms due to their amphiphilic properties, conferring on them an affinity with cell membranes [10,11].

Wheat is one of the most cultivated and consumed cereals worldwide, used as basic human and livestock foods in several regions around the world. *Zymoseptoria tritici*, causing Septoria tritici blotch, is one of the most occurring and devastating pathogens on wheat crops, able to induce grain yield losses of up to 50%, especially in regions where environmental conditions are favorable for disease development [12,13]. *Zymoseptoria tritici* is a hemibiotrophic fungus, with a biotrophic phase of about two weeks, followed by a necrotrophic phase of one week [14]. However, this time-lapse varies depending on the host cultivar, the fungal strain, and the environmental conditions [15]. The transition from the biotrophic to the necrotrophic phase coincides with the production by the fungus of cell-wall-degrading enzymes, such as xylanases, that macerate leaf tissue and liberate nutrients from mesophyll cells, which are required for sporulation [15]. Since host resistance to *Z. tritici* is not fully effective in most wheat cultivars, disease control relies mainly on the use of synthetic chemical fungicides. However, the use of such products is increasingly controversial because of their potentially harmful impacts on both the environment and human health. Moreover, *Z. tritici* frequently develops resistance to fungicides and regularly overcomes host-resistance genes, making it one of the most difficult plant pathogens to control in the field [16,17]. In this context, and in the framework of sustainable agriculture, there is an increasing need to develop and promote alternative control strategies against this disease. One of the most promising alternatives to allow for a reduction of chemical pesticides is the biocontrol of plant pathogens using microorganisms or natural substances. This more eco-friendly solution has received increasing attention due to its potential for improved safety when compared to conventional pesticides [18]. The objectives of the present study were, thus, (i) to isolate new biocontrol agents with antimicrobial bioactivity against *Z. tritici*, by focusing on the bacterial species *B. velezensis*, which has never been investigated for its antifungal activity towards this pathogen, and (ii) to characterize the metabolites produced by this biocontrol agent, which is potentially responsible for their activity. Although previous reports on *B. velezensis* were performed with strains collected mainly from soil or rhizosphere, we isolated here two bacterial strains from wheat phyllosphere (plant ear). Once isolated, the strains were identified and examined for their antimicrobial activity against *Z. tritici*. Moreover, the lipopeptide metabolites produced by

these bacteria were identified using matrix-assisted laser desorption Ionization—time of flight (MALDI—ToF) analysis.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. *Bacillus* sp. Strain Isolation and Growth on Different Nutrient Media

Both S1 and S6 strains used in the present study were isolated from wheat ears in August 2016 in Morocco. The strain S1 was isolated in Ait Mellol (GPS coordinates 033°14'44 006°22'52 251,33') while the strain S6 was isolated in Marrakech (GPS coordinates 031°26'61 006°50'50 716,81), both from wheat fields with low severity of *Septoria tritici* blotch symptoms. One wheat ear was sampled from an unknown cultivar in each location and then immersed as soon as possible in laboratory conditions in a solution of 250 mL of sterile physiological water (8.5 g·L⁻¹ NaCl), before being submitted to agitation for 2 h. Serial dilutions were then performed for each sample until reaching 10⁻⁶ of the mother solution; then, 100 µL of the obtained diluted solution (10⁻⁶) was spread on a Petri plate amended with potato dextrose agar (PDA) medium. After an incubation period of 24 h at 25 °C in dark conditions, characteristic colonies of hypothetical *B. velezensis* strains, up to this point, were collected under sterile conditions and transferred into new PDA plates, before conservation at -80 °C in cryotubes for further analyses. The ability of both strains to grow on different media was checked, on LB (Luria-Bertani) medium (10 g·L⁻¹ tryptone, 10 g·L⁻¹ NaCl, 5 g·L⁻¹ yeast extract, pH 7.2), King B medium (10 g·L⁻¹ glycerol, 20 g·L⁻¹ peptone, K₂HPO₄ 1.5 g·L⁻¹, MgSO₄·7H₂O 1.5 g·L⁻¹, pH 7.2), PCA (Plate Count Agar) medium (Difco, 24790) and a modified Landy's liquid medium [19] that is known to promote lipopeptides production, containing 20 g·L⁻¹ glucose, 5 g·L⁻¹ glutamic acid, 1 g·L⁻¹ yeast extract, 1 g·L⁻¹ K₂HPO₄, 0.5 g·L⁻¹ MgSO₄·7H₂O, 0.5 g·L⁻¹ KCl, 1.6 mg·L⁻¹ CuSO₄·5H₂O, 0.4 mg·L⁻¹ FeSO₄·7H₂O, 1.2 mg·L⁻¹ MnSO₄·H₂O, and 3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid buffer at 100 mM pH 7.0 (Figure S1).

2.2. Molecular Identification of S1 and S6 Strains

Genomic DNA from exponentially growing S1 and S6 cultures was extracted using the Wizard Genomic Purification DNA Kit (Promega Corp., Madison, WI, USA) following instructions from the supplier. The strains S1 and S6 were identified by sequencing the 16S rRNA gene using 16S forward (FD2) 5'AGAGTTTGATCATGGCTCAG and 16S reverse (RP1) 5'ACGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT primers [20], and the following PCR cycles: one cycle at 94 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles (94 °C, 1 min; 56 °C, 30 s; 72 °C, 2 min), and a final extension at 72 °C for 10 min. The resulting PCR product sizes were purified with the GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific Fermentas, Waltham, MA, USA) and then sequenced at GENWIZ Co., Ltd. (Leipzig, Germany) using Sanger sequencing technology. The sequencing reaction was performed in two directions using the forward and reverse primers (FD2, RP1) to cover the length of the 16S rRNA gene. After trimming, forward and reverse sequences were aligned to each other using a local alignment search tool (BLASTn) (<https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>), and were then assembled to obtain the full contiguous sequence (≈1400 bp). The sequences were then submitted to BLASTn and the nearest 16S rRNA gene sequences were downloaded. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using the neighbor-joining algorithms and Kimura two-parameter model *via* MEGA version X [21]. The dataset was boot-strapped 1000 times. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of strains S1 and S6 have been deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MW931521 and MW931522, respectively.

2.3. Culture Filtrate Production and Preparation

Culture filtrates (cell free supernatants) from the *B. velezensis* strains S1 and S6 were produced in sterile conditions using the modified Landy's liquid medium [19]. A preculture of each strain was prepared in 100 mL Erlenmeyer containing 10 mL of modified Landy's medium inoculated with frozen stocked cells of each bacterial strain and incubated at 30 °C under 160-rpm shaking for 16 h. Then, 10 mL of each preculture were used to inoculate

250 mL Erlenmeyer amended with 100 mL of modified Landy's medium, which were then incubated at 30 °C under 160-rpm shaking for 48 h. The first step of the filtration consisted in adjusting the concentration of the cultures regarding the bacterial cells to 0.8 optical density at 600 nm using a Spectrophotometer (corresponding to 7.10^6 CFU/mL), in order to allow a better and more reliable further filtration process. The adjusted cultures were then centrifuged at $10,000 \times g$ for 10 min before being sterilized by filtration across a 0.22 μ m cellulose acetate membrane and stored at -20 °C.

2.4. *In Vitro* Bacterial Antagonism Activity and Antifungal Activity Bioassays of Culture Filtrates

The antagonistic activity of both *B. velezensis* strains S1 and S6 and the antifungal activity of their culture filtrates were assessed using the *Z. tritici* single-conidial strain T02596, isolated in 2014 from northern France [22]. Antagonism assays were performed by plate confrontation between the bacterial strains and the fungus. The fungus was grown on PDA medium in Petri dishes incubated at 20 °C. After five days of incubation, fungal spores were collected by washing the cultures with 10 mL of sterile distilled water, and then, the concentration of spore suspensions was adjusted to 1×10^6 spores \cdot mL⁻¹. An aliquot of 0.5 mL of spore suspension was spread on a PDA Petri dish before being incubated at 20 °C for 24 h in the dark. Then, 10 μ L of bacterial suspension (OD₆₀₀ = 0.8) were deposited on the center of the Petri dish. This bacterial suspension was obtained with a pre-culture of each strain in 25 mL Erlenmeyer containing 5 mL of lysogeny broth (LB) medium inoculated with frozen stocked cells of each bacterial strain (300 μ L) and incubated at 28 °C for 24 h under 180-rpm shaking. The antagonism effect was evaluated by observing the inhibition zone after 13 days of incubation at 28 °C in the dark. Three Petri dishes were used as replicates for each condition.

Assays regarding the antifungal activity of the bacterial filtrates were performed in 12-well plates amended with PDA medium supplemented with various concentrations of each culture filtrate (final dilutions in PDA medium corresponding to 0.9, 1.9, 3.8, 7.5, 15, and 30% of culture filtrate). The plates were prepared, inoculated, and incubated according to [22]. Three plate wells were used as repetitions for each condition, including the control without culture filtrate. The effect of the culture filtrate on fungal growth was evaluated by visually measuring the two perpendicular diameters of each developed *Z. tritici* colony. A dose-response curve and half-maximal inhibitory dilution (ID₅₀) value of each strain were performed using the GraphPad Prism software version 9 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

2.5. MALDI—ToF Assay

Bacterial colonies grown on the PDA medium at 30 °C for 72 h were spread onto a MALDI ground steel target plate (Bruker Daltonik, Bremen, Germany). They were washed twice with a 99.9/0.1 (*v/v*) H₂O:trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) solution and treated with a 70/30 (*v/v*) formic acid:H₂O solution. They were then coated with a solution of α -cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid matrix (10 mg/mL in H₂O:acetonitrile:TFA, 50/47.5/2.5 *v/v/v*). Analyses were carried out with the Bruker Daltonik Autoflex Speed MALDI—ToF/ToF mass spectrometer. Calibration of the device was performed with the Peptide Calibration Standard II kit from Bruker Daltonik composed of bradykinin 1-7, angiotensin I, angiotensin II, substance P, bombesin, renin substrate, ACTH clip 1-17, ACTH clip 18-39, and somatostatin. Analyses were carried out in the reflector and positive mode in the *m/z* 700–5000 mass range. Mass spectra were obtained by accumulating 2000 lasers shots at 50% intensity.

3. Results

3.1. S1 and S6 Strains Are New Unique *B. velezensis* Genotypes

Based on the obtained 16S rRNA gene sequences, strains S1 and S6 shared a high sequence similarity (>99%) with *B. velezensis*. The phylogenetic analysis constructed using MEGA version X with the neighbor-joining method indicated that strains S1 and S6 belong

to the genus *Bacillus* and form a monophyletic cluster with *B. velezensis* with a high bootstrap score (>99%) (Figure 1). Moreover, the sequence alignment of the 16S rRNA gene sequence between strains S1 and S6 showed that both strains shared 99.86% sequence identity. Therefore, the following names, *B. velezensis* strain S1 and *B. velezensis* strain S6, were proposed. They also shared a high sequence identity (>98%) with other species of *Bacillus* sp., including *B. vallismortis*, *B. subtilis*, *B. mojavensis*, and *B. halotolerans* (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of the S1 and S6 *Bacillus velezensis* strains. The tree was built based on 16S rRNA gene sequences using MEGA version X with the neighbor-joining method. Values at the nodes indicate bootstrap values out of 1000 resamplings. Green color = members of the ‘*Subtilis* group’ of *Bacillus* species, Pink = members of the ‘*Cereus* group’, colorless = other members of *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus* species. *Clostridium difficile* ATCC9689 was used as an outgroup. S1 and S6 are shown in bold. Type strains are indicated as (T).

3.2. S1 Strain Shows Stronger Direct In Vitro Antifungal Activity towards *Z. tritici* Compared to S6 Strain

The antagonistic activity of S1 and S6 strains towards *Z. tritici* was performed by depositing a bacterial suspension on the center of the PDA-amended Petri plates previously inoculated with *Z. tritici*. Results revealed that both strains exhibited an antagonistic activity against the pathogen and formed a visible halo around the formed bacterium colonies (Figure 2A,B), therefore revealing a release and diffusion of antimicrobial compounds into the surrounding medium. On the other hand, culture filtrates from the two strains were produced and tested for their antimicrobial activity against the fungus on the PDA medium. Dose-response curves revealed that culture filtrates from both strains were able to inhibit fungal growth, but the S1 culture filtrate showed a higher antifungal activity when compared to the S6 culture filtrate (Figure 2C,D). The minimal-inhibitory dilution (MID) values for the S1 and S6 culture filtrates corresponded to 3.75% and 15%, while the half-maximal inhibitory dilution (ID₅₀) values for the S1 and S6 culture filtrates corresponded to 1.4% and 7.4%, respectively (Figure 2C,D). Lately, and to examine the effect of culture media on the growth of the two bacterial strains for further experiments and optimization, four growth media were tested (King's B medium, KB; Landy; lysogeny broth, LB; plate count agar, PCA). Results highlighted that LB is the most suitable culture medium, among the four tested ones, for in vitro growth of both strains (Figure S1).




Figure 2. Antifungal activity of S1 and S6 *Bacillus velezensis* strains against the *Zymoseptoria tritici* strain T02596. (A,B), Antagonistic activity of S1 (A) and S6 (B) strains on solid PDA medium at 13 days after Petri plate inoculation. The inhibiting effect is visualized by the formation of a halo around the bacterial colonies. (C) Illustration of the antifungal effect of the S1 and S6 culture filtrates on *Z. tritici* observed on PDA medium. (D) In vitro dose-response curves of the antifungal effect of the S1 and S6 culture filtrates on *Z. tritici* growth, assessed by measuring the fungal colony diameters at 10 days after plate inoculation. Dose-response curves were performed using GraphPad Prism software v 9.0. Three repetitions were used as replicates for each concentration of tested culture filtrate. Scale-bars stand for 5 mm.

3.3. Both S1 and S6 Strains Produce Cyclic Lipopeptides but with Distinct Patterns

Specialized metabolite production and, in particular, lipopeptide production by the two *B. velezensis* strains S1 and S6 grown on a solid medium was assessed using MALDI—ToF analysis. Both strains produced molecules with m/z corresponding to cyclic lipopeptides from different families previously described in the *Bacillus* genera, namely, surfactins, iturins, and fengycins [23] (Table 1, Figure 3). Strains S1 and S6 biosynthesized molecules whose m/z were attributed to analogues of either surfactin or pumilacidin, two lipopeptides of the surfactin family. These analogues would bear various fatty acid tails, i.e., C13 (m/z 1030.8 [M + Na]⁺), only found in strain S6, C14 (m/z 1044.8 [M + Na]⁺), and C15 (m/z 1058.8 [M + Na]⁺, 1074.8 [M + K]⁺). These m/z could also correspond to many other surfactin and pumilacidin analogues presenting amino acid modifications at different locations on the peptide chain. In the mass range of the iturin family, strain S1 produced molecules whose masses were attributed to several analogues of bacillomycin D, with various fatty acid lengths, i.e., C14 (m/z 1053.6 [M + H]⁺), C15 (m/z 1067.7 [M + Na]⁺, 1083.7 [M + K]⁺), C16 (m/z 1081.7 [M + Na]⁺), and C17 (m/z 1095.7 [M + Na]⁺). Strain S6 produced molecules with m/z corresponding to analogues of either iturin A or mycosubtilin. The different analogues would also bear fatty acids of various lengths, i.e., C14 (m/z 1065.6 [M + Na]⁺), C15 (m/z 1079.6 [M + Na]⁺, 1095.6 [M + K]⁺), C16 (m/z 1093.6 [M + Na]⁺, 1109.6 [M + K]⁺), and C17 (m/z 1107.6 [M + Na]⁺). Finally, two peaks that were only detected on the mass spectrum of strain S1 (m/z 1486.0, 1499.8) were putatively assigned to various fengycin A analogues with different possible fatty acid chains (C15 to C17, with or without unsaturation).

Table 1. Putative metabolites produced by the two *Bacillus velezensis* bacterial strains S1 and S6 grown on PDA medium, detected by MALDI—TOF.

<i>Bacillus velezensis</i> S1		
<i>m/z</i>	Putative Assigned Lipopeptide	Lipopeptide Family
1044.8	Surfactin C14 or [Val7] Pumilacidin C14 [M + Na] ⁺	Surfactin
1058.8	Surfactin C15 or [Val7] Pumilacidin C15 [M + Na] ⁺	Surfactin
1074.8	Surfactin C15 or [Val7] Pumilacidin C15 [M + K] ⁺	Surfactin
1053.6	Bacillomycin D C14 [M + Na] ⁺	Iturin
1067.7	Bacillomycin D C15 [M + Na] ⁺	Iturin
1083.7	Bacillomycin D C15 [M + K] ⁺	Iturin
1081.7	Bacillomycin D C16 [M + Na] ⁺	Iturin
1095.7	Bacillomycin D C17 [M + Na] ⁺	Iturin
1486.0	Fengycin A C16 [M + Na] ⁺ or Fengycin A C15 (insat) [M + K] ⁺	Fengycin
1499.8	Fengycin A C17 [M + Na] ⁺ or Fengycin AC16 (insat) [M + K] ⁺ [M + Na] ⁺	Fengycin
<i>Bacillus velezensis</i> S6		
<i>m/z</i>	Putative Assigned Lipopeptide	Lipopeptide Family
1030.8	Surfactin C13 or [Val7] Pumilacidin C13 [M + Na] ⁺	Surfactin
1044.8	Surfactin C14 or [Val7] Pumilacidin C14 [M + Na] ⁺	Surfactin
1058.8	Surfactin C15 or [Val7] Pumilacidin C15 [M + Na] ⁺	Surfactin
1065.6	Iturin A C14 or Mycosubtilin C14 [M + Na] ⁺	Iturin
1079.6	Iturin A C15 or Mycosubtilin C15 [M + Na] ⁺	Iturin
1095.6	Iturin A C15 or Mycosubtilin C15 [M + K] ⁺	Iturin
1093.6	Iturin A C16 or Mycosubtilin C16 [M + Na] ⁺	Iturin
1109.6	Iturin A C16 or Mycosubtilin C16 [M + K] ⁺	Iturin
1107.6	Iturin A C17 or Mycosubtilin C17 [M + Na] ⁺	Iturin




Figure 3. Whole-cell MALDI—ToF mass spectra of the two *Bacillus velezensis* strains S1 (A,B) and S6 (C,D). (B,D) are zooms on (A,C), respectively.

Although common lipopeptide masses were detected for the two bacterial strains, they, overall, displayed different lipopeptide production profiles. In particular, strain S1 produces lipopeptides of three different families (surfactins, iturins, fengycins) while strain S6 would produce lipopeptides of only two different families (surfactins and iturins). In addition, iturins produced by strain S1 are putative analogues of bacillomycin D, while those produced by strain S6 would be analogues of either iturin A or mycosubtilin (Table 1).

4. Discussion

We isolated here two wheat phyllosphere-associated bacterial strains identified as two strains of *B. velezensis* (S1 and S6), with very similar growth abilities in different nutrient media, and exhibiting significant in vitro antagonistic activity against *Z. tritici*. Our results are in agreement with previous reports showing that this beneficial bacterial species can display antagonistic activity against crop phytopathogens. For instance, the *B. velezensis* strain FZB42 (previously named *B. amyloliquefaciens* strain FZB42) has been shown to exhibit in vitro antagonistic activity against another wheat pathogen, *Fusarium graminearum* [24]. We suggest that the antagonistic activity of the *B. velezensis* strains S1 and S6 observed here against *Z. tritici* is due to the capacity of the strains to produce antimicrobial compounds, such as lipopeptides. Indeed, lipopeptides are known to be the major contributor to *Bacillus* sp. biocontrol activity [25]. Alloui and colleagues [26] have suggested that the biofungicide activity of two *Bacillus* strains (*B. subtilis* Alg.24B1 and *B. simplex* Alg.24B2), isolated in an Algerian field, against *Z. tritici* may be related to the coproduction of three types of lipopeptides, including surfactins, iturins, and fengycins.

Various strains of *B. velezensis* have recently received considerable attention, since this species can produce different types of biologically active secondary metabolites that can suppress plant pathogens [27]. It has been reported that *B. velezensis* strains are able to synthesize various bioactive lipopeptides and polyketides, such as bacillomycin, bacillibactin, fengycin, surfactin, bacillaene, difficidin, and macrolactin [28]. Currently,

several products based on *Bacillus* spp., such as *B. amyloliquefaciens*, *B. pumilus*, or *B. subtilis*, are marketed as biopesticides [27,29].

Our results showed that the culture filtrate from the *B. velezensis* strain S1 displayed a strong and a higher antifungal activity against *Z. tritici* when compared to the strain S6. This marked difference could be explained by the differential composition in the lipopeptides synthesized by the two strains. Indeed, MALDI—ToF analysis revealed the presence of fengycin and bacillomycin D (an antimicrobial lipopeptide belonging to the iturin family) only in the most active filtrate, from the strain S1. The importance of these two lipopeptides in the antifungal activity of *B. velezensis* has already been reported for the *B. velezensis* strain FZB42. Indeed a double mutant of this strain that was deficient in both bacillomycin D and fengycin synthesis (Δ bmyA Δ fenA) was heavily impaired in its ability to inhibit the growth of *F. oxysporum*, thereby indicating synergistic effects among such lipopeptides against this targeted fungal pathogen [30]. Interestingly, our results suggested that *B. velezensis* strains S1 and FZB42 shared a high sequence similarity, supporting the hypothesis that fengycin and bacillomycin D are also responsible for strain S1's antifungal activity. Similarly, Romero and colleagues [8] reported that lipopeptides from the iturin and fengycin families have a major role in the antimicrobial activity of *Bacillus* sp. strains on *Podosphaera fusca*. However, other studies suggest that the presence of bacillomycin is solely responsible for the antifungal activity. For instance, bacillomycin D-deficient mutant strains of *B. velezensis* strain FZB42 (or strain SQR9) exhibited severely impaired antifungal activities, indicating that bacillomycin D appears to be the major contributor to the antifungal activity against *F. oxysporum* [31,32]. Cao et al. [33] suggest that the relative contribution of each lipopeptide to the antimicrobial activity may also be dependent on the targeted plant pathogen. These authors have reported that iturins and fengycins are functionally redundant in the antagonism against the bacterium *Ralstonia solanacearum*, when only iturin family metabolites appear to display antagonistic activity against the fungus *F. oxysporum*.

The effects of purified lipopeptides from *B. subtilis* have been previously examined against *Z. tritici*. It has been shown that mycosubtilin, a lipopeptide belonging to the iturin family, displayed a strong antifungal activity against *Z. tritici* (half-maximal inhibitory concentration of 1.4 mg L⁻¹), while neither surfactin nor fengycin showed significant activity towards the pathogen [34]. On the other hand, bacterium cell-free filtrates of a mutant strain of *B. subtilis* overproducing mycosubtilin showed an antagonistic activity against several phytopathogenic fungi, including *Pythium aphanidermatum*, *Botrytis cinerea*, and *F. oxysporum*, with growth inhibition zones significantly larger than those induced by the wild-type supernatant [35]. Taken together, these results suggest that lipopeptides of the iturin family may display an interesting antifungal activity towards plant phytopathogens. Moyne et al. [36] reported that, for bacillomycin analogues, variations in their fatty acid length may have an influence on their antifungal activity toward various phytopathogenic fungi, such as *Alternaria solani*, *Aspergillus flavus*, *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, *Phomopsis gossypii*, and *Sclerotium rolfsii*. The mechanisms underlying bacillomycin D antifungal activity toward *F. graminearum* were investigated, revealing an inhibition of germination and conidia formation [24].

This study highlighted that the two strains isolated from wheat plant ears and identified as *B. velezensis* strains S1 and S6 exhibited an in vitro antimicrobial activity against the wheat pathogen *Z. tritici*, and that the observed biological activity could be related to the production of lipopeptides. The higher antifungal activity observed with the culture filtrate S1 could be due to the different lipopeptides it may produce, in particular the various analogues of bacillomycin D. Hence, further investigations using purified lipopeptides are needed to confirm the antifungal activity of bacillomycin D, alone or in mixture with other lipopeptides, against *Z. tritici*. On the other hand, Chowdhury et al. [37] suggested that *B. velezensis*, and notably the strain FZB42, may trigger pathways of induced systemic resistance (ISR), which can also contribute to the biocontrol activity of FZB42. Indeed, sub-lethal concentrations of lipopeptides and volatiles produced by plant-associated *Bacilli* trigger

pathways of ISR, which protect plants against attacks of pathogenic microbes, viruses, and nematodes. In this sense, the ability of the S1 and S6 *B. velezensis* strains to induce ISR in wheat against *Z. tritici* should be further investigated.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: <https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/agronomy12010095/s1>, Figure S1: Kinetics of S1 and S6 *Bacillus velezensis* strains growth in different liquid media. These two strains were inoculated in Erlenmeyer containing one of the four different media, King’s B (KB), Landy, lysogeny broth (LB), and Plate Count Agar (PCA) for three days. Bacterium growth was assessed by measuring the optical density in each well at 600 nm. Three repetitions were used for each condition. Optical density was also measured for controls (i.e., media without bacteria) and these data were used to correct optical density means presented in this figure. Bars stand for standard deviation ($n = 3$).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, B.R., E.A.B., C.J., P.H., M.E.G., N.C., S.A., P.T., A.R., N.B., P.R., M.M.-R. and A.S.; methodology, M.E.G., N.C., S.A., N.B., P.T., P.H., M.M.-R., M.S., P.R., Q.E., A.B., A.R. and A.S.; formal analysis, M.E.G., N.C., S.A., A.R., N.B., P.H., M.S., A.B., Q.E., M.M.-R. and R.P.; investigation, A.R., P.H., M.S., R.P., M.M.-R., M.E.G., N.C., Q.E., S.A., A.B. and N.B.; writing—original draft preparation, A.S., M.S. and R.P.; writing—review and editing, M.E.G., N.C., S.A., A.R., N.B., P.H., R.P., Q.E., B.R., P.T., A.B., C.J., E.A.B., P.R., M.M.-R. and A.S.; supervision, B.R., A.R., C.J., E.A.B., P.R., M.M.-R. and A.S.; project administration, P.H., P.R., A.S. and M.M.-R.; funding acquisition, P.H., M.M.-R., P.R. and A.S. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was conducted in the framework of the Bacplant project, funded by the European program Arimnet and l’Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), and the project CPER Alibiotech, funded by the European Union, the French State, and the French Region Hauts-de-France.

Acknowledgments: The authors thank Océane Bueno for technical support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study, in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data, in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- Bhandari, V.; Ahmod, N.Z.; Shah, H.N.; Gupta, R.S. Molecular Signatures for *Bacillus* Species: Demarcation of the *Bacillus subtilis* and *Bacillus cereus* Clades in Molecular Terms and Proposal to Limit the Placement of New Species into the Genus *Bacillus*. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2013**, *63*, 2712–2726. [CrossRef]
- Fan, B.; Blom, J.; Klenk, H.-P.; Borriss, R. *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, *Bacillus velezensis*, and *Bacillus siamensis* Form an “Operational Group *B. amyloliquefaciens*” within the *B. subtilis* Species Complex. *Front. Microbiol.* **2017**, *8*, 22. [CrossRef]
- Hamley, I.W. Lipopeptides: From Self-Assembly to Bioactivity. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 8574–8583. [CrossRef]
- Strieker, M.; Tanović, A.; Marahiel, M.A. Nonribosomal Peptide Synthetases: Structures and Dynamics. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2010**, *20*, 234–240. [CrossRef]
- Deravel, J.; Lemièrre, S.; Coutte, F.; Krier, F.; Van Hese, N.; Béchet, M.; Sourdeau, N.; Höfte, M.; Leprêtre, A.; Jacques, P. Mycosubtilin and Surfactin Are Efficient, Low Ecotoxicity Molecules for the Biocontrol of Lettuce Downy Mildew. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *98*, 6255–6264. [CrossRef]
- Xun-Chao, C.; Hui, L.; Ya-Rong, X.; Chang-Hong, L. Study of Endophytic *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* CC09 and Its Antifungal Cyclic Lipopeptides. *J. Appl. Biol. Biotechnol.* **2013**, *1*, 1–5. [CrossRef]
- Guo, Q.; Dong, W.; Li, S.; Lu, X.; Wang, P.; Zhang, X.; Wang, Y.; Ma, P. Fengycin Produced by *Bacillus subtilis* NCD-2 Plays a Major Role in Biocontrol of Cotton Seedling Damping-off Disease. *Microbiol. Res.* **2014**, *169*, 533–540. [CrossRef]
- Romero, D.; de Vicente, A.; Rakotoaly, R.H.; Dufour, S.E.; Veening, J.-W.; Arrebola, E.; Cazorla, F.M.; Kuipers, O.P.; Paquot, M.; Pérez-García, A. The Iturin and Fengycin Families of Lipopeptides Are Key Factors in Antagonism of *Bacillus subtilis* toward *Podosphaera fusca*. *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* **2007**, *20*, 430–440. [CrossRef]
- Jacques, P. Surfactin and Other Lipopeptides from *Bacillus* spp. In *Biosurfactants: From Genes to Applications*; Soberón-Chávez, G., Ed.; Microbiology Monographs; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2011; pp. 57–91. ISBN 978-3-642-14490-5.
- Crouzet, J.; Arguelles-Arias, A.; Dhondt-Cordelier, S.; Cordelier, S.; Pršić, J.; Hoff, G.; Mazeyrat-Gourbeyre, F.; Baillieux, F.; Clément, C.; Ongena, M.; et al. Biosurfactants in Plant Protection Against Diseases: Rhamnolipids and Lipopeptides Case Study. *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.* **2020**, *8*. [CrossRef]
- Fira, D.; Dimkić, I.; Berić, T.; Lozo, J.; Stanković, S. Biological Control of Plant Pathogens by *Bacillus* Species. *J. Biotechnol.* **2018**, *285*, 44–55. [CrossRef]
- Ponomarenko, A.; Goodwin, S.B.; Kema, G.H.J. Septoria Tritici Blotch (STB) of Wheat. In *Plant Health Instructor*; American Phytopathological Society: St. Paul, MI, USA; p. 2011. [CrossRef]

13. Fones, H.; Gurr, S. The Impact of Septoria Tritici Blotch Disease on Wheat: An EU Perspective. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **2015**, *79*, 3–7. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Siah, A.; Deweer, C.; Duyme, F.; Sanssené, J.; Durand, R.; Halama, P.; Reignault, P. Correlation of in Planta Endo-Beta-1,4-Xylanase Activity with the Necrotrophic Phase of the Hemibiotrophic Fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Plant Pathol.* **2010**, *59*, 661–670. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Lovell, D.J.; Hunter, T.; Powers, S.J.; Parker, S.R.; Bosch, F.V. den Effect of Temperature on Latent Period of Septoria Leaf Blotch on Winter Wheat under Outdoor Conditions. *Plant Pathol.* **2004**, *53*, 170–181. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Cowger, C.; Hoffer, M.E.; Mundt, C.C. Specific Adaptation by *Mycosphaerella graminicola* to a Resistant Wheat Cultivar. *Plant Pathol.* **2000**, *49*, 445–451. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Cheval, P.; Siah, A.; Bomble, M.; Popper, A.D.; Reignault, P.; Halama, P. Evolution of QoI Resistance of the Wheat Pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* in Northern France. *Crop Prot.* **2017**, *92*, 131–133. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Beneduzi, A.; Ambrosini, A.; Passaglia, L.M.P. Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR): Their Potential as Antagonists and Biocontrol Agents. *Genet. Mol. Biol.* **2012**, *35*, 1044–1051. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Landy, M.; Warren, G.H.; Rosenman, M.S.B.; Colio, L.G. Bacillomycin: An Antibiotic from *Bacillus subtilis* Active against Pathogenic Fungi. *Proc. Soc. Exp. Biol. Med.* **1948**, *67*, 539–541. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Esmaeel, Q.; Jacquard, C.; Sanchez, L.; Clément, C.; Ait Barka, E. The Mode of Action of Plant Associated *Burkholderia* against Grey Mould Disease in Grapevine Revealed through Traits and Genomic Analyses. *Sci Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 19393. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Li, M.; Knyaz, C.; Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2018**, *35*, 1547–1549. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Platel, R.; Chaveriat, L.; Le Guenic, S.; Pipeleers, R.; Magnin-Robert, M.; Randoux, B.; Trapet, P.; Lequart, V.; Joly, N.; Halama, P.; et al. Importance of the C12 Carbon Chain in the Biological Activity of Rhamnolipids Conferring Protection in Wheat against *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 40. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Ongena, M.; Jacques, P. *Bacillus* Lipopeptides: Versatile Weapons for Plant Disease Biocontrol. *Trends Microbiol.* **2008**, *16*, 115–125. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Gu, Q.; Yang, Y.; Yuan, Q.; Shi, G.; Wu, L.; Lou, Z.; Huo, R.; Wu, H.; Borriss, R.; Gao, X. Bacillomycin D Produced by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* Is Involved in the Antagonistic Interaction with the Plant-Pathogenic Fungus *Fusarium graminearum*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2017**, *83*. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Abderrahmani, A.; Tapi, A.; Nateche, F.; Chollet, M.; Leclère, V.; Wathelet, B.; Hacene, H.; Jacques, P. Bioinformatics and Molecular Approaches to Detect NRPS Genes Involved in the Biosynthesis of Kurstakin from *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *92*, 571–581. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Alloui, N.; Driss, F.; Dhoub, H.; Jlalil, L.; Tounsi, S.; Frikha-Gargouri, O. Two Novel *Bacillus* Strains (*Subtilis* and *Simplex* Species) with Promising Potential for the Biocontrol of *Zymoseptoria tritici*, the Causal Agent of Septoria Tritici Blotch of Wheat. *BioMed Res. Int.* **2021**, *2021*, 6611657. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Rabbee, M.F.; Ali, M.S.; Choi, J.; Hwang, B.S.; Jeong, S.C.; Baek, K. *Bacillus velezensis*: A Valuable Member of Bioactive Molecules within Plant Microbiomes. *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 1046. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Chen, J.; Wu, Q.; Hua, Y.; Chen, J.; Zhang, H.; Wang, H. Potential Applications of Biosurfactant Rhamnolipids in Agriculture and Biomedicine. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2017**, *101*, 8309–8319. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Pérez-García, A.; Romero, D.; de Vicente, A. Plant Protection and Growth Stimulation by Microorganisms: Biotechnological Applications of *Bacilli* in Agriculture. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2011**, *22*, 187–193. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Koumoutsi, A.; Chen, X.-H.; Henne, A.; Liesegang, H.; Hitzeroth, G.; Franke, P.; Vater, J.; Borriss, R. Structural and Functional Characterization of Gene Clusters Directing Nonribosomal Synthesis of Bioactive Cyclic Lipopeptides in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* Strain FZB42. *J. Bacteriol.* **2004**, *186*, 1084–1096. [[CrossRef](#)]
31. Chen, X.H.; Koumoutsi, A.; Scholz, R.; Schneider, K.; Vater, J.; Süßmuth, R.; Piel, J.; Borriss, R. Genome Analysis of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 Reveals Its Potential for Biocontrol of Plant Pathogens. *J. Biotechnol.* **2009**, *140*, 27–37. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Xu, Z.; Shao, J.; Li, B.; Yan, X.; Shen, Q.; Zhang, R. Contribution of Bacillomycin D in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* SQR9 to Antifungal Activity and Biofilm Formation. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2013**, *79*, 808–815. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Cao, Y.; Pi, H.; Chandransu, P.; Li, Y.; Wang, Y.; Zhou, H.; Xiong, H.; Helmann, J.D.; Cai, Y. Antagonism of Two Plant-Growth Promoting *Bacillus velezensis* Isolates Against *Ralstonia solanacearum* and *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 4360. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Mejri, S.; Siah, A.; Coutte, F.; Magnin-Robert, M.; Randoux, B.; Tisserant, B.; Krier, F.; Jacques, P.; Reignault, P.; Halama, P. Biocontrol of the Wheat Pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* Using Cyclic Lipopeptides from *Bacillus subtilis*. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2018**, *25*, 29822–29833. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Leclère, V.; Béchet, M.; Adam, A.; Guez, J.-S.; Wathelet, B.; Ongena, M.; Thonart, P.; Gancel, F.; Chollet-Imbert, M.; Jacques, P. Mycosubtilin Overproduction by *Bacillus subtilis* BBG100 Enhances the Organism’s Antagonistic and Biocontrol Activities. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2005**, *71*, 4577–4584. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Moyne, A.L.; Shelby, R.; Cleveland, T.E.; Tuzun, S. Bacillomycin D: An Iturin with Antifungal Activity against *Aspergillus flavus*. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* **2001**, *90*, 622–629. [[CrossRef](#)]
37. Chowdhury, S.P.; Hartmann, A.; Gao, X.; Borriss, R. Biocontrol Mechanism by Root-Associated *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42—A Review. *Front. Microbiol.* **2015**, *6*, 780. [[CrossRef](#)]